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# BILIIARDS IU PGLYGONS 

## by

Carlo Boldrighini, Michael Keane, and Federico Marchetti

The present paper answers some questions concerning a particular classical dynamical system, namely, billiards with one ball in a plane polygon. In the cabe where the region considered contairs a convex obstruction, a certain number of results have been obtained (see e.g. [5] , [7]). These systems turn out to have strong ergodic properties (i.e. Markov partitions can be constructed), due to the exponential scattering which occurs at the obstruction. However, if the boundary and/or obstructions have zero curvature, very little is known.

We consider in the following a point mass moving in a given non-self-intersecting plane polygon, with the usual rules of reflection when the point mass hits a side. It is shown first that, for each initial point and almost all initial directions, the orbit comes arbitrarily close to at least one vertex of the polygon. This yields in particular a coding procedure for the orbits, and implies that the corresponding dynamical system has zero entropy. Next, we concentrate on the simpler case where all angles of the polygon are rational multiples of $\pi$. This case can be reformulated in the context of interval exchange transformations (see [2] and [3]). We show here that for almost all starting conditions, the corresponding orbits are dense in the polygon. Finally, we discuss two interesting physical inter-
pretations of our results.
Many interesting problems remain to be solved, even in the case of a triangle. Ir particular, we have not been able to prove in general that if the angles of the polygon are irrational, the corresponding dynamical system is ergodic, or even that there exists a dense orbit (either in the polygon or in the phase space).

Some of the results given here have been presented in a preliminary way by one of us in [2]. More recently, A.P. Zemljakov and A.B. Katok have obtained analogous results by quite different techniques (see [9]). Research was done during a visit by the second author to Camerino, sponsored by the Italian C.N.R.
§1. - Definitions and Notations
Let $P$ denote the interior of a non-self-intersectang polygon in the plane with' vertices $A_{i}$, sides $a_{i}$, and angles $a_{i}$, $i=1, \ldots, n$. We consider the geodesic flow on $P$ with the usual reflection rule on the boundary $\partial P$.

A line element $\omega=(x, \theta)$ of this flow is given by a point $x \in \bar{P}$ and an angle $\hat{0} \varepsilon R / 2 \pi Z$. We think of a line element as a small arror issuring from the point $x$ and pointing in the direction $\theta$, measured from a fixed reference direction which we shall take to be $\overrightarrow{A_{1} A_{2}}$.

On the boundary, we make the following identifications concerning line elements :

$$
1^{\circ} \text { If } x \in \partial P \text { is not a vertex, then } x \text { lies on } a
$$ unique side $a_{i}$ of $P$ which makes an angle, say $\beta_{i}$, with our reference direction $\overline{A_{1} A_{2}}$. We identify in this case the line elements $\left(x, \beta_{i}+\theta\right)$ and. $\left(x, \beta_{i}-\theta\right)$ for each $0<\theta<\pi$. $2^{\circ}$ If $x \in \partial P$ is a vertex, then we identify all line elements $(x, \theta), 0 \leqslant \theta<2 \pi$.

The phase space $\Omega$ of our geodesic flow is then given by the set of all line elements. Under the obvious topology, $\Omega$ is compact and metrizable.

Consider now the one-parameter semi-group $\left(S_{t}\right)$ t $\in R^{+}$ of trunsformations on $\Omega$ defined as follows: If ( $x_{0}, \theta_{0}$ ) $\in \Omega$, then $S_{t}\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)=\left(x_{t}, \theta_{t}\right)$ is obtained by starting at $x_{o}$ and drawing a continuous path inside the polygon consisting of straigt line segments and of total length $t$, and ending at the point $x_{t}$ in the direction $\theta_{t}$. The straight line segments should (except for the first one, which begins at $x_{0}$ in the direction $\theta_{0}$ ) begin
and end (except for the last one, which ends at $x_{t}$ in the direction $\theta_{t}$ ) on the boundary $\partial P$, and the direction change at the boundary in passing from one segmenc to the next one is made in accordance with the identification in $1^{\circ}$. If the path should hit a vertex $S$ before attaining length $t$, then we define $x_{t}=S$. In particular, $S_{t}\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)=\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$ if $x_{0}$ is a vertex, for all $t \geqslant 0$. The reader will easily see that these requirements define $S_{t}$ uniquely for each $t>0$ and that $\left(S_{t}\right){ }_{t} \in R^{+}$ is a semi-group of measurable transformations on $\Omega$.

