## J.A. NITSCHE

### **Convergence of Finite Element Galerkin Approximations on Galerkin Problems**

Publications des séminaires de mathématiques et informatique de Rennes, 1978, fascicule S4 « Journées éléments finis », , p. 1-9

<a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR\_1978\_\_\_\_S4\_A8\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=PSMIR\_1978\_\_\_S4\_A8\_0</a>

© Département de mathématiques et informatique, université de Rennes, 1978, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la série « Publications mathématiques et informatiques de Rennes » implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

# $\mathcal{N}$ umdam

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/ ON GALERKIN PROBLEMS

#### 0. Introduction

Let the model problem

 $\dot{u} - \Delta u = f \qquad \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T] ,$  $u = 0 \qquad \text{on } \partial \Omega \times (0,T] ,$  $u_{t=0} = u_0 \qquad \text{in } \Omega$ 

be given. Here  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$  is a bounded domain with  $\partial \Omega$  sufficiently smooth. With the help of a finite-element-approximation-space  $S_h \subseteq \overset{O}{H}_1$  the standard Galerkin approximation  $u_h = u_h(t) \in S_h$ is defined by

$$(\dot{u}_h, \chi) + D(u_h, \chi) = (f, \chi)$$
 for  $\chi \in S_h$   
 $u_h(0) = Q_h u_0$ .

Here (.,.) is the  $L_2(\Omega)$ -scalar product, D(.,.) the Dirichlet integral and  $Q_h$  an appropriate projection.

The aim of this paper is to derive  $L_{\infty}$ -estimates for the error  $e = e_h = u - u_h$ . For the corresponding elliptic case this problem was solved by SCOTT, NITSCHE about three years ago. There is a certain feeling that the proofs for the parabolic case would (resp. should) be more or less a direct consequence.

Besides the case of one space-dimension seemingly only BRAMBLE-SCHATZ-THOMEE-WAHLBIN have attacked this problem. Their approach is to rewrite the Galerkin-equations in the form

$$e + T_h \dot{e} = (I-R_h) u$$

J.A. NITSCHE

Here to any f the element  $U_h = T_h f = R_h (-\Delta^{-1} f)$  is the Ritz-approximation on  $-\Delta^{-1} f$  defined by  $U_h \in S_h$  and

$$D(U_h, \chi) = (f, \chi)$$
 for  $\chi \in S_h$ 

We may also write

$$e = -\Delta^{-1}\dot{e} + (I-R_h) \Lambda^{-1}\dot{e} + (I-R_h)u$$
.

In this way  $L_{\infty}$ -estimates for e are reduced to  $L_{\infty}$ -estimates of the Ritz-method applied to u on the one hand and to  $\Delta^{-1}\dot{e}$ on the other and  $L_{\infty}$ -estimates of  $\Delta^{-1}\dot{e}$ . The last term is bounded in  $L_{\infty}$  if  $\dot{e}$  belongs to  $L_{p}$  with p > n/2. In this way

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}} \leq \mathbf{c} \|\dot{\mathbf{e}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{p}} + \dots$$

is shown. Repeating this argument an estimate of the type

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}} \leq \mathbf{e} \|\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{v}}\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}} + \cdots$$

can be derived. This finally leads to an optimal order of convergence - with respect to the subspaces - , but depending on the dimension the norms of the solution u entering the right hand side are stringent.

Independent of the space-dimension the validity of the estimates can be shown:

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{L}_{\infty})} \leq \mathbf{c} \, \mathbf{h}^{\mathsf{m}} \left\{ \|\mathbf{u}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathsf{W}_{\infty}^{\mathsf{m}})} + \|\mathbf{\dot{u}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathsf{W}_{\infty}^{\mathsf{m}})} + \|\mathbf{\ddot{u}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathsf{W}_{\infty}^{\mathsf{m}})} \right\}$$

The proofs are given in an article to appear in R.A.I.R.O., anal. numer. Here we discuss the case of N = 3 space dimensions in some detail. The general case is only sketched.

