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## ABSTRACT

The nine well known semisimple Lie algebras are partitioned in two classes: $W_{l p c=1}$ (all roots have the same length) and $W_{l z c \neq 1}$ (the roots have two different lengths of ratio equal to $\sqrt{c}$ ).

For each of these two classes a general expression is given for few elements of interest as the highest weight vector (h.w.v.) $L$ and its power $\delta(L)$, the eigen values of the second order Casimir operator, the width of a weight diagram, the dimensions and the matrix elements of irreducible representations of semi simple Lie algebras.

In appendix are given two examples of application of this paper.
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Introduction.
This paper is concerned with semisimple
Lie algebras defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero only (in brief s.L.a.), i.e. with the type of algebras widely used by physicists. Calculations of highest weight vectors in particular cases [4,11-13] have of course been done already. However here the use of a general procedure yields general formulas which give a very simple proof that no other s.L.a. than the well known ones do exist.

To make the paper relatively self contained and to define notations we first recall the usual definitions of roots of an algebra, the Drnkin diagram and the highest weight vector (in brief h.w.v.) of a given representation of that algebra [1-14]..

In the second part the calculation of the h.w.v. is performed firstly when all the roots have the same length and secondly when the roots have two different lengths of ratio equal to $\sqrt{c}$; these two cases correspond respectively to the two classes of s.L.a. $W_{\ell p c=1}$ and $W_{\ell z c x 1}(c=2$ or 3$)$.

The third part is devoted to the interpretation of the results obtained in the second part; in a first step [20] it is very simply shown that no other semisimple Lie algebras (defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero) than the ones already known do exist: the four series

[^0]$A_{\ell}, B_{\ell}, C_{\ell}, D_{\ell}$ and the five "exceptional" Lie algebras $\left\{E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, F_{4}, G_{2}\right\}$ that we reclassify according to our scheme as
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\ell p c=1}=\left\{A_{\ell}, D_{\ell}, E_{\ell} \text { with } \ell=6,7,8 \text { only }\right\} \\
& W_{\ell z C \neq 1}=\left\{B_{\ell}, C_{\ell}, F_{4}, G_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

In a second step we calculate and tabulate the power $\delta(L)$ of the highest weight vector $L$ and link it to $R=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu>0} \mu$; hence the eigen values of the Casimir operator and the width of a weight diagram can be deduced.

In a third step the results so obtained are used to build up the matrices of representations for the two classes of algebras (dimensions and matrix elements).

In appendix two examples are briefly studied as applications of this paper.
II. Roots, Dynkin diagram and highest weight.

The following fundamental facts are well known :
§1. If $\Sigma=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{i}, \ldots, \alpha_{j}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}\right\}$ is an irreducible fundamental system of simple roots we have
i) $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{\ell}$ are linearly independant ;
ii) $\frac{\left.2<\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle}=-m, \quad \frac{\left.2<\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}=-c \quad(m, c \in \mathbb{Z}>0) ;$
iii) $\sum$ is not decomposable into two mutually orthogonal subsets. Consequently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left[2<\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}>\right]^{2}}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}><\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right.}=4 \cos ^{2} \theta=m c \leq 4 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $\mathrm{m}=1$ one only gets :

$$
c=0,\left(\theta=90^{\circ}\right) ; \quad c=1,\left(\theta=120^{\circ}\right) ; c=2,\left(\theta=135^{\circ}\right) ; c=3,\left(\theta=150^{\circ}\right) ;
$$

0 line (i.e. no
1 line
2 lines
3 lines connection)

$$
c=4, \begin{cases}\theta=0 & \alpha_{j}=\alpha_{i}  \tag{3}\\ \theta=\pi & \alpha_{j}=-\alpha_{i}\end{cases}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\frac{\left.2<\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}}{\frac{\left.2<\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle}}=\frac{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle}=c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the roots have only two possible lengths.

Hence

$$
\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle=\lambda, \quad\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle=c \lambda, \quad\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}-\frac{c \lambda}{2} & \text { if } \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j} \text { are con- } \\ & \text { nected roots } ; \\ 0 & \text { if } \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j} \text { are not } \\ \text { connected roots } .\end{cases}
$$

Normalizing $\alpha_{j}$ such $\lambda=\frac{2}{c}$ yields the following relations:

$$
\left\langle\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle=\lambda=\frac{2}{c},\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle=2,\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right\rangle= \begin{cases}-1 & \text { if } \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j} \\ \text { roots } & \text { are connected } \\ 0 & \text { if } \alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j} \text { are not con- } \\ \text { nected roots }\end{cases}
$$