For $t \leqslant 0$, we define $S_{t}$ by setting $S_{t}\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)=\left(x_{t}, \theta_{t}\right)$ iff $S_{-t}\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}+\pi\right)=\left(x_{t}, \theta_{t}+\pi\right)$. Then on the set $\Omega^{\prime}$ of line elements $\omega$ for which $S_{t}{ }^{\omega}$ is not a vertex for all $t \varepsilon R$, each transformation $S_{t}$ is continuous and $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t} \in R$ is a one-parameter transformation group acting on $\Omega^{\prime}$. Moreover, $\Omega^{\prime}$ is a dense $G_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$ in $\Omega$.

Denote by $d x$ normalized Lebesgue measure on $\bar{P}$ and by $d \theta$ normalized Faar measure on $R / 2 \pi Z$. Since $d x(\partial P)=0$, there is a well-defined probability measure $m$ on $\Omega$ corresponding to the product measure $d x \times d \theta$ on $\bar{P} \times R / 2 \pi Z$. It is easy to see that for each $t, S_{t} m=m$, and that $m\left(\Omega^{\prime}\right)=1$. The triple $\left(\Omega,\left(S_{t}\right)_{t} \varepsilon_{R}, m\right)$ will be called billiards on $P$.

Note that $\Omega$ is just. the phase space of a mechanical system consisting of a newtonian particle moving inside the polygon $P$ with constant speed. The time evolution $S_{t}$ is the one corresponding to absence of forces inside $P$ and elastic reflection conditions at the boundary. We have defined $S_{t}$ in such a way that the vertices act as sinks, for simplicity. There is a more natural definition which consists in doubling each vertex and defining reflection at the vertex as a limit either from one side or from
the other, but this involves a rather complicated description of the phase space. (The transformation in $\varepsilon 4$ acts on vertices correctly if we use this more natural definition).

We now set

$$
\Omega_{0}=\{\omega=(x, \theta) \varepsilon \Omega: x \in \partial P\}
$$

and

$$
\Omega_{0}^{\prime}=\Omega_{0} \cap s^{\prime}
$$

It will be usefull to define a transformation $T: \Omega_{0} \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ induced by the semi-group $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t} \varepsilon R^{+}$. If $(x, \theta) \in \delta_{o}$, then we set

$$
T(x, \theta)=S_{t_{0}}(x, \theta)(x, \theta)
$$

where

$$
t_{0}(x, \theta)=\inf \left\{t>0: S_{t}(x, \theta) \in \Omega_{0}\right\} .
$$

Let $m_{o}$ be the probability measure on $\Omega_{0}$ corresponding to the product measure $d x_{0} x \frac{\sin \left(\boldsymbol{\beta}_{i}-\theta\right) d \theta}{C},\left(x_{0} \varepsilon a_{i}\right)$, where $C$ is a normalization constant. Then $T m_{0}=m_{0}, m_{0}\left(\Omega_{0}^{\prime}\right)=1$, and $T$ is continuous and irvertible on $\Omega_{0}^{\prime}$. Ergodic or asymptotic properties of $\left(S_{t}\right)_{t} \varepsilon R$ are reflected in ergodic or asymptotic properties of $T$.

