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o. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the topological entropy of semigroups of continuous commuting maps (c.c.m.) acting on a compact Hausdorff space. The semigroups considered are those isomoxphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathrm{d}}$ or $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{\mathrm{d}}$ or factors of these where $d$ is a positive integex. Because of this isomorphism we shall speak sometimes of d-dimensional semigroups or d-dimensional semiflows. If the maps are homeomorphisms, i.e. if the semigroups are groups, the statements become sometimes sharper. Therefore results on groups of transformations isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ or $\mathbb{I P}^{d}$ or a factor of one of these are stated as well. Measure-theoretic entropy of groups of invertible measurepreserving transformations acting on a Lebesgue space was investigated by several authors (Conze [5], Follmer [8]. Katznelson-Weiss [13]. Pickel-stepin [18]. Thouvenot [20]). We refer to their work in sections 4,5 and 6 . In [21]. [24] and [27] the fundamental variational principle for $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ actions is treated. Topological entropy is a special case of the notion of pressure discussed there.
Sectionc1 contains basic definitions and properties. It is of particular interest to know if and how the entropy of subsemigroups is related to that of the semigroup itself. Some answers to this question are given in section 2. Furthermore a first product theorem is proved there. In section 3 we state a number of results that are well-known thecrems in the case $d=1$, i.e. in the theory of topological entropy of a single continuous map. Being familiar with sections 1 and 2 it $1 s$ an exercise to translate the original proofs from the one-dimensional case to higher dimensions. Therefore almost all proofs are onitted. The only exception is Theorem (3.10) stating that the entropy of a semigroup equals the entropy of its restxiction to the nonwandering set.

Since the combinatorial part of Bowen's proof in dimension 1 (see [2]) Jecomes somewhat different in higher dimensions the interested reader will find a full proof in an appendix at the end of the papex. Section 4 is devoted to an extension of the notion of sequence entropy. In section 5 semigroups isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ or a factor of it, 1.e. d-dimensional semiflows, are considered. The first part of section 6 consists of the computation of the entropy of a d-dimensional shiftiflow $S=\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \in I^{d}}$ operating on a space $L^{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ of $[0,1]$-valued functions which satisfy a Lipschitz condition. The one-dimensional version of this space appears in Jacobs [12], Eberlein [7] and Denker-Eberlein [6]. We will use this flow in the second part of section 6 where we make an excursion to dudimensional flows of measure-preserving transformations acting on a Lebesgue space ( $\Omega, \mathscr{L}, \mathrm{m}$ ). Using a d-dimensional Rokhlin theorem due to Lind [17] we state an existence theorem for generators lof the $\sigma$ - algebra $\mathscr{L})$ with rather regular orbit properties. Via these generators we get the following result: Every d-dimensional flow of measurempreserving transformations acting on a non-atomic Lebesgue space can be considered - up to an isomorphism as a d-dimensional flow of homeonorphisms operating on a compact metric space. More precisely the flow of homeomorphisms is a subflow of the shiftflow considered at the beginning of this esection.
I would like to thank L. Goodwyn and P. Walters for some useful remarks and M. Misiurewicz for an improvement in section 2 .

## 1. Definitions and basic properties

Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space. Given an open cover $O$ of $x$ we denote by $N(a)$ the cardinality of a minimal. subcover of $O C H(O)=\log N(O C)$ is called the entropy of ot. $\log$ is taken to the base 2. For two covers $\mathscr{O}, \mathscr{H}$ we write

$$
\alpha \vee \mathscr{H}=\{\mathrm{A} \cap \mathrm{~B} \mid \mathrm{A} \in a, \mathrm{~B} \in \mathscr{\mathscr { H }}\}, \mathscr{f} \text { is }
$$

called finer than $\alpha$, in symbols $a<\mathcal{S}$, iffy every set of $\mathscr{E}$ is contained in a set of $O$. For basic properties of the functions $N$ and $H$ with respect to " $v$ " and " $<$ " see [1]. In particular H is subadditive in the sense $H(\alpha \vee \mathscr{L}) \leq \mathrm{H}(\alpha)+\mathrm{H}(\mathscr{y})$.
$Z^{d}$ denotes the d-atmensional lattice with its group structure, $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ the subset of elements having all coordinates non-negative. ${ }^{+} \widetilde{\rho}$ stands for arbitrary subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ with finite cardinality which is denoted by $|\rho|$. $\rho$ stands focimensiongles. In particular given $1=\left(1, \ldots, l_{d}\right) \in z^{d}$ we denote by $\rho_{1}$ the n-dimensional rectangle

$$
\left[k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in{\underset{Z}{ }}^{d} \mid 0 \leq k_{i}<1_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq d)\right]
$$

Given 1 and $\hat{\rho}$ it is clear that $1+\tilde{\rho} \operatorname{means}\{1+k \mid k \in \tilde{\rho}\}$. We write $1 \rightarrow \infty$ if $\min _{i \leq d} 1_{i} \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $\phi_{i}(1 \leq i \leq a)$ be continuous commuting maps (c.c.m.) of $X$ into itself then $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$ denotes the abelian semigroup generated by $\phi_{i}\left(1 \leqslant_{i} \leqslant d\right)$ under composition. There is a natural homomorphism $A$ of $Z_{d}^{d}$ onto $\phi$ given by

$$
\lambda: 1=\left(1, \ldots, 1_{d}\right) \rightarrow \phi^{1}:=\phi_{1}^{1} 0 \ldots 0 \phi_{d}^{1} d
$$

Thus $\phi$ is isomorphic to ${ }^{2}{\underset{+}{d}}_{d}^{o r}$ a factor of it. If the transformations $\phi_{j} \quad\left(1 s_{i}=d\right)$ are homeomorphisms then $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ is an abelian group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}^{\text {d }}$ or a factor of it.
Now fix for the rest of this chapter a semigroup
$\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1} \ldots \ldots \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ acting on $X$. Given a finite subset $\bar{\rho} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{a}$ and an open cover $O$ of $x$ we write

$$
a \beta=V_{k \in \tilde{\rho}} \phi^{-k} a
$$

(1.1) Lemma: Let $\alpha$ be an open cover, (1) a sequence in $\mathbb{u}_{+}^{d}, 1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$, then for anyriensionale $\rho_{1}$ and $\varepsilon>0$ we have for $n$ sufficiently large

$$
\left.\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1} H\left(\sigma_{\rho_{1}}\right\} \leqslant\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1} \operatorname{H(} g_{\rho_{1}}\right)+E
$$

Proof: Cover $\rho_{1} n$ by translates $k+\rho_{1}$ of $\rho_{1}$ and use subadditivity of $H$.
(1.2) Proposition: For any open cover $Q$ of $x$ and any sequence (in) in $\operatorname{Ha}_{+}^{d}$ such chat $1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\left.\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{los}^{n}\right|^{-1} 11\left(\alpha \rho_{1^{n}}\right.
$$

exists and is independent of the sequence ( $1^{n}$ ).

Proof: Let ( $1^{n}$ ) , (1) be two sequences then by (1.1) for any $m$

(1.3) Definition: $h(\phi, \infty):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1}$ H( $\rho\left(\mathcal{l}^{n}\right)$ is called the entropy of Gi with respect to the semigroup $\phi$

Using subadditivity of $H$ we get for any $n$
$\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1} H\left(O \rho_{1} n\right) \approx H(O)$. Thus $n(\phi, 0)$ is a value in the interval $[0, \mathrm{H}(\mathrm{OL})]$.
If $\phi$ is a group of homeomorphisms and we take a different system of generators for $\phi$, say $\bar{\phi}_{1} \ldots . \phi_{d}$, then this corresponds to applying an automorphism A of $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$. A transforms n-dimensional rectangles $\oint_{1} n$ into paxallelotopes and we see immediately using the same argument as in (1.1) that $h(\phi,()$ does not depend on the generators for the group $\phi$. It is clear that $O<\mathcal{D}$ implies $h(\phi, \mathcal{Q}) \leq \mathrm{h}(\phi, \mathcal{D})$.

There is another way to compute h( $\phi, \mathscr{O}$ which will turn out to be useful. It is not necessary that the coordinates in ( $1^{\text {n }}$ ) go simultaneously to infinity. Consider for some $\hat{d} \leq a$ the subsemigroup $\hat{\phi}=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots . \phi_{d}\right\rangle$. Denote $\hat{\phi}_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{c}}=\left\langle\phi_{\mathrm{d}+1}, \cdots \cdot \phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$. Let $\left.(1)^{n}\right)_{\text {be a sequence in }}$ $Z_{+}^{\hat{d}}, 1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$, and $k \in \chi_{+}^{(d-\hat{d})}$. Consider for $\theta \rho_{k}=V_{i \in g_{k}}^{\left(\phi^{c}\right)}{ }^{-1}($ $h\left(\hat{\phi}, \theta\left(\rho_{k}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{I}\right|^{-1} n\left(\frac{V}{} \in \rho_{1} \hat{\phi}^{-1}\left(\rho_{k}\right)\right.\right.$.
$\frac{(1.4) \text { Proposition: }}{(\mathrm{d}-\hat{d})}$ for every sequence $\left(\mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{m}}\right)$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{(\mathrm{d}-\mathrm{d})}, \mathrm{k}^{\mathrm{m}} \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
n(\phi, \theta)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{k}\right|^{-1} n\left(\hat{\phi}, \theta, \rho_{k}\right)
$$

Proof: First observe that for any fixed ${ }^{\text {ni }}$

$$
\left|\rho_{k} m\right|^{-1} n\left(\hat{\phi}, \alpha_{k} \rho_{n}\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \quad\left|\rho_{\left(1_{z}^{n} k^{m}\right)}\right|^{-1} n\left(\eta_{1} \hat{\phi}^{-1} \alpha_{\rho_{k}}\right)
$$

(1.1) implies that for any pair $\left(1^{n}, k^{n}\right)$

$$
h(\phi, a) \leq\left.\operatorname{l\rho }_{\left(1^{n}, k^{m}\right)}\right|^{-1} H\left(a \rho_{\left(1^{n}, k^{m}\right)}\right)
$$

Both relations together yield

$$
h(\phi, a) \leq \frac{1 m^{m}}{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{k}\right|^{-1} n\left(\hat{\phi}, a \rho_{k} n\right)
$$

To get the reverse inequality we note that again by (1.1) for every $1^{n}$

$$
h\left(\hat{\phi}, o \rho_{k}\right) \leq\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1} H\left(V_{I \in \rho_{1} n} \hat{\phi}^{-1} \Delta \rho_{k} m^{\prime}\right.
$$

Thus for any pair $\left(1^{n}, k^{m}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$
$\left|\rho_{k}\right|^{-1} n\left(\hat{\phi}, a_{\rho_{k} m}\right) \leq\left|\rho_{\left(1^{n}, k^{m}\right)}\right|^{-1} H\left(V_{1 \in \rho_{1} n} \hat{\phi}^{-1} a_{\rho_{k^{m}}}\right)$.
If $\left(1^{n}, k^{m}\right) \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
\left.\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{K^{m}}\right|^{-1} n\left(\hat{\phi}, a_{k^{m}}\right)<n(\phi, a)
$$

## (1.5) Definition:

$h(\phi)=\sup \{h(\phi, a) \mid O$ open cover $\}$ is called topological entropy of $\phi$.