§2. To every given irreducible representation (denoted I.R.) corresponds a unique vector $L$ (in the idempotent $D$ ) called the highest weight vector (denoted h.w.v.) of the given I.R. From this h.w.v. $L$ all the properties of the I.R. can be deduced ;
for instance the $H$. Weyl formula giving the dimension $N$ is well known :

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\prod_{\mu \in \Sigma_{+}} \frac{(L+R, \mu)}{(R, \mu)}=\prod_{\mu \in \Sigma_{+}}\left[\frac{(L, \mu)}{(R, \mu)}+1\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Sigma_{+}$being the subset of positive root and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu \in \Sigma_{+}} \mu \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the h.w.v. L, a set of $N$ ordinary weight vectors $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{r}, \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right\}$ can be deduced (all distincts if there is no degeneracy) and used in turn to calculate matrices of the I.R. diagonal ones

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{F}_{\mu}\right)_{\mathrm{r}}^{\mathrm{r}}=\left(\mu, \lambda_{\mathrm{r}}\right) \quad \mu \in \Sigma_{+} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and non diagonal ones

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{t}= \pm \sqrt{\left(F_{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{r}+\left[\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{s}^{r}\right]^{2}} \text { where }\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{s}^{r} \neq 0 \text { if } \lambda_{s}=\lambda_{r}+\alpha \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

using

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{-\alpha}\right)_{s}^{r}=-\left(E_{\alpha}\right)_{r}^{s} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. Calculation of the highest weight vector.

Having emphisized the importance of the h.w.v., it seems natural to calculate its expression for each of the two type of I.R. given by the following Dynkin diagrams :

(Type I)
(Type II)
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.m_{i}=L \alpha_{i}=\frac{2\left\langle L, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle} \quad\left(m_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\rangle 0 ; i=1,2, \ldots, \ell-1, \ell\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Writing $L=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{k} \alpha_{k}$ and using (5) we get the $a_{k}^{\prime}$ sas solution of a system of $\&$ linear equations :

$$
\begin{align*}
m_{i}=\frac{2}{\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle}\left[a_{i-1}\left\langle\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle\right. & +a_{i}\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle+a_{i+1}\left\langle\alpha_{i+1}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \left.+a_{\ell}\left\langle\alpha_{\ell}, \alpha_{i}\right\rangle \delta_{i p}\right] \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

the last term occuring only for diagrams of type (i) when $i=p$.

The system (12) has been solved for each of the two types of diagrams (I) and (II). The corresponding results are given in tables I and II for diagrams (I) and (II) respectively. If one writes $a_{k}=\frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_{k}{ }_{k} m_{i}$, then one gets two different expressions of $\Delta$ according to the type of diagram, say $\Delta_{p}$ for (I) and $\Delta_{z}$ for (II). These expressions will be analyzed in $\S 3$ to give the reason for the limitation of the number of simple Lie algebras. As [8, 14]
a consequence of Chevalley's theorem the classification of Dynkin diagram is equivalent to that of simple algebraic groups over algebraically closed fields of zero characteristic.

Table $I: \xi_{k}^{i}$ for Type I (algebras $W_{l p c=1}$ )

$$
\Delta_{\mathrm{p}} \equiv \Delta=\mathrm{p}^{2}+(2-\mathrm{p}) \ell \quad \delta=\ell-\mathrm{p}-2 \quad \Delta+\mathrm{p} \delta=2(\ell-\mathrm{p})
$$



Table II : $\quad \xi_{k}^{i} \quad$ for Type II (algebras $W_{\ell z c}$ )

$$
\Delta_{z}(j)=\ell+1-j+(1-c)(\ell-z)(z-j) \quad j=i, k, z \text { or } 0
$$


III. Ansivsisi of jesulits and applicutions.

1. The two sets of algabzas $w_{p} p=1$ and $w_{p z c \neq 1}$.

As the h.w.v. has been wxitten L. :: $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{k} a_{k}$ with $a_{k}=\frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \xi_{k}^{i} m_{i}$ we must have $\Delta \neq 0$ and $\Delta>0$.