Finally, for $\omega \in \Omega$ we define
$\operatorname{orb}^{+}(\omega)=\left\{S_{t} \omega: t \in R^{+}\right\}$
$\operatorname{Orb}^{-}(\omega)=\left\{S_{t} \omega: t \in R^{-}\right\}$
$\operatorname{Orb}(\omega)=\left\{S_{t} \omega: t \in R\right\}$,
and if $\omega=\left(x_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)$ and $S_{t}(\omega)=\left(x_{t}, \theta_{t}\right)(t \in R)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{orb}_{s}^{+}(\omega) & =\left\{x_{t}: t \geqslant 0\right\} \\
\operatorname{orb}_{s}^{-}(\omega) & =\left\{x_{t}: t \leqslant 0\right\} \\
\operatorname{orb}_{s}(\omega) & =\left\{x_{t} \quad t \in R\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

These are called respectively the forward orbit, backward orbit, orbit, forward spatial orbit, backward spatial orbit, and spatial orbit of $\omega$. The orbits of $\omega \in \Omega_{o}$ under $T$ are defined similarly and will be denoted by the same symbols.

## § 2 - Statement of the problem and remarks

Let us begin by stating a few natural questions which one is quickly led to ask concerning billiards in P .

Problem 1. Does there exist a line element $\omega \varepsilon \Omega$ whose orbit is dense in $\Omega$ ?

Problem $\#_{2}$. Does there exist a line element $\omega \in \Omega$ whose spatial orbit is dense in $P$ ?

Problem 3. Are almost all orbits dense in $\Omega$ ?
Problem \#4. Are almost all spatial orbits dense in $P$ ?
Problem \#5. Is the "billiards" dynamical system ( $\Omega,\left(S_{t}\right)_{t} \varepsilon R$, $m$ ) ergodic ?
Problem 6. If an crbit is (spatially) dense in (P) $\Omega$, is it uniformly distributed ?

These are only a few exarples of what one is really interested in, namely, the description of asymptotic and ergodic properties of a ball bouncing around in a polygon. We should begin at once by
stating that, even in the case of a triangle, except for a few obvious examples and the small contribution we shall make below, nothing is known concerning the answers to any of the problems stated.

We continue by making three obvious remarks concerning the problems.

Remark $\#_{1}$. One might Le led to conjecture that perhaps all orbits of $\omega \in \Omega^{\prime}$ would be dense, or spatially dense. In certain cases, at least, this is not true.

In particular, if $P$ is an acute triangle, imagine a miniscule ring around each side of the triangle, take a piece of string, and pull it through each of the three loops. Now pull both ends until the string is taught and connect the ends. The rings will slip on the sides until an equilibrium is reached, and this equilibrium yields a periodic orbit under $S_{t}$.

Equivalently, this orbit is the one obtained by joining the bases of the three heights of the triangle.

There are even in this case uncountably many periodic orbits, which can be obtained from the one above by a slight perturbation in one of the points of the orbit, maintaining the same direction. All of these orbits have twice the length of the original one.

This remark leads us to two more problems which we have not been able to resolve.

Problem
7. Does any polygon (and in particular, an obtuse triangle) have periodic orbits ?

Problem \#8. Let us call two orbits equivalent if they have the same length. In an acute triangle (or in any polygon), do there exist infinitely many pairwise non-equivalent orbits ?

For the examples discussed in Remark 3 below, the answer to Problem \#8 can be seen to be "yes".