Let $\psi=\left\langle\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{d}\right\rangle$ be another semigroup acting on a compact Hausdorff space $Y$. ( $Y, Y$ ) is called a homomorphic image of $(X, \phi)$ ifs there is a continuous surjective map $\varphi: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $\varphi \circ \mathcal{P}_{i}=\psi_{j} \cdot \varphi(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$. It is clear that under these conditions $n\left(\phi, \rho^{-1}(a)\right)=n(\psi, a)$
for every open cover $G$ of $Y$. This implies
(1.6) Property: If ( 4,4 ) is a homomorphic image of $(x, \phi)$ then $h(\psi) \leqslant h(\phi)$.
$(Y, \psi)$ is isomorphic to $(X, \phi)$, in symbols $(Y, \psi)=(X, \phi)$, iffy the map $\varphi$ above is a homeomorphism.

## (1.7) Property:

$$
(x, \phi)=(x, \psi) \text { implies } h(\phi)=h(\psi)
$$

A sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ of open covers of $X$ is refining inf (1) $a_{n}<a_{n+1}$ and (2) for every open cover $i s$ there exists on $G_{n}$ such that $\mathscr{G}<a_{n}$. The following property is an immediate consequence of the monotonicity of the function $h\left(\phi,{ }^{\prime}\right)$ and simplifies the computation of n( $\phi$ ).
(1.8) Property: If $\left(h_{n}\right)$ is a refining sequence of open covers then

$$
h(\phi)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n\left(\phi, a_{n}\right)
$$

An open cover is called a one-sided (topological) generator for $\phi$ iffy for every collection $\left(A_{i_{1}}\right\}_{1 \in} \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathrm{d}}$ of elements of $a \prod_{1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \phi^{-1} \bar{n}_{i_{1}}$ consists of at most one point. In case $\phi$ is a group, $O$ is called a (topological )generator for $\phi$ inf the same holds with $\mathbb{Z}^{d}$ instead of $Z_{+}^{d}$.

Rewriting Lemma 2.1., 2.3. and 2.5. in $[14]$ in our situation of semigroups and groups of transformations yields the
following result
(1.9) Theorem: If $O$ is a one-sided generator or in case of $\phi$ being a group a generator then

$$
h(\phi)=h(\phi, a)
$$

The problem of existence of generators is solved by Keynes and Robertson [14]. There is a one-sided generator inf $\phi$ is positively expansive and in the group-case, there is a generator iff $\phi$ is expansive.
(1.10) Example: Let $E$ be a finite set, $|E|=N$. $x=\left\{x \mid x: r^{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{i}\right\}$ endowed with the product topology is a compact metric space. The shiftgroup $S=\left(S_{1}\right) 1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ given by

$$
\left(s_{1}(x)\right)(k)=x(k+1) \quad\left(k, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)
$$

group of homeomorphisms
is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$. Consider $[\mathrm{e}]_{0}=\{x \mid x(0)=e\}$, then $a_{0}=\left\{[e]_{0} \mid e \in E\right\}$ is a generator for $s$. Thus
$h(S)=h\left(s, \quad O t_{0}\right)$. An easy computation shows $h\left(s, a_{0}\right)=\log N$ which implies

$$
h(s)=n\left(s, a_{0}\right)=n\left(a_{0}\right)=1 \log N .
$$

Exactly the same holds if we consider $\widetilde{X}=\left\{x \mid x: \quad \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d} \rightarrow \mathrm{~K}\right\}$ together with the corresponding semigroup $\left(\widetilde{S}_{1}\right)_{1} \in \mathbb{X}_{+}^{d}$ on it.
(1.11) Example: Let $Y$ be a compact Hausdorff space and $\varphi$ a homeomorphism of $I$ onto itself. Consider $x=\left[x \mid x: z^{d} \rightarrow y\right]$ with the product topology and the shiftgroup $S=\left(S_{1}\right) \mathbb{Z}^{d}$ acting on it. Define a homeomorphism $\phi_{1}$ of $x$ onto itself by

$$
\phi_{1}(x)(k)=\varphi(x(k)) \quad\left(k \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right)
$$

The elements of $s$ commute with $\phi_{1}$. We claim the group
$\phi^{\prime}=\left\langle s . \phi_{1}\right\rangle$ satisfies

$$
h\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=h(\varphi)
$$

To see this define the projection $\pi_{0}: X \rightarrow Y$ by $\pi_{0}(x)=x(0)$. If $\mathscr{E}$ is an open cover of $x$ then $\pi_{0}^{-1}(\mathscr{L})$ covers $x$. Consider for a $q \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$the covering $\mathscr{L}_{q}=V_{j=0}^{q-1} \phi_{1}^{-j}\left(\pi_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}\right)$ then
$H\left(\mathscr{L}_{q}\right)=H\left(\pi_{0}^{-1}\left(V_{j=0}^{q-1} \varphi^{-j} \mathscr{L}\right)\right)=H\left(V_{j=0}^{q-1} \varphi^{-j} \mathscr{L}\right) \quad$.
Observe that $h\left(S, \mathscr{L}_{q}\right)=H\left(\mathscr{E}_{q}\right)$.
Therefore $h(\rho, \mathscr{L})=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} q^{-1} H\left(\mathscr{L}_{q}\right)=\lim _{q \rightarrow \infty} q^{-1} h\left(S, \mathscr{B}_{q}\right)=$

$$
=h\left(\phi^{\prime}, \pi_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}\right)
$$

where the last equality follows by (1.4).
Taking the sup over all $\mathscr{L}$ we get

$$
h(\rho) \leq h(p!)
$$

Given any open cover $O$ of $x$ then by the definition of the product topology there is arimectangle $\rho$ in ${ }^{2}{ }^{d}$ and an open cover $\mathscr{H}$ of $y$ such that $a<V_{k \in \rho} s^{-k}\left(\pi_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}\right)$.
This implies
$h\left(\phi^{\prime}, \alpha\right) \leq h\left(\phi^{\prime}, V_{k \in \rho} s^{-k}\left(\pi_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}\right)\right)=h\left(\phi^{\prime}, \pi_{0}^{-1} \mathscr{L}\right)=h(\varphi, \mathscr{L} ;$
and we get $h\left(\phi^{\prime}\right)=h(\varphi)$.

## 2. Subsemigroups

In this section we study subsemigroups $\bar{\phi}=\left\langle\phi^{1^{1}}, \ldots, \phi^{1}\right\rangle$ of a fixed semigroup $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots . \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ of c.c.m. . The vectors $l^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}(1 \leq i \leq q)$ are assumed to be linearly independent, ie. they cannot be written in the form $k l^{j}=\sum_{i=1}^{q} k^{i} l^{i}$ for positive integers $k$ and $k^{i}$. $i \neq j$
Define the following equivalence relation on $\phi: \phi^{1} \sim \phi^{1}$ iff there exist $\phi^{k}, \phi^{k} \in \bar{\phi}$ such that $\phi^{1} \circ \phi^{k}=\phi^{I} \circ \phi^{k}$. The factorspace with respect to $\sim$ is again a semigroup. We consider first the case of finite index.

Let ${ }^{p} \phi=\left\langle\phi^{1}, \ldots, \phi^{1^{d}}\right\rangle \quad\left(1^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}, 1 \leq i \leq d\right)$ be a subsemigroup of finite index $p$ and ${ }_{2}{ }^{p}$ its inverse image under the natural homomorphism $\lambda$. We choose a complete system of representatives in the factorsemigroup $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d} / \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{p}}$, say $Q^{p}$, by taking out of each equivalence class the element lying in the d-dimensional paralielotope $p\left(1^{1}, \ldots, 1^{d}\right)$ spanned by the vectors $1^{1}, \ldots, 1^{d}$ (In the case of elements on the boundary of $P\left(1^{1}, \ldots, 1^{d}\right)$ take the smaller ones). Define $\operatorname{comp}\left(z^{p}\right)=z^{p}+Q^{p}$ and $\operatorname{comp}\left({ }^{p} \phi\right)=\lambda\left(\operatorname{comp}\left(z^{P}\right)\right)$. comp $\left.{ }^{( }{ }^{p} \phi\right)$ is a semigroup which in general does not have generators. We note that it is a priori not clear how to define the entropy of the semigroup comp $\left({ }^{( } \phi\right)$. But since we have chosen d-dimensional rectangles $\rho_{1}{ }^{n}$ with $1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$ for the semigroup $\phi$ itself it seems natural to take d-dimensional parallelotopes $p_{n} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ with boundaries parallel to those of $P\left(1^{1}, \ldots, 1^{d}\right)$ and which cover comp $\left(2^{p}\right)$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$. Then the same argument as in (1.2) shows that $h\left(c o m p\left({ }^{[ } \phi\right)\right.$ ) is independent of the sequence $p_{n}$ and equals $h(\phi)$.
(2.1) Theorem: If $\phi=\left\langle\phi^{1^{1}}, \ldots, \phi^{I^{d}}\right\rangle \quad A^{1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ ) is a subsemigroup with finite index $p$ then

$$
h\left({ }^{P} \phi\right)=p h(\phi) .
$$

Proof: Since $h(\phi)=h\left(\operatorname{comp}\left({ }^{p} \phi\right)\right)$ it is enough to consider the case $P_{\phi}=\left\langle\phi_{1}^{p_{1}}, \ldots, \phi_{d}^{p_{d}}\right\rangle$ for $\left.p_{i}\right\rangle 0 \quad(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$. Define $l(p)=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{d}\right)$ and let $\rho_{I}(p)$ be the corresponding d-dimensional rectangle. Then $\left|\rho_{1(p)}\right|=p_{1} \cdots p_{d}=p$. For any open cover $\alpha$ of $x$ we write $a^{p}=1 V_{1(p)} \phi^{-1} a_{1}$. Given any $\varepsilon>0, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{a}$ we get with the same argument as in (1.1) for sufficiently large $n$ on one side

$$
\left|\rho_{1} n\right|^{-1} H\left(V_{1} \in \rho_{1} n \phi^{-1} a\right) \leq\left(p\left|\rho_{k}\right|\right)^{-1} H\left(V_{1} \in \rho_{k}(p \phi)^{-1} O p\right\rangle+\varepsilon
$$

which implies $\mathrm{Fh}(\phi, G) \leq \mathrm{n}\left(\mathrm{F} \phi, Q^{P}\right)$ and on the other side

$$
\left|\rho_{1} n\right|^{-1} H\left(\bigvee_{1} \rho_{1} n\left({ }^{p} \phi\right)^{-1} G p\right) \leq p\left|\rho_{k}\right|^{-1} H\left(V_{1} \in \rho_{k} \phi^{-1} a\right)+\varepsilon
$$

which implies $h\left({ }^{p} \phi, O^{p}\right) \leqslant p h(\phi, G)$. So we have equality. Taking the supremum over all open covers $O$ we obtain $p h(\phi) \leqslant h\left({ }^{p} \phi\right)$. But given any open cover $\mathcal{L}$ we conclude $h\left({ }^{\mathrm{p}} \phi, \mathcal{L}\right) \leqslant \mathrm{h}\left({ }^{\mathrm{p}} \phi, \mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{p}}\right)=\mathrm{ph}(\phi, \mathcal{L})$. Taking again the supremum over all open covers yields the reverse inequality.

