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1. Introduction. Mielnik's [1] geometric approach to the foundation of general quantum mechanics revived the interest in characterization of inner product spaces. A natural form of the generalized parallelogram law [2] came out of studying geometric properties of the concrete representation space of Mielnik's quantum states. This generalized parallelogram law was related to that of D.A. Senechalle [3], through the functional equation $f+f \circ g=1$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \in F=\{f \mid f \in C[0,2], f \uparrow, f(0)=0, f(2)=1\} \\
& g \varepsilon G=\{g \mid g \varepsilon C[0,2], g \downarrow, g(0)=2, g(2)=0\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The generalized parallelogram law

$$
f(||x+y||)+f(| | x-y| |)=1
$$

where $f \in F$, and $||x||=||y||=1$ turned out to be a concrete form of the well-known condition of E.R. Lorch, [4].

Before we show how by modifying Mielnik's axioms we can get other geometric properties of the concrete representation space, we shall give a brief account of the results mentioned above.
2. Mielnik's probability spaces and characterization of inner product-spaces.

Let $S$ be a non-empty set and $p$ a real-valued function defined on $S \times s$ such that
(A) $0<p(a, b) \leq 1$ and $a=b \not p p(a, b)=1$
(B) $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})=\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{a})$,
for $a l l a, b \varepsilon S$.

Definition 2.1. Two elements $a$ and $b$ in $S$ are orthogonal if $p(a, b)=0$. A subset $R$ of $S$ is an orthogonal system if any two distinct elements of $R$ are orthogonal.

It is easy to show that there exists a maximal orthogonal system. Definition 2.2. A maximal orthogonal system is called a basis B in $S$. Let $F_{B}$ be the class of all finite subsets $F$ of $B$, then

$$
p(a, F)=\sum_{b \in F} p(a, b)
$$

is defined for all a $\varepsilon S$, and all $F \in F_{B}$.
The following property of $B$ is postulated.
(C) For each basis $B$ and for each a $\varepsilon S$

$$
\sup _{F \in F_{B}} p(a, F)=1
$$

Definition 2.3. Any pair ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{p}$ ) satisfying axiom ( $A$ ), ( $B$ ) and ( $C$ ) is called a probability space.

Theorem 2.1. Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be two basis, then $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ have the same cardinal number.

Definition 2.4. The common cardinal number of all basis is called the dimension of ( $S, p$ )

Theorem 2.2. Let $£ \in F$. Then there exists $a g \varepsilon G$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f+f \circ g=1 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g \varepsilon G$. Then (2.1) has a solution $f \varepsilon F$ if and only if $g$ is an involution, i.e. $g=g^{-1}$.

Example 2.1. Let $h \in G . \quad$ If

$$
g(t)=h^{-1}\left(2-\lg \left[e^{2}-e^{2-h(x)}+1\right]\right)
$$

then

$$
f(t)=\frac{e^{2-h(t)-1}}{e^{2}-1}
$$

is a solution of (2.1).
Example 2.2. For

$$
h(t)=-\lg \left[\frac{t^{2}}{4}\left(1-e^{-2}\right)+e^{-2}\right]
$$

we have

$$
f(t)=\frac{t^{2}}{4}
$$

Theorem 2.3. Let $N$ be normed real linear space and $S=\{x \||x| \mid=1\}$. Then $N$ is an inner product space if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\|x+y\|)+f(\| x-y| |)=1 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $f \in F$ and all $x, y \in S$.
Example 2.3. Refering to Example 2.1 we have that a necessary and sufficient condition for N to be an inner product space is that

$$
e^{-h(\|x+y\|)+f(\|x-y\|)=1}
$$

for some $h \in G$ and all $x, y \varepsilon S$.
Example 2.4. Let $h$ be as in Example 2.2. Then (2.2) becomes the well-known condition of M.M. Day [5].