Remark \#2. A particular, case of interest, which we shall go into more deeply in a later paragraph, is the one in which all the angles $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$ of $P$ are rational multiples of $\pi$. Let us call such a polygon a rational polygon. In this case, the answer to Problems \#, \#3 and is certainly "no". To see this, consider a point which arrives at side $a_{i}$ with an angle $\theta$, leaves at an angle of $-\theta$, and after bouncing off side $a_{i-1}$ returns to side $a_{i}$ at an angle $\theta+2 \alpha_{i}$. This situation is general, i.e. if we start off with an angle $\theta$, then the oaly angles which we can obtain at later or earlier times are those of the form $\pm \theta+\Sigma \pm 2 \varphi_{(j)}$ where $\varphi_{(j)}$ denotes the angle the side which is met at the $j-$ th reflection makes with our reference direction. If $P$ is rational, the angles which are attainable form a finite subfgroup of R / $2 \pi \mathrm{Z}$ translated by $\theta$, and hence no orit can be dense in $\Omega$. We shall see later that the answers to Problems $\#_{2}$ and $\#_{4}$ in this case are "yes", whereas the answer to Problem \# 6 seems to be "no" in light of [4]. In passing we note also that if a ball is shot in the direction of a corner and does not hit the corner, then after a finite number of bounces it will "come out" of the corner.

Remark \#. In some special cases we can arrive at our goal of describing the orbits with a good deal of accuracy and answer the problems posed. Consider a point which is about to bounce off a side, and its orbit. Instead of stopping at the side and reflecting, it is the same if we continue the orbit in a straight line
and reflect the polygon $P$ around the side. If $P$ is a polygon whose reflections pack the plane (e.g. an equilateral triangle, or a rectangle, or a $45^{\circ}$ right triangle, and a few others), then the corners of the reflections of $P$ form a regular grid in the plane and we leave it to the reader to see that the problems can be solved: Note that all polygons having the property described are rational.
§ 3 - A general result
In this paragraph, we describe a result valid for all polygons which, although it falls short of answering our problems, seems to be of interest.

Theorem. Let $x \in \bar{P}$. Then for almost all $\theta \varepsilon R / 2 \pi Z$, the set $\overline{0 r b_{s}(\omega)}$
(where $\omega=(x, \theta)$ ), contains at least one vertex of $P$.

Proof : $\operatorname{set} \theta(\theta)=\overline{\operatorname{Orb}_{s}(\omega)}$. It suffices to show that for any fixed $\delta>0$, the set

$$
N=\left\{\theta: \operatorname{dist}\left(O(\theta),\left\{A_{i} \mid i=1 \ldots n\right\}\right) \geqslant \delta\right\}
$$

has measure zero. By a well-known theorem (see e.g. [6]), almost all points of $N$ are points of density of $N$. That is, for almost all $\theta_{0} \varepsilon N$,

$$
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{|N \cap| \theta_{0}, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon| |}{\varepsilon}=1,
$$

where | . | denotes Haar measure on $R / 2 \pi$ Z. Thus if $N$ contains no points of density, then $|\mathrm{N}|=0$ :

Suppose that $\theta_{\circ}$ belongs to $N$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ be fixed. Consider the line elements $\left(x, \theta_{0}\right)$ and $\left(x, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$. We may assume that
both line elements belong to $\Omega^{\prime}$. Thus there exist two increasing sequences $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots$ and $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$ of positive numbers suet that the orbit of $\left(x, e_{o}\right)$ meets the boundary of $P$ at the successive times $s_{1}, s_{2}, \ldots$, and the orbit of $\left(x, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$ meets $\hat{c} P$ at the sucessive tiraes $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots$. Choose $n \geqslant 1$ minimal such that the side which comtains $S_{S_{n}}\left(x, \theta_{0}\right)$ is different from the side which contains $\Theta_{t_{n}}\left(x, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$. That such an $n$ does exist follows by using the device of Remark : drawing staight lines from $x$ in the directions $v_{0}$ and $\theta_{0}+\varepsilon$, and assuming that $S_{S_{1}}\left(x, \theta_{0}\right)$ and $S_{t_{1}}\left(x, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon\right)$ lie on the same side of $P$, we may reflect $P$ around that side. As we continue this process, the lines grow farther and farther apart, until the first time where a refelction of this type places a vertex of $P$ in the cone created by the two lines. The following $n$ will then have the desired property.