Now we turn to subsemigroups $\hat{\phi}=\left\langle\phi^{1^{1}} \ldots \ldots \phi^{1^{9}}\right\rangle$ $\left(1^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}(1 \leq i \leq q)\right)$ with infinite inciex,i.e. $q<d$. It is not hard to show directly that $h(\phi) \leqslant h(\phi)$. It should be emphasized that $h(\hat{\phi})$ of course means the entropy. of the g-dimensional semigroup $\hat{\phi}$. We prove a stronger result. (2.2) Theorem: Let $\left.\hat{\phi}=\left\langle\phi^{1^{1}} \ldots, \phi^{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle\left(1^{i} \epsilon_{+}^{d}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant q)\right)$ be a subsemigroup with infinite index then $h(\phi)>0$ implies $h(\hat{\phi})=\infty$.

Proof: Consider first the particular case $\hat{\phi}=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{q}\right\rangle$ for some $q<d$. Remember $\hat{\phi}^{c}$ means the semigroup $\left.<\phi_{q+1} \ldots \ldots \phi_{d}\right\rangle$. If $\left(k^{m}\right)$ is a sequence in $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{(d-q)}$ such that $k^{m} \rightarrow \infty$ and $G$ an open cover of $X$ then writing $a \rho_{k} m=\bigvee_{k \in \rho_{k} m}\left(\hat{\phi}^{c}\right)^{-k} a \quad$ we get by (1.4)

$$
h(\phi, a)=m_{m \rightarrow \infty}^{1 i_{\infty}}\left|\rho_{k}\right|^{-1} h\left(\hat{\phi}, a_{k^{m}} m\right.
$$

Since $h(\phi)>0$ we can find a cover $a$ such that $h(\phi, a)>0$. Now $\left|\rho_{k} m\right| \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $h\left(\hat{\phi}_{1}, a_{\rho_{k}} m\right)$
is an unbounded sequence. We conclude $h(\hat{\phi})=\infty$.
In the general case $\hat{\phi}=\left\langle\phi^{1^{1}}, \ldots, \phi^{1^{q}}\right\rangle$ we choose vectors $1^{q+1}, \ldots, 1^{d}$ in $\mathbb{z}_{+}^{d}$ such that $1^{1}, \ldots, 1^{q} 1^{q+1}, \ldots, 1^{d}$ $\downarrow$ are linearly independent vectors. Then $\mathcal{\phi}=\left\langle\phi^{1^{i}} \ldots \ldots \phi^{1 d}\right\rangle$ has finite index, say $p$, in $\phi$ and by (2.1) $h(\bar{\phi})=p h(\phi)$. $h(\phi)>0$ implies therefore $h(\bar{\phi})>0$. But with respect to क the subsemigroup $\hat{\phi}$ has just the form required at the beginning.
(2.3) Corollary: If $h\left(\phi_{i}\right)<\infty$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$ $(d \geq 2)$ then $h(\phi)=0$.

Warning: $h(\phi)=0$ does not imply $h(\hat{\phi})<\infty$ or even $h(\hat{\phi})=0$ for subsemigroups $\hat{\phi}$ of infinite index. See (2.5) for a counterexample.

Now we prove a first product theorem. Let $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{a}\right\rangle$ be a semigroup acting on $x$ and $\psi=\left\langle\psi, \ldots, \psi_{d}\right\rangle$ be another one acting on $Y$. Given $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ we define the map $\phi^{l} \times \psi^{k}$ of $X \times Y$ into itself by

$$
\left(\phi^{1} \times \psi^{k}\right)(x, y)=\left(\phi^{1} x, \psi^{k} y\right) \quad((x, y) \in x \times x)
$$

Denote by $\phi \psi$ the semigroup consisting of the family of maps $\left\{\phi^{1} \times \psi^{k} \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathrm{d}}, k \in \mathcal{Z}_{+}^{\mathrm{d}}\right\}$, $\left.\phi \infty\right\} \operatorname{can}$ be written in a different way. Namely if $I_{X}$ and $I_{Y}$ are the identity maps on $X$ and $Y$ respectively then

$$
\left.\phi \otimes \psi=\left\langle\phi_{1} \times I_{Y}, \ldots, \phi_{d} \times I_{Y}, I_{X} \times \psi_{1} \ldots, I_{X} \times \psi_{d}\right\rangle\right\rangle
$$

(2.4) Theorem: $h(\phi *)=0$.
proof: Given an open cover $\mathscr{C}$ of $x \times y$ there exist open covers $G$ of $X$ and $\mathscr{L}$ of $Y$ such that $\mathscr{C}$ (see [1] p. 312). Take sequences (1) in $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathrm{d}}, 1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$, $\left(k^{n}\right)$ in $z_{+}^{d}, k^{n} \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$
\mathrm{h}(\phi \propto \psi, \mathscr{L}) \leqslant \mathrm{h}(\phi \otimes \psi, O \times \mathscr{L})
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho\left(1^{n}, k^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} H\left(1 \in \rho_{1}{ }^{\prime} \phi^{-1}\left(\pi \times \underset{k}{\vee} \rho_{k^{n}} \psi-k L_{r}\right)\right. \\
& \leq \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{k} n\right|^{-1}\left(\left|\rho_{1} n\right|^{-1} H\left(1 \in \rho_{1} n \phi^{-1}(n)\right)+\right. \\
& +_{n} \lim _{\rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1}\left(\left|\rho_{k^{n}}\right|^{-1} H\left(\bigvee_{k} \in \rho_{k^{n}} \Psi^{-k} \not \mathscr{L}_{n}\right)\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

In both limits the first factor converges to 0 whereas the second expression converges to the finite values $h(\dot{\phi}, O)$ resp. $h(\psi, \mathscr{E})$. Therefore $h(\phi \psi, \mathscr{\mathcal { L }})=0$. since $\mathscr{C}$ was arbitrary we get $h(\phi ष \psi)=0$.
(2.5) Corollary: $h(\phi)=0$ does not imply that there is an $i \in(1, \ldots, d\}$ for which $h\left(\phi_{1}\right)<\infty$ (of course $d \geqslant 2$ ).

Proof: Let $X, Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces and $\varphi: X \rightarrow X, Y: Y \rightarrow Y$ be continuous maps both having infinite entropy. Consider the productsemigroup

$$
\phi=\left\langle\left(\varphi \times I_{Y}\right),\left(I_{X} \times \psi\right)\right\rangle \text { on } X \times Y,(2.4) \text { implies }
$$ $h(\phi)=0$. On the other hand the productheoren is [g] (Theorem 2) (see also (3.7) later) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(\varphi \times I_{X}\right)=h(\varphi)=\infty \quad \text { and } \\
& h\left(I_{X} \times \psi\right)=h(\psi)=\infty \quad .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. General theorems

The following three statements are well-known results in the case of a single continuous mapping $\varphi$ of a compact Hausdorff space $X$ into itself [1]. Their generalization to semigroups $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1} \ldots, \phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$ of continuous commuting mappings of $X$ into itself is straightforward.
(3.1) Proposition: Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ be closed subsets of $X$ such that $x=x_{1} \cup x_{2}$ and $\phi^{i} x_{i} \in x_{i} \quad\left(x_{i} \in x_{i}, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}, i=1,2\right)$. Then

$$
h(\phi)=\max \left\{h\left(^{1} \phi\right), h\left({ }^{2} \phi\right)\right\}
$$

where ${ }^{i} \phi$ denote the restrictions of $\phi$ to $X_{i}(1=1,2)$.
(3.2) Corollary: Let $X_{1}$ be a closed subset of $x$ such that $\phi^{1} x_{1} \in X_{1} \quad\left(x_{1} \in X_{1}, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}\right)$ then $h\left({ }^{1} \phi\right) \leqslant h(\phi)$.
(3.3) Proposition: Let $\sim$ be an equivalence relation on $X$ compatible with $\phi$ in the sense $x \sim y$ implies $\phi_{i} x \sim \phi_{i} y \quad(1 \leq i \leq d)$. Define a semigroup $\widetilde{\phi}=\left\langle\widehat{\phi}_{1}, \ldots, \widehat{\phi}_{d}\right\rangle$ on $x / \sim$ by $\widetilde{\phi}_{i} \pi=\pi \phi_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$ where $\pi$ is the projection of $x$ onto $x / \sim$. Then
$h(\widetilde{\phi}) \leq h(\phi)$.

Given a directed set $J$ let $\left(X_{i}\right)_{1 \in J}$ be a family of compact Hausdorff spaces on each of which acting a semigroup ${ }^{i_{\phi}}=\left\langle{ }^{i_{\phi_{1}}}, \ldots,{ }^{i_{\phi_{d}}}\right\rangle$ of continuous commuting transformations such that for $j \geq k \quad\left(x_{k}, k_{\phi}\right)$ is a homomorphic image of $\left(X_{j}, j \phi\right)$ under a map $\lambda_{j k}$ and the $\lambda_{j k}$ are consistent. Define

$$
x=\left\{x=\left(x_{i}\right)_{i \in J} \in \prod_{i \in J} x_{i} \mid \lambda \lambda_{j k}\left(x_{j}\right)=x_{k} \text { for } j, k \in J, j \geqslant k\right\}
$$

$X$ is compact with the topology that is induced by the product topology. Define a semigroup $\phi$ of continuous commuting maps of $X$ into itself by

$$
\left(\phi^{1}(x)\right)_{i}=\left({ }^{i} \phi\right)^{1}\left(x_{i}\right) \quad\left(x \in x, i \in J, 1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}\right)
$$

$(x, \phi)$ is called the inverse limit of $\left(X_{i},{ }^{i} \phi\right)_{i \in J}$.
(3.4) Theorem: $h(\phi) \leq \sup _{i \in j} h\left(^{i} \phi\right)$ and if the maps $\lambda_{i j}$ are surjective then $h(\phi)=\lim _{i \in J} h\left({ }^{i} \phi\right)$.

The proof is analog onus to [9]. Theorem 1 .
Now we turn to a particular inverse limit. let $\phi$ be a
semigroup acting on $X$. Consider the directed set $Z_{+}^{d}$ where $1 \geqslant k$ iff $1-k \in \operatorname{ZZ}_{+}^{\mathrm{d}}$. Let $\left(\mathrm{X}^{*}, \phi^{*}\right)$ be the inverse limit of $\left(X_{1},{ }^{1} \phi\right)_{1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}}$ where $\left(X_{1},{ }^{1} \phi\right)=(x, \phi)$ for all $1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ and the $\lambda_{1 k}(1 \geqslant k)$ are given by $\phi^{1-k}$. The reason for this construction is, that $\phi^{*}$ is a group of homeomorphisms.