Theorem 2.4. Let $N$ be a norméd real linear space, $S=\{x \|||x||=1\}$, and let $p(x, y)=f(\|x+y\|)$, where $f \varepsilon F$. Then $N$ is an inner product space if and only if for some $f \varepsilon F,(S, p)$ is a probability space of dimension 2.

Example 2.5. Let $h$ be as in Example 2.1. Then $N$ is an inner product space if and only if

$$
\left(s, \frac{e^{2-h(| | x+y| |)}-1}{e^{2}-1}\right)
$$

is a probability space of dimension 2 , for some $h \in G$.
Example 2.6. For

$$
h(t)=-\lg \left[\frac{t^{2}}{4}\left(1-e^{-2}\right)+e^{-2}\right]
$$

we have result given in [6].
3. Modified Mielnik's axioms and geometry of representation spaces. First we shall change axiom (A) as follows
(A*) $0 \leq p^{*}(a, b) \leq 1 \quad p^{*}(a, b)=1 \Rightarrow a=b$
and keep (B) and (C) as in the Mielnik system of axioms. A pair (S,P*) satisfying axioms (A*), (B) and (C) we shall cali *-probability space. As before $s$ is the unit sphere of a normed real linear space N .

Lemma 3.1. Let ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{p}^{*}$ ) be a *-probability space. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{*}(x, y) \geq f(\|x+y \mid\|), \quad x, y \in S, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \varepsilon F^{*}=\left\{f \mid f \varepsilon C[0,] ; f(t) \Leftrightarrow t=0\right.$, then $\left(S, p^{*}\right)$ is a probability space Proof. We have to show that $x=y \Rightarrow p^{*}(x, y)=1$.

From (3.1) we have

$$
p^{*}(x, x) \geq f(2)=1
$$

But $p^{*}(x, y) \leq 1$, thus $p^{*}(x, x)=1$.
Lemma 3.2. If ( $S, P^{*}$ ) is a *-probability space of dimension 2 and (3.1) holds, then every basis is of the form $\{y,-y\}, \forall y \varepsilon s$. Proof. By Lemma 3.1 ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{p}^{*}$ ) is a probability space. Let x and y be any two orthogonal elements. Then

$$
0=p^{*}(x, y) \geq f(\| x+y| |)
$$

and

$$
f(||x+y||)=0
$$

However $f(t)=0 \Leftrightarrow t=0$. Therefore

$$
\| x+y| |=0
$$

or

$$
x+y=0
$$

Finally $y$ is orthogonal to $-y$, and since ( $S, p^{*}$ ) is of dimension 2, we have that every basis is of the form $\{y,-y\}$

Corollary 3.1. If

$$
f(\| x+y| |)=\frac{\left\lfloor x+y \Perp^{2}\right.}{4}
$$

and (S, $\mathrm{P}^{*}$ ) is a *-probability space of dimension 2 with (3.1), then $N$ is an inner product space.

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 every basis of the form $\{y,-y\}$. From the axiom (C)

$$
I=p^{*}(x, y)+p^{*}(x,-y) \geq \frac{\left\lfloor x+y \bigcup^{2}\right.}{4}+\frac{\left\lfloor x-y \bigsqcup^{2}\right.}{4}
$$

for all $x, y \in S$. Applying a result of Schoenberg [7] we conclude that $N$ is an inner product space.

Now we shall modify axiom $C$ to read: For every basis $B$ and each a $\varepsilon S$
(C*) $\sup _{F \varepsilon F_{B}} p(a, F) \leq 1$
A pair ( $\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{p}^{*}$ ) that satisfies ( $A^{*}$ ), ( $B$ ), ( $C^{*}$ ) we shall call modified probability space. Some of the above results may be reformulated for a modified probability space.
Lemma 3.3. If for some $f \varepsilon F^{*}=\{f \mid f \in C[0,2] ; f(t)=0 \Leftrightarrow t=0 ; f(2)=1\}$

$$
f(\|x+y \mid\|)+f(| | x-y| |) \leq 1
$$

and all $x, y \in S$, then $N$ is uniformly convex.
Proof. Let $\left\{x_{n}\right\},\left\{y_{n}\right\} \subset S$. We have to show that

$$
\left\|x_{n}+y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 2 \Rightarrow\left\|x_{n}-y_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