Now consider the set $N \cap\left[\theta_{0}, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon\right]$. If $\theta_{0} \leqslant \theta \leqslant \theta_{0}+\varepsilon$, then the forward orbit of $(x, \theta)$ under $S_{t}$ can be thought of as a ray of the cone described alone. If $\theta \in N$, then this ray cannot come within a distance of $\delta$ from the vertex of $P$ which fell in the cone at the $n^{\text {th }}$ reflection. On the other hand, the distance across the whole cone at the $n^{\text {th }}$ reflection is at most the diameter of $P$. Therefore

$$
\frac{\left.\mid \operatorname{mn} \cap 0_{0}, \theta_{0}+\varepsilon\right] \mid}{\varepsilon}<1-\frac{\delta}{\operatorname{diam(P)}}
$$

and since the right hand side does not depend on $\varepsilon$, $\theta_{0}$ cannot be a point of density for $N$.

We now describe an application of the above theorem. If $\omega \varepsilon \Omega^{\prime}$, let us denote the sequence of sides of $P$ which are visited by $s_{t} \omega$, $t \varepsilon R$, by :

$$
\varphi(\omega)=\left(\ldots, k_{-1}, k_{o}, k_{1}, \ldots\right) \varepsilon\left\{a_{i} \mid i=1 \ldots n\right\}^{Z}
$$

$$
\text { Now if } \omega \notin \Omega_{0} \text { and if } 0<t<t_{0}(\omega) \text {, then } \varphi(\omega)=\varphi\left(s_{t} \omega\right) \text {. }
$$ Denote further by $\varphi_{0}$ the restriction of $\varphi$ to the set $\Omega_{0}$, and by $\varphi_{0}^{+}: \Omega_{0} \longrightarrow\left\{a_{i} \mid i=1 \ldots n\right\}^{N}$ the mapping obtained by only retaining the sequence $\left(k_{0}, k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots\right)$.

Corollary. $\quad \varphi_{\circ}^{+}$is almost surely injective, i.e. there exists a subset $\bar{\Omega}_{0}$ of $\Omega_{0}$ with $m_{0}\left(\bar{\Omega}_{0}\right)=1$ such that $\omega, n \varepsilon \bar{\Omega}_{0}$ and $\varphi_{0}^{+}(\omega)=\varphi_{0}^{+}(n)$ imply $\omega=n$.

Proof : If $\omega=\left(x, \theta_{1}\right)$ and $\eta=\left(y, \theta_{2}\right)$ and $\theta_{1} \neq$, the reflection argument shows easily that $\varphi_{0}^{+}(\omega) \neq \varphi_{0}^{+}(n)$. For this case we do not need the theorem. Suppose now that $\omega=(x, \theta)$ an... $\eta=(y, \theta)$. A clcser inspection of the reflection argument of the theorem shows that if $\theta \in N$ (for $x$ ) and $\theta \in N$ (for $y$ ), then $a$ vertex of $P$ must fall into the strip between the straight lines $(x, \theta)$ and $(y, \theta)$, so that also in this case $\varphi_{0}^{+}(\omega) \neq \varphi_{0}^{+}(n)$.

Corollary. The entropy of polygonal billiards is zero.
Proof : This follows from the fact that $\omega \varepsilon \Omega_{0}$ is almost surely determined by its forward side sequence $\varphi_{0}^{+}(\omega)$, which implies $h(T)=0$, and Abramov's formula [1].

We re:ark that the last result implies an essential difference between biliiards with one ball (which are "deterministic") and billiards with two balls (which are "random" ; see e.g. KUBO [5], SIITAI [7]).