Define $\widetilde{x}=\prod_{1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}} \phi^{1}(x)$ and denote by $\bar{\phi}$ the restriction of $\phi$ to $\widetilde{X}$. The semigroup $\bar{\phi}$ consists of surjective maps of $\widetilde{X}$ onto $\widetilde{X}$. Similar to proposition 5 in $[9]$ one proves.

$$
\text { (3.5) property: } \quad h(\tilde{\phi})=h(\phi) .
$$

Since $\left((\widetilde{X})^{*},(\widetilde{\phi})^{*}\right)=\left(x^{*}, \phi^{*}\right)$ we get combining the second part of (3.4) with (3.5)
(3.6) Property: $h\left(\phi^{*}\right)=h(\phi)$.

The following product theorem is essentially due to Goodwin [9] in the case of a single map $\varphi$.
(3.7) Theorem: Let $X$ and $Y$ be compact Hausdorff spaces and $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ and $\psi=\left\langle\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{d}\right\rangle$ semigroups of c.c.m. of $X$ respectively $Y$ into itself. Define the productsemigroup $\phi \times \psi=\left\langle\phi_{1} \times \psi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d} \times \psi_{d}\right\rangle$ of $X \times Y$ into itself ky

$$
\left(\phi_{i} \times \psi_{i}\right)(x, y)=\left(\phi_{i} x, \psi_{i} y\right) \quad(x, y) \in X x y
$$

Then

$$
h(\phi \times \psi)=h(\phi)+h(\psi)
$$

The proof runs analogously to [9]. (3.7) has the following generalization. See [9]. Theorem 3 for a proof.
(3.8) Theorem: Let $\left({ }^{k} \phi\right)_{k \in K}$ be an arbitrary family of semigroups ${ }^{k_{\phi}}=\left\langle k_{\phi_{1}} \ldots \ldots,{ }^{k_{\phi_{d}}}>\right.$ acting on compact Hausdorff spaces $\left(X_{k}\right)_{k \in K}$ respectively. Define $x=\prod_{k \in K} X_{k}$ endowed with the product topology and $\phi: x \rightarrow x$ by $(\phi(x))_{k}=k^{k} \phi\left(x_{k}\right) \quad(x \in x, k \in K)$ then

$$
h(\phi)=\sum_{k \in K} h\left({ }^{k} \phi\right) .
$$

For the rest of this section we assume $x$ to be compact metric.
A group $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$ of commuting homeomorphisms acting on $X$ is called an expansive group iff there is an $\varepsilon>0$ such that given $x, y \in X$ either $x=y$ or there is a $1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\text {d }}$ and $d^{\prime}\left(\phi^{1} x, \phi^{1} y\right)>E$. If $\phi$ is only a semigroup of continuous commuting maps then $\phi$ is called a positively expansive semigroup if the same holds with
$1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$. In both cases $E$ is called an expansive constant.

Note that $\phi$ is an expansive group if one of the $\phi_{i}$ is expansive in the usual sense of expansiveness for homeomorphisms. An analog ous observation can be made in the semigroup case.

Let $1=\left(1_{1}, \ldots, 1_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ be given. We write

$$
\operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}^{1}\right):=\prod_{i=1}^{d} \operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{i}^{1}\right)
$$

Where Fix (f) means the set of fixed points of a mapping $f$. Then the following result can be pro vel using the ideas of proposition (2.8) [2].
(3.9) Theorem: If $\phi$ is an expansive group of commuting homeomorphisms or a positively expansive semigroup of continuous commuting maps acting on a compact metric space $X$ then

$$
h(\phi) \geq \overline{i n m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1} \log \left|\operatorname{Fix}\left(\phi_{1}^{1} \ldots . \phi_{d}^{1_{d}^{n}}\right)\right|
$$

where $\left(1^{n}\right)$ is any sequence in $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ such that $1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$.

Note that for the d-dimensional shift (Example (1.10)) indeed

$$
h(\phi)=\lim ,\left.\rho_{1^{n}}\right|^{-1} \log \left|F i x\left(\phi_{1}^{1}, \ldots . \phi_{d}^{1^{n}}\right)\right|
$$

A point $x \in X$ is called a wandering point of the semigroup $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$ inf there is a neighborhood $u$ of $x$ such
that $\quad \mathrm{U} \cap \bigcup_{1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{\mathrm{d}},[\mathrm{o}]} \phi^{3} \mathrm{U}=\varnothing$; otherwise $x$ is
called nonwandering. The set $\Omega=\Omega(\phi)$ of nonwandering points is closed and invariant $\left(\phi^{1} \Omega \subset \Omega\right.$ for $\left.1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}\right)$. Note that wandering points of $\phi$ are wandering points of $\phi_{i}$ for every $i \in\{1, \ldots, d\}$. Therefore $\Omega(\phi)>\varliminf_{i=1}^{d} \Omega\left(\phi_{i}\right)$. The following theorem states that as far as entropy is concerned the only interesting part of $X$ is the nonwandering set $\Omega$.
(3.10) Theorem: Let $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ be a semigroup of continuous commuting maps of a compact metric space $x$ into itself. If $\Omega$ denotes the set of nonwandering points of $\phi$ then

$$
h(\phi)=h(\phi \mid \Omega),
$$

where $\phi \mid \Omega$ is the restriction of $\phi$ to $\Omega$.
Proof: see the appendix.
Two semigroups $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ and $\psi=\left\langle\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{d}\right\rangle$
acting on compact metric spaces $X$ and $Y$ respectively
are $\Omega$-conjugate of there is a homeomorphism $\varphi$ of $\Omega(\phi)$ onto $\Omega(4)$ such that $\varphi \circ \phi_{i}=4_{i} \circ \rho(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$.
(3.11) Corollary:

If $\phi$ and $\psi$ are $\Omega$-conjugate then

$$
h(\phi)=h(4) .
$$

(3.12) Corollary:

If $\Omega(\phi)$ is a finite set then

$$
h(\phi)=0 .
$$

Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space and $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ a semigroup of c.c.m. of $X$ into itself. Throughout this section A will always denote a subset of $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ and $L \leadsto\left\{I^{n}\right\}$ a sequence in $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ such that $1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$. We write $A(1)=A \cap \rho_{1}$ and define for any open cover $a$ of $x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{A, L}(\phi, a)=\overline{n i m}_{\lim ^{\prime}}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} H\left(V_{j \in A\left(1^{n}\right)} \phi^{-j} a\right) \\
& h_{A, L}(\phi)=\sup \left\{h_{A, L}(\phi, a) \mid a \text { open cover }\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is called the topological sequence entropy (t.s.e.) of $\phi$ with respect to $A$ and $L$. Define

$$
\alpha(A, L)=\bar{n}^{\lim _{\rightarrow \infty}}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1}\left|\rho_{1} n\right|
$$

and denote for $k>0 \quad \bar{\rho}_{k}=\left\{1 \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}| | 1_{i} \mid<k \quad(1 \leq i \leq d)\right\}$. A subset $A$ of $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ is said to have bounded gaps ff there exists
 finite value for any subset $A$ with bounded gaps.
(4.1) Lemma: If $d(A, L)$ is finite then

$$
h_{A, L}(\phi, \alpha) \leq d(A, L) h(\phi, G)
$$

Proof: $\quad h_{A, L}(\phi, \alpha)=$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\overline{11 m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1}\left|\rho_{1} n\right| \quad\left|\rho_{1} n\right|^{-1} H\left(V_{j \in A(1} n\right) \phi^{-j}(a) \\
& \leqslant a(A, L){ }_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\rho_{1}\right|^{-1} H\left(G \rho_{1} n\right)=d(A, L) n(\phi, a) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(4.2) Theorem: Let $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$ be a semigroup of c.c.m. of $X$ into itself and $A \subset Z_{+}^{d}$ a subset having bounded gaps then

$$
h_{A_{P} L}(\phi)=d(A, L) h(\phi)
$$

Proof: Choose $k>0$ such that $A+\bar{\rho}_{k} \supset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ such that $\quad r+\left(A+\bar{\rho}_{2 k}\right) \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(A, L) h(\phi, a)=a(A, L) h\left(\phi, \phi^{-r} C\right)= \\
& =\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} \quad H\left(V_{1+\rho_{1} n^{-1}} \phi^{-1} \quad-\right. \\
& \leq \prod_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} \quad H\left(1 \in \lim _{x+A\left(1^{n}\right)+\bar{\rho}_{2 k}} \phi^{-1} G\right)= \\
& =h_{A, L}\left(\phi, 1 \in \bar{\rho}_{2 k} \phi^{1}\left(\phi^{-r} a\right)\right) \text {. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the supremum over all open covers $O l$ we get $d(A, L) h(\phi) \leqslant h_{A_{,}}(\phi)$. The reverse inequality is clear by (4.1).

Whereas $h(\phi)$ does not depend on the sequence $L=\left\{i^{n}\right\}$ used in its definition, theorem (4.2) shows that $h_{A, L}(\phi)$ in general depends on $L$ since there are simple examples for subsets $A \underset{\neq}{\subset} \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ for which $d(A, L)$ depends on $L$.

Furthermore we note, that theorem (4.2) partially covers theorem (2.1) since a subsemigroup $P_{\phi}=\left\langle\phi_{1}^{p_{1}} \ldots \ldots, \phi_{d}^{P_{d}}\right\rangle\left(p_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$ of finite index $p$ corresponds to a subset $A$ with bounded gaps such that $d(A, L)=p$ for any $L$ and $h_{A, L}(\phi)=n(\phi)$.

Measure-theoretic sequence entropy (m.s.e.) is defined in a similar way as t.s.e.. Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{L}, m)$ be a probability measure space and $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{\mathrm{d}}\right\rangle$ a semigroup of measurepreserving transformations of $\Omega$ onto itself. If of $=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is an at most countable partition of $\Omega$ into measurable sets then $H_{m}(O)=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m\left(a_{i}\right) \log m\left(a_{i}\right)$ is called the entropy of Of. For any $O$ such that $n_{n}(a)<\infty$ we define

$$
n_{A, L, m}(\phi, a)=\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} H_{m}\left(V_{k \in A\left(1^{n}\right)} \phi^{-k}(\eta)\right.
$$

$$
h_{A, L, m}(\phi)=\sup \left[n_{A, L, m}(\phi, O) \mid \alpha \text { partition, } H_{m}(\alpha)<\infty\right]
$$

is called the m.s.e. of $\phi$ with respect to $A$ and $L$. The following result is an extension of Goodwin's theorem ([10], [11]). The proof follows that of Misiurewice [24].
(4.3) Theorem: Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space and $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1} \ldots \phi_{d}\right\rangle \quad$ a semigroup of c.c.m, of $x$ into itself. Then for any $\phi_{i}$-invariant $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$ normalized Borelmeasure $m$ on $X$

$$
h_{A, L, m}(\phi) \leq h_{A, L}(\phi)
$$

Proof: Let $O=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right\}$ be a Bored partition then for any $\varepsilon>0$ there exist compact sets $b_{i} \subset a_{i}(1 \leq i \leq s)$ such that $m\left(\sum_{i}^{\left(\sum_{1}\right.}\left(a_{i} \backslash b_{i}\right)\right)<\varepsilon$ and $\rho_{m}\left(a_{i}, \mathcal{L}_{0}\right)=$ $H_{m}\left(O \mid \mathscr{L}_{0}\right)+H_{m}\left(L_{o} \mid O\right)<1$ where $\mathscr{L}_{o}=\left\{b_{o}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right\}$ with $b_{0}=x \backslash{ }_{i=1}^{s} b_{i}$ and $\rho_{m}\left(G, \mathscr{L}_{0}\right)$ is the metric on the space of partitions as defined in $[19], 6.1$. $e=\left\{b_{0} \cup b_{1}, \ldots, b_{o} \cup b_{s}\right\}$ is an open cover of $X$ and we get $H_{m}\left(V_{k} \in A\left(1^{n}\right) \phi^{-k} \mathscr{L}_{0}\right) \leq \log N\left(k V_{A\left(11^{n}\right.} \phi^{-k} \mathscr{Z}_{o}\right) \leq$ $\log N\left(V_{k \in A\left(1^{n}\right)} \phi^{-k}(P)+\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right| \log 2\right.$.