# 1. Notations, L2-Projection

In the following we will use the standard notations of the theory of partial differential equations. In addition we will work with weighted norms resp. semi-norms introduced three years ago here in Rennes.

For  $x_0 \in \Omega$  and p > 0 let

$$\mu = |\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_0|^2 + \rho^2 .$$

The weighted semi-norms are defined by

$$\|\nabla^{\mathbf{k}} u\|_{\alpha}^{2} = \sum_{\|\mathbf{s}\| = \nu} \iint \mu^{-\alpha} \|\mathbf{D}^{\mathbf{s}} u\|^{2} d\mathbf{x}$$

The first result of the mentioned paper was related to the  $L_2$ -projection:

<u>Theorem 1:</u> The L<sub>2</sub>-projection onto a finite-element-space is bounded in the  $\alpha$ -norm for any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ :

$$\|P_{h}u\|_{\alpha} \leq c_{\alpha}\|u\|_{\alpha}$$

Generalizing this result in the same way it can be shown.

<u>Theorem 2:</u> Let  $S_h$  be a finite-element-space and  $P_h$  be the L<sub>2</sub>-projection onto  $S_h$ . Then

$$\|u-P_{h}u\|_{\alpha} + h\|\nabla(u-P_{h}u)\|_{\alpha} \leq \inf_{X \in S_{h}} \left\{ \|u-X\|_{\alpha} + h\|\nabla(u-X)\|_{\alpha} \right\}.$$

This theorem guarantees the simultaneous approximation property of the  $L_2$ -projection with respect to the  $L_2$ - and  $H_1$ -norm.

#### 2. A priori Estimates in Weighted Norms

We will use the identity

$$D(u,\mu^{-\alpha}u) = \|\nabla u\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \iint u\pi u \nabla \mu^{-\alpha} = \|\nabla u\|_{\alpha}^{2} - \frac{1}{2} \iint u^{2} \Delta \mu^{-\alpha}$$

Now by direct differentiations we have

$$\Delta \mu^{-\alpha} = -2\alpha \mu^{-\alpha-2} (N_{\rho}^{2} + (N_{-2\alpha-2}) r^{2})$$

For  $0 < \alpha < N/2 - 1$  therefore  $\Delta \mu^{-\alpha}$  is negative and

$$\mu^{\alpha+1} | \Delta \mu^{-\alpha} |$$

is bounded and bounded away from zero:

Lemma 1: Let 
$$0 < \alpha < N/2 - 1$$
. Then for any  $u \in H_1$   
 $\|u\|_{\alpha+1}^2 + \|\nabla u\|_{\alpha}^2 \le c D(u,\mu^{-\alpha}u)$ .

The case  $\alpha = N/2 - 1$  is of special interest. Then

$$\Delta \mu^{-\alpha} = - N(N-2) \rho^2 \mu^{-\alpha-2}$$

Lemma 2: Let  $\alpha = N/2 - 1$ . Then for any  $u \in H_1$ 

$$\mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{p}^2 \| u \|_{\alpha+2}^2 + \| \nabla u \|_{\alpha}^2 \leq D(u, \mu^{-\alpha} u)$$

For finite elements the  $L_{\infty}$ -norm is bounded by the weighted norms if  $x_0$  and  $\rho$  are chosen properly. Especially we have for  $\rho = \gamma h$  with  $\gamma$  fixed: Let  $\phi \in S_h$  and  $\alpha = N/2 - 1$ . Then

$$\|\phi\|_{L_{\infty}} \leq \sup_{x_{\alpha} \in \Omega} \rho \|\phi\|_{\alpha+2}$$

# 3. Error Estimates for the Galerkin Method in Case of N = 3Space Dimensions.

The defining relation of the error  $e = u - u_h$  is

$$(\dot{\mathbf{e}}, \chi) + D(\mathbf{e}, \chi) = 0$$
 for  $\chi \in S_{h}$ 

Now we introduce the Ritz-approximation  $U_h = R_h u$  and use the splitting

$$e = (u - U_h) - (u_h - U_h)$$
$$= \varepsilon - \phi$$

with  ${\bf \Phi} \in {\bf S}_h$  . Further we assume the initial condition  ${\bf H}_k$ 

$$u_{h}(0) = R_{h} u_{0}$$

Therefore we have

$$\Phi(0) = 0$$

The defining relation for 🕴 is

$$(\dot{\phi}, \chi) + D(\dot{\phi}, \chi) = (\dot{\epsilon}, \chi)$$
 for  $\chi \in S_h$ 