We shall prove that the study of asymptotic properties of rational billiards reduces to that of certain interval exchange transformations, which have been introduced in [3] (see also [2] and [4]). First we recall the vasic definitions.

$$
\text { Let } Y=[0,1[\text { and let } \boldsymbol{n} \text { be an integer greater than }
$$

one. Suppose that $p=\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}\right)$ is a probability vector with $P_{i}>0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$, and let $\tau$ be a permutation of the symbols $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. We set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p^{\tau}=\left(p_{1}^{\tau}, \cdots, p_{n}^{\tau}\right)=\left(p_{\tau}^{-1}(1), \ldots, p_{\tau^{-1}(n)}\right) \\
& q_{0}=0, q_{i}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{j} \\
& q_{0}^{\tau}=0, q_{i}^{\tau}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{j}^{\tau}=\sum_{j=1}^{i} p_{\tau}^{-1}(j)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Y_{i}=\left[q_{i-1}, q_{i}[ \right. \\
& Y_{i}^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}=\left[q_{i-1}^{\tau}, q_{i}^{\tau}[ \right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the map $T: Y \longrightarrow Y$ defined by

$$
T y=y-q_{i-1}+q_{\tau(i)-1}^{\tau} \quad\left(y \varepsilon Y_{i}, \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\right)
$$

is an order-preserving piecewise isomvtry of $Y$ (on the "pieces" $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{n}$ ). It is called the ( $p, \tau$ ) - interval exchange transformation.

Obviously, any interval exchange transformation is invertible and its inverse is an interval exchange transformation. The map $T$ is continuous except at the points $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{n-1}$, (called separation points) where it is continuous from the right.

We say that the interval exchange transform-tion $T$ satisfies the minimality condition if

M1) T is aperiodic (i.e. for each $y \in Y$, the orbit $\operatorname{Orb}(y)=\left\{T{ }^{n}: n \in Z\right\}$ is infinite), and

M2) If $F$ is a finite union of rightopen intervals with endpoints belonging to the countable set

$$
D_{\infty}=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \operatorname{orb}\left(q_{i}\right) \cup\{1\}
$$

then $T F=F$ implies $F=Y$ or $F=\varnothing$.
The result we shall need is the following one :
Theorem ([3]). T satisfies the minimality condition if and only if $\operatorname{Orb}(y)$ is dense in $Y$ for all $y \in Y$.

To obtain an interval exchange transformation from rational billiards, we consider first the dynamical system ( $\Omega_{0}, T, \mu_{0}$ ) defined in $\S 1$. Choose a side $a_{1}$ and an initial angle $\theta_{0}$, and restrict $T$ to the subset $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ of $\Omega_{0}$ consisting of all pairs of sices and angles actually visited starting from $a_{1}$ with direction $\theta_{0}$. We denote by $T_{o}$ the restriction of $T$ to $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$. Now $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ consists of a certain number of sides $a_{i}$ (we shall see below that all sides are represented) together with angles $\theta_{i}^{j}, j=1, \ldots, k_{i}$, belonging to the side $a_{i}$. If we draw side by side $k_{i}$ copies of the side $a_{i}$ for each $i$, and then contract the $j^{\text {th }}$ copy of $a_{i}$ by a factor $\sin \theta_{i}^{j}$, then by elementary physics $T_{o}$ becomes a piecewise isometry of this collection of intervals, and it is not hard to see that if they are correctly arranged, then $T_{o}$ is order preserving. Normalizing to unit length, we obtain an interval exchange transformation (whose separation points $q_{i}$ correspond to vertices of the original polygon) which we shall also denote by $T_{o}$.
§5. - The density theorem

We first show that for almost all initial directions the interval exchange transformation generated by the billiard flow is minimal (in the sense of §3) and then show that this implies density of the orbits on the whole polygon and not merely on its sides.

Lemme: For all but a countable number of values of $\theta_{o}$ the interval exchange transformation generated by the billiard flow (according to §4) is minimal.

Proof : We exclude all directions connecting two or more vertices in the rectified flow (see remark $3, \$ 2$, and the proof of the theorem of §3). There is at most a countable number of such directions. We shall call such directions exceptional. We shall show that if $\theta_{0}$ is not exceptional, $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}}$ is minimal.