Therefore $h_{A, L, m}\left(\phi, y_{0}\right) \leq h_{A, L}(\phi, \varphi)+1092$. Now using the fact that

$$
\left|h_{A, L, m}(\phi, G)-h_{A, L, m}\left(\phi, \psi_{0}\right)\right| \leqslant \rho_{m}\left(Q, L_{0}\right)
$$

which can be shown as in [19], 8.6., we get

$$
\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~L}, \mathrm{~m}}(\phi) \leq \mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~L}}(\phi)+\log 2+1
$$

If $\left(\Omega^{n}, \mathscr{L}^{n}, m^{n}\right)$ denotes the $n-f o l d$ product measure space with components $\left(\Omega, x_{n}, m\right)$ and ${ }^{n} \phi=\left\langle{ }^{n} \phi_{1} \ldots{ }^{n} \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ the productsemfgroup on it, ide.
${ }^{n} \phi_{i}=\phi_{i} \times \ldots \times \phi_{i}: \Omega^{n} \rightarrow \Omega^{n}$, then a direct computation shows $h_{A, L, m^{n}}\left({ }^{n} \phi\right)=n^{h_{A, L}, m}(\phi)$. Later (4.7) we shall
state that the same formula holds for the $t . s$.e. of the productsemigroup of a semigroup of c.c.m. . Therefore

$$
n n_{A, L, m}(\phi) \leq n n_{A, L}(\phi)+\log 2+1
$$

Dividing by $n$ and letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain the result.

For any subset $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ and any sequence $L=\left[1^{n}\right]$ such that $1^{n} \rightarrow \infty$ we define the quantity

$$
K(A, L)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\{{\underset{n i m}{\lim _{\infty}}}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)+\widetilde{\rho}_{k}\right|\right\}
$$

where $\tilde{\rho}_{k}=\left\{1 \in \gamma_{+}^{d} \mid 0 \leq 1_{i} \leqslant k \quad(\eta \leq i \leq d)\right\}$. Note that the expression in brackets is always greater or equal than 1 and $K(A, W)$ itself is the limit of an increasing sequence and can be infinite.
(4.4) Proposition: Let $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots . \phi_{\alpha}\right\rangle$ be a semigroup of measure -preserving transformations on a Lebesgue measure space $(\Omega, \mathscr{L}, \mathrm{m})$ then

$$
h_{A, L, m}(\phi) \geq \begin{cases}K(A, L) & h_{m}(\phi) \\ 0 & \text { if } K(A, L)<\infty, 0<h_{m}(\phi)<\infty \\ \infty & \text { if } h_{m}(\phi)=0 \\ & \text { if } h_{m}(\phi)=\infty \text { or } \\ & 0<h_{m}(\phi)<\infty, K(A, L)=\infty\end{cases}
$$

Proof: Let $a$ be a partition such that $u_{m}(q)<\infty$ and write $a^{k}=\sqrt[\epsilon_{\tilde{\rho}_{k}}]{ } \phi^{-1} a$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{A, L, m}\left(\phi, a^{k}\right)=\overline{n i m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} n_{m}\left(1 \in A\left(1^{n}\right)+\tilde{\rho}_{k} \phi^{-1} a\right) \\
& \Rightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|A\left(2^{n}\right)\right|^{-1}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)+\tilde{\rho}_{k}\right| \quad n(\phi, Q)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact (proof analogous [26] Lemma 2) that for any finite subset $S C \mathcal{H}_{+}^{d}$ and any partition $O$ such that $H_{m}(O)<\infty$

$$
H_{m}\left(V_{S} \phi^{-1} a\right) \geq|s| n_{m}(\phi, a)
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =h_{A, L, m}(\phi) \geq k_{k}^{\lim _{\infty}} h_{A, L, m}\left(\phi, O^{k}\right) \\
& \geq \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}{\underset{n}{ } \lim _{\rightarrow}}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)+\widetilde{\rho_{k}}\right| h_{m}(\phi, G) \\
& =K(A, L) h_{m}(\phi, G) \quad \text { if } K(A, L) \quad \text { is finite. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the supremum over all ot we get the result.

Combining (4.3) with (4.4) we obtain
(4.5) Corollary: Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space and $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ a semigroup of c.c.m. on it then

$$
h_{A, L}(\phi) \geq \begin{cases}K(A, L) h(\phi) & \text { if } K(A, L)<\infty, 0<h(\phi)<\infty \\ 0 & \text { if } h(\phi)=0 \\ \infty & \text { if } h(\phi)=\infty \text { or } \\ & 0<h(\phi)<\infty, K(A, L)=\infty\end{cases}
$$

There is another approach to t.s.e. that was first given by Bowen ([3], see also [22]). Let $X, \phi$ and $A$ be as before and $O$ an open cover of $X$. A set $E \subset X$ is ( $\left.A, f_{1}, a\right)-$ separated (with respect to $\phi$ ) iffy for any $x, y \in E, x \neq y$ there is a $k \in A(1)$ such that $\phi^{k} x \in U \in C \pi$ implies $\phi^{k} y \notin U$. If $K \subset X$ is compact then we write $s\left(A, \rho_{1}, a, K\right)$ for the largest cardinality of a $\left(A, \rho_{1},(X)\right.$-separated set in $K$. Given a sequence $L^{\prime}=\left\{1^{m}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{\mathrm{a}}, 1^{\mathrm{n}} \rightarrow \infty$ write

$$
\left.\left|\leftarrow \bar{s}(A, L, U, K)=\overline{\eta i m}_{n \rightarrow \infty}\right| A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} \log s\left(A, \varrho_{1} n, a, K\right)
$$

and

$$
\mid \& h_{A, L}(\phi, K)=\sup [S(A, L, G, k) \mid \text { ot open cover }\}
$$

A set $F \subset X\left(A, \rho_{1} \mathcal{O}\right)-$ spans a set $k$ (with respect to $\oint$ ) iff for any $x \in K$ there is a $y \in F$ such that given $k \in A(1)$ there is a $u \in O$ with $\phi^{k} x, \phi^{k} y \in U$. For any compact set $K \subset X$ we write $x\left(A, \rho_{1}, O, K\right)$ for the smallest cardinality of any set which ( $A, \rho_{1}, O$ )-spans $K$ and

$$
\left\lfloor\bar{r}(A, L, O, K)=\sum_{n \xrightarrow{1 i m}}^{\infty}\left|A\left(1^{n}\right)\right|^{-1} \log r\left(A, \rho_{1} n, a, K\right) .\right.
$$

It is easy to see that taking the supremum over all open covers we get the same quantity as above, ie.

$$
\longleftarrow h_{A, L}(\phi, K)=\sup [\bar{F}(A, L, G, K) \mid a \text { open cover }] .
$$

Rewriting the proof of Proposition 2.3 in [22] in our situation yields

$$
h_{A, L}(\phi, x)=h_{A, L}(\phi)
$$

If $A$ is the full set $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$ we shall omit the $A$ in the notation. In this case the right side is nothing but $h(\phi)$. In other words $h_{L}(\phi, x)$ does not depend on $L$. This can be shown directly too. We state
(4.6) Proposition : For any compact, invariant (i.e. $\phi^{1} \mathrm{~K} \subset \mathrm{~K}$ ( $\left.1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}\right)$ ) subset $K$ of $X h_{L}(\phi, K)$ does not depend on $L$.

In the following sections where we shall use Bowen's definition of topological entropy $x$ will always be metrizable with metric di. In this case it is convenient to consider covers of $\varepsilon$-balls. We shall say a set $E \subset X$ is $\left(\rho_{1}, \varepsilon\right)$-separated iff for distinct $x, y \in E$ there is a $k \in \rho_{1}$ such that d) $\left(\phi^{k} x, \phi^{k} y\right)>\varepsilon$ and a set $F \in X \quad\left(\rho_{1}, \varepsilon\right)$-spans a set $K \subset x$ iff for each $x \in K$ there is a $y \in F$ such that al $\left(\phi^{k} x, \phi^{k} y\right) \leqslant \varepsilon$ for all $k \in \rho_{1}$.

Finally we state a result on the $t . s . e$. of a productemigroup. The proof is analogous to proposition 2.4 [22].
(4.7) Proposition: Let $x$ be a compact Hausdorff space, $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ a semigroup of c.c.m. on $x$ then the productsemigroup $\phi \times \phi=\left\langle\phi_{1} \times \phi_{1}, \ldots, \phi_{d} \times \phi_{d}\right\rangle$ satisfies

$$
\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~L}}(\phi \times \phi)=2 \mathrm{~h}_{\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{~L}}(\phi)
$$

## 5. Semiflows of dimension d

Let ( $X$, d ) be a compact metric space. The set of continuous mappings of $X$ into itself is a semigroup under composition. A family $\phi=\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{t} \in \mathcal{F}_{+}^{d}$ contained in this set is called a continuous semiflow of dimension $d$ (or continuous d-dimensional semiflow) iffy the mapping $t \rightarrow \phi_{t}$ is a semigroup homomorphism and the mapping $(x, t) \rightarrow \phi_{t} x$ of the product space $x \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ into $x$ is continuous. $\phi=\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}$ is called a continuous flow of dimension $d$ iff the same holds with $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ instead of $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$.

For any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we define $(x)_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ by

$$
\left((r)_{i}\right)_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
x & 1=j \\
0 & i \neq j
\end{array} .\right.
$$

$\phi$ can be considered to be generated by the one-dimensional semiflows $\phi^{i}=\left(\phi_{r}^{i}\right)_{r \in \mathbb{R}_{+d}} \quad(1=1=\mathrm{d})$ where $\phi_{r}^{i}:=\phi_{(r)_{i}}$. Given $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{P}_{+}^{+d}$ we consider now the discrete subsemigroups

$$
\left\langle\phi_{\left(t_{1}\right),}, \cdots, \phi_{\left(t_{\alpha}\right)_{d}}\right\rangle
$$

(5.1) Theorem: Let $\phi=\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{t} \in \mathbb{I}_{+}^{d}$ be a continuous semiflow of dimension $d$, then for any $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$

If $\phi=\left(\phi_{t}\right) t \in \mathbb{T}^{d}$ is a continuous flow then the same holds for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ with $\left|t_{i}\right|$ instead of $t_{i}$ on the right side.
15.2) Remark: If $t_{i}=0$ for some $i$ and $h\left(\left\langle\phi_{(1)}, \ldots, \phi_{(1)}\right\rangle\right)=\infty$ then the product on the right side is understood to be 0 since the left side is $O$ in this case.