Using the estimates of Section 2 we get for  $\alpha = N/2 - 1 = 1/2$ 

$$\mathbf{c}^{-1}\left\{\mathbf{p}^{2} \| \mathbf{\Phi} \|_{\mathbf{a}+2}^{2} + \| \nabla \mathbf{\Phi} \|_{\mathbf{a}}^{2}\right\} \leq \mathbf{D}(\mathbf{\Phi}, \mathbf{\mu}^{-\alpha} \mathbf{\Phi})$$

and

$$D(\Phi,\mu^{-\alpha}\Phi) = D(\Phi,\mu^{-\alpha}\Phi-\chi) - (\epsilon - \Phi,\mu^{-\alpha}\Phi-\chi) + (\epsilon - \Phi,\mu^{-\alpha}\Phi)$$

If we choose  $\chi = P_h(\mu^{-\alpha} \Phi)$  the L<sub>2</sub>-projection then the middle term vanishes mostly. By pure approximation arguments we get

Lemma

$$\inf_{\substack{X \in S_{h}}} D(\Phi, \mu^{-\alpha} \Phi - X) \leq c \left(\frac{h}{\rho}\right)^{2} \left\{ \rho^{2} \|\Phi\|_{\alpha+2}^{2} + \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} \right\}$$

For  $\rho = \gamma$  h with a proper  $\gamma$  this gives

$$\rho^{2} \| \phi \|_{\alpha+2}^{2} + \| \nabla \phi \|_{\alpha}^{2} \leq c \left( \epsilon - \phi, \mu^{-\alpha} \phi \right)$$

The left hand side is a bound of  $\|\Phi\|_{L_{\infty}}^2$  if  $x_0$  is chosen properly. The right hand side can be estimated by

$$(\dot{\mathfrak{e}}-\dot{\Phi},\mu^{-\alpha}\Phi) \leq \|\Phi\|_{L_{\infty}} \iint \mu^{-\alpha} |\dot{\mathfrak{e}}-\dot{\Phi}| \leq \|\Phi\|_{L_{\infty}} \|\dot{\mathfrak{e}}-\dot{\Phi}\| \left\{ \iint \mu^{-2\alpha} \right\}^{1/2}$$

In the case of N = 3 we have  $2\alpha = 1$  and the last integral is bounded. This gives because of  $e = \epsilon - \phi$ 

<u>Theorem 3:</u> Let N = 3. Then for any fixed time 't

$$\left\|\mathbf{e}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}} \leq \mathbf{c}\left\{\left\|\mathbf{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}} + \left\|\mathbf{\dot{\epsilon}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}} + \left\|\mathbf{\dot{e}}\right\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}}\right\}$$

The L<sub>p</sub>-error estimates

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{L}_{2})} \leq \|\mathbf{\epsilon}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{L}_{2})} + \mathbf{c}\|\mathbf{\dot{\epsilon}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbf{L}_{2})}$$

are well-known. We apply this with e replaced by e and e replaced by e. In this way we come to

Theorem 4: Let N = 3. Then

$$\|\mathbf{e}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{L}_{\infty})} \leq c \left\{ \|\mathbf{\epsilon}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{L}_{\infty})} + \|\mathbf{\dot{\epsilon}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{\infty}(\mathbf{L}_{2})} + \|\mathbf{\dot{\epsilon}}\|_{\mathbf{L}_{2}(\mathbf{L}_{2})} \right\}$$

For u sufficiently regular this leads to optimal error estimates of the Galerkin approximation.