Indeed condition $M$ 1) is satisfied, since no vertex or separation point can be periodic and no other point can then be, as shown in [3]. Suppose now that $F$ is as in condition $M$ 2). Take $x \in \partial F$ and suppose that $x=T_{0}^{k} \bigcap_{j}$ for some $k \geqslant 0$ and some $j$ (the case $k<0$ is treated analogously). Then either $T_{o}^{-1} x$ is a boundary point of $F$ or it is in $D=\left\{q_{0}, q_{1}, \ldots q_{n-1}\right\}$. Since $F$ has only a finite number of boundary points, there must be a positive integer $s$ such that $T_{o}^{-s} x=y \varepsilon D$. If $y=q_{j}$, this would imply a periodic orbit for $q_{j}$, so that to avoid that the orbits of two vertices overlap (we have excluded such directions) $y$ must be a separation point, $s=1$ and $x$ must be a vertex. Thus $T_{o}$ would leave invariant a subset of $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ made up of a certain nurber of whole sides with corresponding angles. But then this subset necessarily coincides with the whole of $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ because of the way we defined the set from the start.

We remark that $\theta_{0}$ has been chosen, by avoiding a countable number of values, in such a manner that the infinite distinct orbit condition of [3] is satisfied. This yields an alternative proof of the lemma.

We are now able to prove the density theorem.
Theorem. If $\boldsymbol{\omega}=\left(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{O}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\theta}_{o}$ is not exceptional,

$$
\overline{\operatorname{orb}_{S}^{+}(\omega)}=\overline{\operatorname{orb}_{s}^{-}(\omega)}=\bar{P}
$$

Proof : From the preceding lemma it follows that $\operatorname{orb}_{0}^{ \pm}(\omega)=\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$ However one easily sees that if $\theta_{0}$ is not exceptional, no side can stay all the time "in the shade", i.e. all sides of the polygon are represented in $\tilde{\Omega}_{0}$. Indeed, suppose that side $a_{i}$, lying between vertices $A_{i}$ and ${\underset{\sim}{i}+1}$ is in the shade, while some copy of side $a_{i-1}$ is in $\Omega_{0}$. Then points in $a_{i-1}$ in a
neighbourhood of $A_{i}$ are visited by the flow coming from some other side $a_{j}$ with some angle $\theta_{j}^{m}$. If all points in $a_{i}$ are in the shade then $\theta_{j}^{m}$ is a direction connecting $A_{i}$ with a vertex of $a_{j}, i . e . \theta_{0}$ is an exceptional direction. So, if $\theta_{0}$ is not exceptional some point of $a_{i}$ is visited and therefore the spatial flow is dense on $a_{i}$. In a similar way one can see that no internal region of the polygon can stay in the shade. Indeed such a region would be, by definition, an open set of polygonal shape, whose sides would consist of segments of trajectories connecting two vertices and the conclusion follows as above.

This theorem has a simple physical interpretation : in a polygonal two-dimensional room with mirror walls and rational angles, a light ray travelling in a non-exceptional airection does not leave any part of the room in the shade.

As an application of this theorem note that the configuration space of two pointlike particles of masses $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ moving freely on a segment and bouncing elastically from each other and from the endpoints, is a right triangle, the angles of which depend on the ratio of the square root of the masses and the flow is a billiard [2]. We can thus conclude that if arctg $\left(r_{1}^{1 / 2} / m_{2}^{1 / 2}\right.$ ) is rational with $\pi$, for almost all initial velocities, the phase flow is spatially dense.
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## Abstract :

Some interesting 'questions concerning the orbits of a billiard ball in a polygon are studied. It is shown that almost all such orbits come arbitrarily close to a vertex of the polygon, implying that the entropy of the corresponding geodesic flow is zero. For polygons with rational angles, we show by using interval exchange transormations that almost all orbits are spatially dense. Two applications are given.
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