By means of (5.1) it makes sense to define the entropy of $\phi$ as follows
(5.3) Definition: Let $\phi=\left\langle\phi_{t}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{\prime} \in \mathbb{H}_{+}^{d}$ be a continuous semiflow of dimension a then

$$
h(\phi):=h\left(<\phi_{(1)_{1}} \cdots \cdot \phi_{(1)_{d}}>\right)
$$

Proof of (5.1): We shall abbreviate $t^{t^{\prime}}\left(=\left\langle\phi_{\left(t_{1}\right)}, \ldots, \phi_{\left(t_{d}\right)}\right\rangle\right.$ Then given $s=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d}\right)$ and $t=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{d}$ such that $s_{i}, t_{i} \neq 0$ for all $1=1=d$ we shall prove

$$
h\left({ }^{t} \phi\right)=\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} t_{i}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} s_{i}\right)^{-1} h\left({ }^{s} \phi\right)
$$

This implies the result.
Given $\varepsilon>0$ we can choose $\delta>0$ such that di $\left(\phi_{u}(x), \phi_{u}(y)\right)<\varepsilon$ for all $u \in Q_{s}:=\prod_{i=1}^{a}\left[0, s_{i}\right]$ whenever $d f(x, y)<\delta$.
Let $1=\left(I_{1}, \ldots, I_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{z}_{+}^{d}$ be given and $E$ be a set of minimal cardinality that $\left(\rho_{1}, \delta\right)$-spans $x$ with respect to ${ }^{s} \phi$. Then $E \quad\left(\rho_{k}, \mathcal{E}\right)-\operatorname{spans} x$ with respect to ${ }^{t} \phi$ for all $k=\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in \boldsymbol{x}_{+}^{d}$ such that $\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left[0, t_{i} k_{i}\right] \subset \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left[0, s_{i} 1_{i}\right] \quad$. Therefore if $L_{k}=\left(k^{n}\right)$ is the sequence in $\mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d^{i=1}}$ defined by $k_{i}^{n}=n \quad(1=1=d)$ and $L_{1}=\left(1^{n}\right)$ the sequence defined by $1_{i}^{n}=\left[t_{i} s_{i}^{-1} n\right]+1$ we get

$$
r_{t_{\phi}}\left(\rho_{k^{n}} \cdot E, x\right) \leqslant r_{s_{\phi}}\left(\rho_{1^{n}} \cdot \delta, x\right)
$$

This implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathbf{r}}_{t_{\phi}}\left(L_{k}, \varepsilon, x\right) & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-d} \prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(\left[t_{i} s_{i}^{-1} n_{1}\right]+1\right) \bar{x}_{s_{\phi}}\left(L_{1}, \delta, x\right) \\
& =\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d} t_{i}\right)\left(\prod_{i=1}^{d}\left(s_{i}\right)^{-1} \eta_{i}\left({ }^{s} \phi, x\right) \quad .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get the desired inequality.
(5.4) Remark: For flows there is a more general formulation of (5.1). $\phi$ can be considered as real vector space with base $\phi_{(1)_{1}}, \ldots, \phi_{(1)_{d}}$. Any real d-dimensional matrix $M$ maps the basevectors on vectors $M\left(\phi_{\left.(1)_{i}\right)}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d) \quad\right.$. If $\phi_{M}$ denotes the discrete subgroup of $\phi$ generated by these, i.e, $\phi_{M}:=\left\langle M\left(\phi_{(1)_{1}}\right), \ldots, M\left(\phi_{(1)_{a}}\right)\right\rangle$ then $h\left(\phi_{M}\right)=|\operatorname{det} M| h\left(\left\langle\phi(1)_{1}, \cdots, \phi_{(1)}\right\rangle\right) \quad$.
Knowing (5.1) the proof of this equation runs as in [5] Theorem (6.1).


Given a real number $A>0$ we consider the following space of real-valued functions on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ :
$L^{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)=\left\{h: \mathbb{R}^{d} \rightarrow[0,1] \| h\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d}\right)-h\left(t_{1}, \ldots t_{d}\right) \mid \leq\right.$ $\leqslant A \max _{1 \leqslant i \leqslant d}\left|s_{i}-t_{i}\right|$ for all $\left.\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{d}\right),\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{a}\right\}$. Let $Q_{k}=[-k, k]^{1}$ denote the cube whose sides have length $2 k$, then

$$
\text { df }(h, g)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-k+1} \sup _{s 6 Q_{k}}|h(s)-g(s)|
$$

defines a metric such that ( $L^{A}\left(\mathbb{P}^{d}\right)$, al ) is a compact metric space. The d-dimensional shiftflow $S=\left(S_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}$ is given by

$$
\left(s_{t} h\right)(s)=h(s+t) \quad\left(s, t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, h \in L^{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)\right)
$$

$S$ is a d-dimensional flow of homeomorphisms generated by the flows $S^{i}=\left(S(t)_{i}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant d)$ where $(t)_{i} \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is defined by

$$
\left((t)_{i}\right)_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
t & i=j \\
0 & i \neq j
\end{array} .\right.
$$

## (6.1) Theorem:

$$
h(s):=h\left(\left\langles_{(1)_{1}} \ldots \sigma_{\left.\left.(1)_{d}\right\rangle\right)=\infty . . .0 .0 .}\right.\right.
$$

Proof: We consider first the case $d=2$. Given integers $k, m \geq 1$ we shall aefine a set $g$ of $\left(\rho_{k}, 2^{-m} A\right)$ - separated functions in $L^{A}\left(R^{2}\right)$ where $g_{K}=\left[0, K^{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{2}\right.$.

Divide the interval $\left[0, k\left[\right.\right.$ into $n=2^{m} \cdot k$ intervals $\left(I_{i}\right)_{1} \leqslant_{i} \leqslant_{n}$ of equal length $2^{-m}$. Conslder the system $F$ of piecewise
linear, continuous functions $f$ on $\left[0, k\left[s, t . f(0)=1 \cdot 2^{1-m_{A}}\right.\right.$ for some $1\left(0 \leq 1 \leq\left[2^{m-1} A^{-1}\right]\right.$ and $s . t$. $£$ has constant derivative $+A$ or $-A$ on each $I_{i}$. ([a] denotes the largest integer smaller or equal than a). Clearly

$$
|F|=\left(\left[2^{m-1} A^{-1}\right]+1\right) 2^{n}
$$

Given $f \in F$ we define a function $h_{f}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in the following way

$$
h_{f}(x, y)= \begin{cases}f(0) & (x, y) \in]-\infty, 0] \times \mathbb{R} \\ f(x) & (x, y) \in[0, k[\quad \times \mathbb{R} \\ f(k) & (x, y) \in[k, \infty[\times \mathbb{R}\end{cases}
$$

Define for $(x, y) \in\left[-2^{-m}, 2^{-m}\right]^{2}$ the two "disturb-functions"

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{+}(x, y)=A\left(2^{-m}-|x|\right) 2^{m}|y| \\
& \delta^{-}(x, y)=A\left(|x|-2^{-m}\right) 2^{m}|y|
\end{aligned}
$$

We say $f \in F$ has a pike at the point $i \cdot 2^{-m}(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$ iff $f$ has derivative $+A$ on $I_{i}$ and $-A$ on $I_{i+1}$ (positive pike) or $-A$ on $I_{i}$ and $+A$ on $I_{i+1}$ (negative pike). Then the number of pikes of any $f \in F$ is between $O$ and $n-1$.
Let $f \in F$ with pikes at $i_{1} 2^{-m}, \ldots, L_{t} 2^{-m}$ be given. For any $i_{j}, j \in\{1, \ldots, t\}$ and any $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ we shall define a new function $\left.h_{f}\left(i_{j}, i\right)\right)$ by "disturbing" $h_{f}$ inside the square

$$
S_{q}\left(i_{j}, i\right)=\left[\left(i_{j}-1\right) 2^{-m},\left(i_{j}+1\right) 2^{-m}\right] \times\left[(i-1) 2^{-m},(i+1) 2^{-m}\right]
$$

If the pike at $i_{j} 2^{-m}$ is a positive one put
$h_{f}\left(\left(i_{j}, i\right)\right)(x, y)=\left\{\begin{array}{lc}h_{f}\left(\left(i_{j}-1\right) \cdot 2^{-m}, y\right)+\delta^{+}\left(x-i_{j}, 2^{-m}, y-i \cdot 2^{-m}\right) \\ & (x, y) \in s_{q}\left(i_{j}, i\right) \\ h_{f}(x, y) & \text { elsewhere },\end{array}\right.$ if it is a negative pike use $f^{-}$in this definition. In an analog,ous way we can disturb $h_{f}$ simultaneously on $k$ squares $\{k \leq t(n-1)\}$ to get functions $h_{f}\left(\left(i_{j_{1}}, i_{1}\right) \ldots,\left(i_{j_{k}}, i_{k}\right)\right)$. If two of the $k$ squares overlap, i.e. if we consider neighbors
$\left(i_{j} \cdot 2^{-m}, i \cdot 2^{-m}\right)$ and $\left(i_{j} \cdot 2^{-m},(i+1) \cdot 2^{-m}\right)$ then let the
disturbed function have constant value $h_{f}\left(\left(i_{j}-1\right) 2^{-m}, Y\right)$ on the overlapping part. The result of this procedure (including the case of 0 disturbances) is a family of functions $\ell_{f}$ such that

$$
\left|\varphi_{\dot{F}}\right|=\sum_{j=0}^{t(n-1)}(\underset{j}{t(n-1)})=2^{t(n-1)} .
$$

If we take all $\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{f}}$ s.t. $\overrightarrow{\mathrm{f}}(0)=f(0)$ and $\widetilde{f}$ has $t$ pikes we get a family of functions $\mathscr{E}_{f}(t)$ and

$$
\left|\mathscr{E}_{f}(t)\right|=2 \cdot 2^{t(n-1)}\binom{n-1}{t}
$$

Considering all $h_{\tilde{f}}$ s.t. $\widetilde{f}(0)=f(0)$ the procedure yields a family of functions
$\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \varphi_{f}(t) \quad$ s.t.

$$
\left|\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} \mathscr{t}_{f}(t)\right|=2\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{n-1}
$$

Finally summing over all $f \in F$ we get a fanily of functions $\mathscr{E}$ and

$$
|\varphi|=\left(\left[2^{m-1} A^{-1}\right]+1\right) 2\left(2^{\mathrm{n}-1}+1\right)^{\mathrm{n}-1}
$$

We want to estimate the number of functions in $\mathscr{t}$ that have. values outside the interval $[0,1]$.