## 4. Two Types of Error Estimates in Arbitrary Dimensions.

Using the splitting  $e = \varepsilon - \Phi$  of above we get for arbitrary N of space dimensions with  $\alpha = N/2 - 1$  similar to above with  $\chi = P_h(\mu^{-\alpha}\Phi)$ 

$$(\dot{\phi}, \Phi)_{\alpha} + \|\nabla \Phi\|_{\alpha}^{2} + k\rho^{2} \|\Phi\|_{\alpha+2}^{2} =$$

$$= D(\phi, \mu^{-\alpha} \Phi - X) - (\dot{\epsilon}, \mu^{-\alpha} \Phi - X) + (\dot{\epsilon}, \Phi)_{\alpha} .$$

By approximation arguments we come to

$$(\bar{\bullet}, \bar{\bullet})_{\alpha} \leq c \left\{ \left\| \Phi \right\|_{\alpha}^{2} + \left\| i \right\|_{\alpha}^{2} \right\}$$

if  $\rho \ge \gamma h$  with  $\gamma$  properly chosen. This leads to the interesting a priori inequality

$$\| \phi(t) \|_{\alpha}^{2} \leq \| \phi(0) \|_{\alpha}^{2} + c \int_{0}^{t} \| \dot{\epsilon}(\tau) \|_{\alpha}^{2} d\tau .$$

A first - but non-optimal -  $L_{\infty}$ -result is the consequence. If  $x_0$  is chosen properly then  $\|\phi\|_{\alpha}$  is a bound of  $\|\|\phi\|_{L_{\infty}}$ . Therefore we get

$$\| \bullet \|_{L_{\infty}(L_{\infty})}^{2} \leq c h^{-2} \| \bullet \|_{L_{2}(\alpha)}^{2} = c h^{-2} \int_{0}^{T} \| \bullet \|_{\alpha}^{2} d\tau$$

The  $\alpha$ -norm is bounded by the L -norm for p > N. Therefore we have

Theorem 5: Let  $N \ge 3$  be arbitrary and  $p \ge N$ . Then

$$\|e\|_{L_{\infty}(L_{\infty})} \leq \|\epsilon\|_{L_{\infty}(L_{\infty})} + c h^{-1} \|\epsilon\|_{L_{2}(L_{p})}$$

Similarily we can get

$$\|\dot{\epsilon}(t)\|_{\alpha}^{2} \leq \|\dot{\epsilon}(0)\|_{\alpha}^{2} + c \int_{0}^{t} \|\dot{\epsilon}(\tau)\|_{\alpha}^{2} d\tau .$$

For  $\phi(0) = 0$  we find

$$\| \Phi(0) \|_{\alpha} \le c \| \varepsilon(0) \|_{\alpha}$$

and in this way

$$\sup \left\|\dot{\phi}(t)\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \sup \left\|\dot{\epsilon}(t)\right\|_{\alpha} + c \left\{ \int_{0}^{T} \left\|\ddot{\epsilon}\right\|_{\alpha}^{2} d\tau \right\}^{1/2}$$

The link to  $L_{\infty}$ -estimates of  $\Phi$  and therefore of e is

------

Theorem 6: Let N > 3 and  $\alpha = N/2 - 1$ . For  $\rho \ge \gamma h$ with  $\gamma$  chosen properly

$$\|\Phi\|_{\alpha+2} + \|\nabla\Phi\|_{\alpha+1} \le c \quad o^{-1} \quad \|\hat{\epsilon} - \Phi\|_{\alpha}$$

The proof of this theorem is quite lengthy, the lines of it still being the same as in the paper three years ago.

Since now  $\alpha + 2 = N/2 + 1$  for  $x_0 \in \Omega$  appropriate and  $\rho = \gamma h$  we get

$$\left\| \mathbf{\bullet} \right\|_{\mathbf{L}_{co}} \leq \mathbf{c} \mathbf{\rho} \left\| \mathbf{\bullet} \right\|_{\alpha+2}$$

This finally gives the error estimate stated at the end of the introduction.

In the mentioned paper to appear in R.A.I.R.O. a detailed bibliography is given. We suppress this here.