From

$$
f\left(\left\|x_{n}+y_{n}\right\|\right)+f\left(| | x_{n}-y_{n} \|\right) \leq 1
$$

we have

$$
f\left(\lim \left|\left|x_{n}+y_{n}\right|\right|\right)+f\left(\lim | | x_{n}-y_{n}| |\right) \leq 1
$$

or

$$
f(2)+f\left(\lim | | x_{n}-y_{n} \mid 1\right) \leq 1
$$

But $f(2)=1$, so

$$
f\left(\lim \left|\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right| 1\right) \leq 0\right.
$$

i.e.

$$
f\left(\lim \left|\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|\right|\right)=0
$$

For any $f \varepsilon F^{*}$ we have that

$$
f(t)=0 \Longleftrightarrow t=0
$$

Thus

$$
\lim _{\frac{i}{n}}| | x_{n}-y_{n} \|=0
$$

Example 3.1. The well-known Clarkson's inequality [8] states

$$
\left\|\frac{f+g}{2}\right\|^{p^{\prime}}+\left\|\frac{f-g}{2}\right\|^{p^{\prime}} \leq\left(\frac{1}{2}\|f\|^{p}+\frac{1}{2}\|g\|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}},
$$

for $1<p<2$, and for $p \geq 2$.

$$
\left\|\frac{f+g}{2}\right\|^{p}+\left\|\frac{f-g}{2}\right\|^{p} \leq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|^{p}+\frac{1}{2}\|g\|^{p} .
$$

The norm $\|\cdot\|$ is the standard Lp norm. (or 1 p ), and $\mathrm{p}^{\prime}=1-\mathrm{p}$. Let $S$ be the unit sphere of Lp. Then

$$
\left\|\frac{f+g}{2}\right\|^{p^{\prime}}+\left\|\frac{f-g}{2}\right\|^{p^{\prime}} \leq 1,1<p<2,
$$

and

$$
\left\|\frac{f+g}{2}\right\|^{p}+\left\|\frac{f-g}{2}\right\|^{p} \leq 1, p \geq 2 .
$$

It is easy to recognize two last inequality as special case of the inequality (3.1), by taking

$$
f(t)=\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{p^{\prime}} \text { or } f(t)=\left(\frac{t}{2}\right)^{p}
$$

It follows that Lp (or lp ) are uniformly convex.
Lemma 3.3. says that every normed real linear space on whose unit sphere (3.1) (generalized Clarkson's inequality) holds, is uniformly convex.

Corollary 3.2. If $N$ is a Banach space and (3.1) holds then $N$ is reflexive.

Proof. According to Milman's [9] (see also Dieudonne [10]) every uniformly convex Banach space is reflexive.

Theorem 3.1. Let $N$ be a normed real linear space and $S$ its unit sphere i.e. $S=\{x| ||x| \mid=1\}$. If (S, $P^{*}$ ) is a modified probability space of dimension 2 , and

$$
p^{*}(x, y) \geq f(| | x+y| |)
$$

where $f \in F^{*}=\{f \mid f \in C[0,2] ; f(t)=0 \Leftrightarrow t=0 ; f(2)=1\}$, then $N$ is uniformly proof. By Lemma 3.2 every basis of $(S, p *)$ is of the form $\{y,-y\}$. From the axiom (C*) we have

$$
p^{*}(x, y)+p^{*}(x,-y) \leq 1
$$

That implies

$$
f(||x+y||)+f(| | x-y| |) \leq 1
$$

Applying Lemma 3.3 we get that $N$ is uniformly convex.
Corollary 3.3. In addition to the conditions of Theorem 3.1 assume that $N$ is a Banach space. Then $N$ is reflexive.
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