Let $I_{1}, I_{2}$ be integers, $I_{1}<I_{2}$ and define

$$
F\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)=\left\{E \in E \mid E(O)=1_{1} \cdot 2^{1-m_{A}}, E(k)=1_{2} \cdot 2^{1-m_{A}}\right\},
$$

1.e. $F\left(I_{1}, 1_{2}\right)$ are those functions in $F$ that have in $n_{1}=n 2^{-1}+\left(1_{2}-1_{1}\right)$ intervals $I_{i}$ derivative $+A$. Set $n_{2}=n-n_{1}$. Clearly $\left|F\left(1_{1}, I_{2}\right)\right|=\binom{n_{1}}{n_{1}}=\binom{n_{2}}{n_{2}}$. Those functions of $\mathrm{F}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)$ that have derivative +A in $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ can have at most
$2 \mathrm{n}_{2}$ pikes (call this family $\mathrm{F}^{+}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)$ ) and those $f \in F\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)$ with derivative $-A$ in $I_{1}$ have at most $2 n_{2}-1$ pikes (call this family $\mathrm{F}^{-}\left(1,1,1_{2}\right)$ ).
Disturbing functions $h_{f}\left(E \in \mathrm{~F}^{+}\left(1_{1}, I_{2}\right)\right)$ by the procedure given above yields a family $\ell^{+}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)$ set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi^{+}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)\right|=\sum_{t=1}^{2 n_{2}} 2^{t(n-1)}\left(\frac{n_{1}^{-1}}{\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]}\right)\left(\frac{n_{2}^{-1}}{\left[\frac{t-1}{2}\right]}\right)= \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} 2^{2 j \cdot(n-1)}\left(n_{j}^{-1}\right)\left(n_{j-1}^{n_{2}^{-1}}\right)+\sum_{j=0}^{n_{2}-1} 2^{(2 j+1)(n-1)}\left(n_{j}^{-1}\right)\left(n_{j}^{n_{2}^{-1}}\right) \\
& <2^{n_{1}-1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{n_{2}-1}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+2^{n-1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here we used the following inequality

$$
\left.\binom{n_{1}^{-1}}{j} \leqslant\left(\frac{n_{1}-1}{2}\right]^{n_{1}-1}\right)<\left(n_{1}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{n_{1}-1} \quad\left(0 \leq j \leq n_{1}-1\right)
$$

Disturbing functions $h_{f}\left(f \in F^{-}(1,1,1),\right)$ yields a family $\ell^{-}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)$ set.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\varphi^{-}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)\right|=\frac{\sum_{2}^{2 n_{2}-1}}{2} 2^{t(n-1)}\left(\left[\frac{n_{1}^{-1}}{\left.\frac{t^{-1}}{2}\right]^{\prime}}\right]^{n_{2}^{-1}}\left[\frac{t}{2}\right]^{-1}\right) \\
& <2^{n_{1}^{-1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{n_{2}-1}\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\ell\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right):=\varphi^{+}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right) \cup \ell^{-}\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)$ we get

$$
\left|\varphi\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)\right|<2^{n_{1}^{-1}}\left(n_{1}-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{n_{2}-1}\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{2}
$$

Define $F(1)=\left\{f \in F \mid \vec{f}(0) \leq 1 \cdot 2^{1-m A}, f(k)>1 \cdot 2^{1-m_{A}}\right\}$ then

$$
|F(1)|=\sum_{\substack{1_{1} \leq 1 \\ 1_{2}>1}}\left|F\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{n \cdot 2^{-1}} \sum_{\substack{1_{1} \leq 1 \\ l_{2}>1}}^{1_{2}-1_{1}=j}\left|F\left(1_{1}, 1_{2}\right)\right|
$$

Remember $n_{1}=n \cdot 2^{-1}+\left(1_{2}-1_{1}\right)=n \cdot 2^{-1}+j$ and
$n_{2}=n-n_{1}=n \cdot 2^{-1}-j$.
Disturbing functions $h_{f}\left(f \in F_{1}\right)$ we get a family of functions $\varphi(1)$ sit.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\mathscr{P}(1)|<\sum_{j=1}^{n \cdot 2^{-1}} j 2^{n \cdot 2^{-1}+j-1}\left(n \cdot 2^{-1}+j-1\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{n \cdot 2^{-1}-j-1} \\
& \cdot\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{2}<\left(n \cdot 2^{-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{n \cdot 2^{-1}-1}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{n \cdot 2^{-1}-1}\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{2}, \\
& \cdot\left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j\left(\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{-1} 2\right)^{j}\right) \\
& =n^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{n \cdot 2^{-1}+2^{-1}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{n \cdot 2^{-1}}\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{2} \cdot\left(2^{2(n-1)}-1\right)^{-2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last equality we used the formula $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j x^{j}=$ $=x(1-x)^{-2}$ for $|x|<1$. Let $\underset{\max }{ }(1)=\left\{f \in F \mid f(0) \leq 1 \cdot 2^{1-m_{A}}\right.$.

$$
\left.\max _{\max [0, k} f(x)>1 \cdot 2^{1-m_{A}}\right\}
$$

One easily sees that $|\underset{\text { max }}{F}(1)| \leqslant 2|F(1)|$.
Define

$$
F^{F}[0,1]=\{f \in F \mid f(0) \in[0,1], E(x) \notin[0,1] \text { for some } r \in[0, k[]
$$

then $|F[0,1]| \leq 2|\underset{\text { max }}{\mathrm{F}}(1)| \leq 4|F(1)|$ for $1=\left[2^{m-1} A^{-1}\right]$.
If $\epsilon_{[0,1]}$ denotes the family of functions got by disturbing $h_{f}(f \in E[0,1]$ ) one can show using the inequality above

$$
|\varphi[0,1]| \leq 4 \mid \varphi\left(\left[2^{\mathrm{m}-1} A^{-1}\right] \mid\right.
$$

Now consider $g=\varphi \in \mathrm{L}^{n}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)=\mathscr{E} \backslash \varphi[(0,1]$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
|g| & =|\ell|-|\ell[0,1]|=\left(\left[2^{m-1} A^{-1}\right]+1\right) 2\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{n-1} \\
& -n^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{(n+5) 2^{-1}}\left(2^{2(n-1)}+1\right)^{n \cdot 2^{-1}}\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{2}\left(2^{2(n-1)}-1\right)^{-2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\geq\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{n-1}\left[\left(\left[2^{n-1} A^{-1}\right]+1\right) 2-n^{-\frac{1}{2}} 2^{(n+5) 2^{-1}\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{3}}\right. \\
\left.\cdot\left(2^{2(n-1)}-1\right)^{-2}\right]
\end{array}
$$

$=:\left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{n-1} c(n) \quad$.
It is clear by the construction of $\mathscr{t}$ that $g=g(\mathrm{k}, \mathrm{m})$ is a $\left(\boldsymbol{P}_{\mathrm{K}}, 2^{-\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{A}\right)$ - separated set in $\mathrm{I}^{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$. Therefore

$$
h(s) \geq \lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2} \log |g|
$$

Now $\log |g| \geq \log \left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{n-1}+\log c(n), c(n) \geq f$ for $n$ sufficiently large since $\left[2^{m-1} A^{-1}\right]+1 \geqslant 1$ and the second product in $c(n)$ converges to $O$. Therefore $\log c(n)$ is a non-negative value for $n$ large.
Remember $n=2^{m \cdot k}$, We get

$$
\log \left(2^{n-1}+1\right)^{n-1}=\left(2^{m} k-1\right) \log \left(2^{2^{m} k}+1\right) \geqslant\left(2^{m} k-1\right)^{2} .
$$

$$
\text { This implies } \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-2} \log |g|=2^{2 m} \text { and finally }
$$

$$
h(s) \geq \lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} 2^{2 m}=\infty
$$

For $d>2$ we proceed by induction in the following way. Take the family from the case $\mathbb{Q}-1$ as the new $F$, construct functions $h_{f}$ in the same way, i.e. $h_{E}$ does not depend on the last coordinate and then disturb $n_{f}$ using the given procedure.

Now we consider d-dimensional flows on measure spaces. Let $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, m$ ) be a Lebesque measure space, non-atomic and of total measure one and $\varphi$ an invertible measurepreserving transformation of $\Omega$ onto itself. (For details on this notions see for example [19]. The set of these transformations forms a group imp ( $\Omega, \mathscr{H}, \mathrm{m}$ ) under composition. A. d-dimensional measure-preserving Elow (dim d m.p. flow) on $(\Omega, \mathscr{F}, m)$ is a famlly of transformations $\phi=\left(\phi_{t}\right)_{t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}}$ contained in imp ( $\Omega, y, m$ ) such that the mapping

$$
t \rightarrow \phi_{t}
$$

from $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ into $\operatorname{imp}(\Omega, \mathscr{L}, m)$ is a group homomorphism and the mapping $(\omega, t) \rightarrow \phi_{t} \omega$ of the product measure space $\Omega \times \mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{d}}$ into $\Omega$ is measurable.

Given two dim d mop. flows $\phi$ and $\psi$ on measure spaces $\left(\Omega, S^{\prime}, \mathrm{m}\right)$ and $\left(\Omega^{\prime}, \mathcal{K}^{\prime}, \mathrm{m}^{\prime}\right)$ respectively we say they are isomorphic iff there exists a bijection $\varphi: \Omega_{0} \longrightarrow \Omega_{0}$ : where $\Omega_{0}, \Omega_{i}$ axe measurable subsets of $\Omega$ resp. $\Omega$. having measure one such that $\varphi$ and $\varphi^{-1}$ are measurable, $\varphi m=m$ and $\rho o \phi_{t}=4_{t} \rho \varphi\left(t \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

For certain purposes (see e.g. [6]) one is interested to embed a dim d mp. flow of arbitrary (measure-theoretic) entropy isomorphicly in a compact metric space with a flow of homeomorphisms operating on it. (Measure-theoretic) entropy is an isomorphism invariant. Therefore by theorem (4.3) a candidate for such an embedding procedure has to have infinite topological entropy, (6.1) says that the shiftflow on $L^{A}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ is such a candidate. we outline in the following how the embedding is done.

A dim a mp. flow $\phi$ is called aperiodic af there is a set of measure $0 \quad N \in \mathbb{y}$ such that if $\sim \& N$ and $t \neq 0$, then $\phi_{t} \omega \neq \omega$. For semiopen d-dimensional rectangles $0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and sets $B E \mathscr{Q}$ we consider $\phi_{0}^{B}:=\bigcup_{\ell Q} \phi_{t} B$. $\phi_{Q} B$ is called disjoint if the sets $\phi_{t}{ }^{B} \quad(t \in Q)$ are disjoint. We recall the following theorem due to D. Lind.

Theorem [17]:
Let $\phi$ be an aperiodic a-dimensional measure-preserving flow on a non-atomic Lebesgue measure space $(\Omega, 0, m)$ of total measure one. Then for any rectangle $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\varepsilon>0$, there is a set $F \in \mathscr{B}$ such that $\phi_{Q} F$ is disjoint, measurable and $m\left(\phi_{Q} F\right)>1-\varepsilon$. Furthermore on $\phi_{Q} F$ the measure $m$ is the completed product of a measure on $F$ with Lebesgue measure on $Q$.

Let $w \in B c \Omega$ then we put

$$
I(B, \omega)=\sup _{Q \in R(\omega)} \min _{i \leqslant i \leqslant d}\left|I_{i}\right|
$$

where $R(\omega)$ is the family of all rectangles $Q=I_{1} \times \ldots \times I_{d} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ (If intervals) such that $O \in Q$ and $\phi_{Q} \omega \in B . I(B)=\inf _{\omega \in B} 1(B, \omega)$ the minimal length of a "time-incerval" the flow $\phi$ stays inside $B$ after having entered the set $B$.
Given a vector $t^{0}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we write $<t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}>$ for the discrete subgroup of $I R^{d}$ generated by the vectors $\left(0, \ldots, t_{i}, \ldots, 0\right), i . e$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right\rangle=\left[t \in J R^{d} \mid t=\left(k_{1} t_{1}, \ldots, k_{d} t_{d}\right)\right. \text { for } \\
\left.\quad\left(k_{1}, \ldots, k_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{d}\right]
\end{array}
$$

## (6.2) Definition:

A partition $\pi$ of $\Omega$ into measurable sets is called a generator of finite type for the dim $d$ mp. flow $\phi$ if.
(1) there exists a $t^{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{a}$ such that $\left.t \in<t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right\rangle_{t} \pi=Y_{r}$ ac
(2) $1(B)>0 \quad(B \in T)$
(3) For any rectangle $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and ace. $\omega \in \Omega \quad \phi_{Q} \omega \cap B \neq \ell$ for at most a finite number of sets $B \in \pi$.

Now we observe that Lind's theoren above yields just the d-dimensional version of the representation of $\phi$ that is the starting point for our iterative construction of a generator of finite type in [ 7$]$. The construction itsclf can be gencralized to higher dimensions with some obvious modifications. We get

## (6.3)rneorem:

For any aperiodic d-dimensional measure-preserving flow on a ion-atomic Lebesgue space ( $\Omega, \mathscr{L}, \mathrm{m}$ ) there exists a countable generator of firite type.

## (6.4 Remark:

Actually we get more. Given any $t^{0}=\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that each $t_{i} \neq 0$ there exists a generator of finite type corresponding to the subgroup i.e.

$$
t \in\left\langle t_{1}, \ldots, t_{d}\right\rangle \phi_{t} \pi=\mathscr{L} \quad \text { a.e. }
$$

Once we have the existence of a generator with very regular orbit properties we get

## (6.5)heorem:

non-atomic
Every d-dimensional measure-preserving flow on a Lebesque measure space is isonorphic to a d-dimensional flow of homeomorphisms operating on a compact metric space.

Proof: Take as compact metric space the space $\mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{A}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ With the d-dimensional shiftelow $S$ on it and modify our embedding construction in $[7]$, satz (3.1), to d dimensions.

There is probably an easier way to get theorem (6.5). In the 1-dimensional case $U$. Krengel $[16]$ studied a type of generator with similar orbit-properties whose existence can be seen in a more elementary way. After $[7]$ was
written he pointed out to me that the embedding construction works as well with this type of generator. It should be not too hard to prove a d-dimensional version of his generator theorem. Also I thank U. Krengel for sending me an embedding construction for the periodic part of the flow. Such a construction was not explicitly given in $[7]$.

## Appendix

Here we give a full proof of Theorem (3.10), compare [2]. p. 25:
Let $Q_{e}$ be a finite open cover of $\Omega$ having diameter less than $\varepsilon$ for some $\subset>0$. We will construct a finite open cover $\mathcal{F}_{c}$ of $X$ having diameter less than $3 \varepsilon$ with the property

$$
h\left(\phi, \mathscr{\omega}_{E}\right) \leqslant n\left(\phi \mid \Omega 2, \alpha_{E}\right)+\varepsilon
$$

This implies using (1.8)
$h(\phi)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h\left(\phi, \mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} h\left(\phi \mid \Omega, \chi_{\varepsilon}\right)=h(\phi \mid \Omega) \quad$.
The reverse inequality is true by (3.2).
We proceed with the construction of $\mathcal{L}_{E}$. Let

$$
x_{\varepsilon}=\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}\right\} \text { and } c_{n}=N \not V_{i \in G_{1}} \phi^{-1}\left(x_{\varepsilon}\right) \text { where }
$$

$1^{n}=(n, \ldots, n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}^{d}$. Choose $N$ large enough such that

$$
\left|\rho_{1^{N}}\right|^{-1} \log c_{N}<h\left(\phi \mid \Omega, o_{\varepsilon^{*}}\right)+\varepsilon
$$

and $\alpha>0$ such that al $\left(\phi^{1} x, \phi^{1} y\right)<\epsilon$ for all $1 \in \rho_{1} N$ whenever $x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y)<\alpha$. Let
$u=\{y \in x \mid$ d $(y, O)<\alpha\}$ and $B_{j}=\left\{y \in U|d|\left(y, A_{j}\right)<\varepsilon\right\}$ $(1 \leqslant j \leqslant s)$. Let $E=\left\{\left(i_{1}\right)_{1 \in} g_{1} N i_{1} \in\{1, \ldots, s\}\right]$ be a set of arrays such that $\left\{\bigcap_{i \in \rho_{1} N} \phi^{-1}{\Lambda_{i}}_{1} \mid\left(i_{1}\right)_{1 \in \rho_{1} N} \in E\right\}$
is a minimal subcover of

$$
V^{\prime} \oint_{1} \phi^{-1} Q_{\varepsilon}, \text { ie. }|z|=c_{N}
$$

For every wandering point $x$ let $N_{x}$ be an open neighborhood of $x$ having diameter less than $3 \varepsilon$ and such that


$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[N_{x_{1}}, \ldots, N_{x_{t}}\right] \text { for } x: u \text { and put }} \\
& \mathscr{L}_{c}=\left\{B_{1}, \ldots, B_{s^{\prime}} N_{x_{1}}, \ldots, N_{x_{t^{\prime}}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $n \geq 2 t^{\prime}$. In order to obtain a suitable upper bound for $N\left(\bigvee_{1 \in \rho_{1} n} \phi^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}\right)$ we define a subcover of $V_{1 \in \rho_{1} n} \phi^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}$
Let $x \in X$ be an arbitrary point. We shall define $\left(C_{1}\right)$ le $\rho_{1} n$ with $c_{1} \in \mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}$ such that $x \in \int_{S_{1} n} \phi^{-1} C_{1}$. First observe that for $1^{\prime} 1^{\prime} \approx \rho_{1^{\prime}}, 1 \neq 1^{\prime}, \phi^{1} x$ and $\phi^{\prime \prime} x$ cannot occur in the same $N_{x_{i}}$. For suppose $\phi^{1} x$ and $\phi^{1 \prime} x$ were both contained in the same $N_{x_{i}}$, then $\phi^{1} x \in \phi^{(1-1)}\left(\phi^{1 \prime} x\right) \subset \phi^{1-1} N_{x_{i}}$. This would imply $\phi^{1-1^{\prime}} \mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x}_{i}} \cap \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{x}_{i}} \neq \emptyset$ contradicting the choice of $N_{x_{i}}$. Consequently we get $\phi^{1} x \in U$ except for at most t' values $I^{i}=\left(1_{1}^{i} \ldots 1^{i}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{1} n \quad\left(1 \leq 1 \leq t^{\prime}\right) \quad$. Let $1_{1}^{1} \ldots .1_{1}^{t}$ be the different values among $1_{1}^{1} \ldots .1_{1}^{t^{\prime}}$. We can assume $1_{1}^{1}<1_{1}^{2}<\ldots<1_{1}^{t}$. Define $1_{1}^{0}=-1,1_{1}^{t+1}=n$ and $\rho_{i}=\left[1=\left(1, \ldots, 1_{d}\right) \in \rho_{1} \mid 1_{1}^{i-1}<1_{1}<1_{1}^{i}\right](1 \leq i \leqslant t+1) \quad$. Then if $l \in \rho_{i}$ for sone $i$ we have $\phi^{i} x \in U$. We put $r_{i}=1_{1}^{i}-1_{1}^{i-1}-1$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{t+1} r_{i}=n-t . r_{i}$ and $n$ can be written in a unique way $r_{i}=p_{1} N+q_{i}$ and $n=p N+q$ such that $0 \leq \mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{i}}, \mathrm{q}<\mathrm{N}$.

Now we fill the rectangle $g_{i}$ with disjoint cubes having equal volume $N^{d}$. Let
$Q^{i}\left(m_{1}, \ldots m_{d}\right)=\left(1_{1}^{i}+1+m_{1} N N_{1} m_{2} N, \ldots, m_{d} N\right)+\rho_{1} N$
where $0 \leqslant m_{1}<x_{i}, 0 \leqslant m_{j}<p \quad(2 \leqslant j \leqslant d) \quad$.
Note that there are $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{p}^{(\mathrm{d}-1)}$ such cubes. Since for $I=\left(1_{1}^{i}+1+m_{1} N, m_{2} N, \ldots, m^{N}\right) \quad \phi^{T_{x}} \in U \quad$ we can choose a point $Y_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{d}\right)}^{i} \in \Omega$ such that $d!\left(y_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{d}\right)}^{i}, \phi^{I}(x)<\infty\right.$ By the choice of $x$ we get for all $1 \in \rho_{1} N$
di $\left(\phi^{1} y_{\left(m_{1}\right.}^{i}, \ldots, m_{d}\right): \quad \phi^{\left.I+\bar{I}_{x}\right)}<\varepsilon$.
Suppose $y_{\left(m_{1}, \ldots, m_{d}\right)}^{i} \prod_{1 \in \mathcal{S}_{1} N} \phi^{-1} A_{i_{1}}$ for some $\left(i_{1}\right) \mathcal{I}_{1 \in \rho_{1} N} \in E$ then $\quad \phi^{1+I_{x}} \in B_{i_{1}}$ for all $\quad \in \zeta_{1} N$. We define for these 1 $C_{\overline{1}+1}:=B_{1}$. To complete the definition of the subcover of $1 \bigvee_{\rho_{1}} \phi^{-1} \mathscr{L}_{c} \quad$ we let for all

arbitrary $B_{i}$ containing $\phi^{1} x$. This construction yields the following inequality

where $T(n)=t\left(d N+1 n^{d-1}\right.$. Therefore
$h\left(\phi, \mathscr{L}_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqslant$
$\leqq \prod_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-d}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t+1} p_{i} p^{(d-1)} \log c_{N}+\pi(n) \log \left(s+t^{\prime}\right)\right)$
$=\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-d}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t+1} r_{i} N^{-1}\left(n N^{-1}\right)^{d-1}\right) \log c_{N}$
$=\overline{\lim }_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} N^{-d}(n-t) \log c_{N}=$
$\left.=N^{-d} \log c_{N}<n i \phi \mid \Omega, a_{\epsilon}\right)+\varepsilon$.

Remark: We do not formulate explicitly an analogue to Lemma 2.1[2]. But it is clear that a d-dimensional version of this lemma together with its proof is implicitly given by the proof above.
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