In the case of diagrams of Type I i.e. of $H_{\ell p c=1}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta \equiv \Delta_{p}=p^{2}+(2-p) \ell=\ell+1+(p-1)(p-\ell+1)>0 \\
& p=\ell-1 \text { (or 1) } \Delta=\ell+1>0 \text { for all } \& \quad A_{\ell} \\
& p=2 \quad \cos (-2) \quad \Delta=p^{2}=4 \geqslant 0 \text { for all \& } D_{\ell} \\
& p=3 \quad(0, l-3) \quad \Delta=9-\ell \quad>0 \quad \text { for } \ell=6,7,8 \quad E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8} \\
& p \text { big } \Delta \sim p(p-\ell)>0 \text { for } p>\ell \quad \text { nonsense. }
\end{aligned}
$$

In the case of diagrams of Type II i.e. of $W_{l z c}$ we have

$$
\Delta \equiv \Delta_{z}=\ell+1+(1-c)(\ell-z) z
$$

$c=1$ we come back to the previous case where all the roots have the same
length with a linear diagram $(\ell=p-1)$ i.e. to

$$
A_{\ell}
$$

$c=2 \quad \Delta=\ell+1-(\ell-z) z=2+(z-1)(z-\ell+1)>0$

| $z=\ell-1$ | $\Delta=2$ | $>0$ | for all $\ell$ | $B_{\ell}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $z=1$ | $\Delta=2$ | $>0$ | for all $\ell$ | $C_{2}$ |
| $z=2$ | $\Delta=5-\ell$ | $>0$ | for $\ell=4$ | $F_{4}$ |

$c=3 \Delta=\ell+1-2 z(\ell-z)$

$$
z=1
$$

c > 1 z big

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
\Delta=3-\ell & >0 & \text { for } \ell=2 & G_{2} \\
\Delta \sim z(z-\ell) & >0 & \text { for } z>\ell & \text { nonscnse. }
\end{array}
$$

When it is written for instance $9-\ell>0$, of course one can take $\ell=5$ (or 4) which gives $D_{5}$ (or $A_{4}$ ) already seen; similarly for $5-\ell>0$ $\ell=3$ gives $B_{3}$ already seen.
As. all other diagrams lead to a null h.w.v.; one is left with the only 9 s.L.a. already known and wideiy used by physicists; thase 9 A.L.a. can he chosaificd in trotes:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w_{l p c=1} & =\left\{A_{l}, D_{l}, E_{\ell} \text { with } l=6,7,8\right\} \\
W_{\ell g C}, \neq 1 & =\left\{B_{l}, C_{l}, E_{L}, G_{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

IV. 2. Power of weight vector. (Freudenthal ${ }^{[10]}$ and Jacobson ${ }^{[11]}$ use equivalently the word 'level'). By definition the power $\delta\left(d_{n}\right)$ of weight vector $d_{n}=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} k_{\eta}^{k} \alpha_{k}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\lambda_{n}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \lambda_{n}^{k} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

II. 2.1. Power of the h.k.v..

The power $\delta(L)$ of the h.w.v. $L=\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k} \alpha_{k}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta(L) \text { of the h.w.v. } L=\sum_{k=1}^{a_{k}} \alpha_{k} \text { is }  \tag{15}\\
& \delta(L)=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{k}=\frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \xi_{k}^{i} m_{i}=\frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \xi_{k}^{i}\right) m_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us write $\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \xi_{k}^{k=1}=N^{i}$ so that in general

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(L)=\frac{1}{\Delta} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \Lambda^{i} m_{i} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $W_{\ell p<=1}$ the calculation of $\delta(L)$ implies three steps (and of course $\Delta=\Delta_{p}$ )
$1 \leqslant i \leqslant p-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{i}=\frac{i}{2}\left[2(l+1)(l-p)+(p-i) \Delta_{p}\right] . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p \leqslant i \leqslant l-1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{i}=\frac{\ell-i}{2}[2(\ell+1) p+(i-p) \Delta] . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{l}=\frac{\ell}{2}[2(l+1)-\Delta] . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

$i=\ell$

Hence we get for the power of the h.w.v. of $W_{\ell_{p c}=1}$ algebras:
$\delta\left(L_{p}\right)=\frac{1}{\Delta_{p}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \frac{i}{2}\left[2(l+1)(p-p)+(p-i) \Delta_{p}\right] m_{i}+\sum_{i=p}^{l-1} \frac{l_{2}}{2}\left[(l l+1) p+(i-p) \Delta_{p}\right] m_{i}+\frac{l}{2}\left[2(l+1)-\Delta_{p}\right] m_{l}\right\} \cdot(20, l)$
Specializing $p$ to $\ell-1,2,3$ we get $\Lambda^{i}$ (hence $\delta\left(L_{p}\right)$ for $A_{l}, D_{l}, E_{\ell}(\ell=6,7,8)$
respectively; the results are given in Table III.
It is remarkable that due to the symmetry in $i$ and $k$ of Table I the h.w.v. $R$ of the I.R. given by the Dynkin diagram for which $m_{i}=1$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, l$ will have the same coefficients as $\delta\left(L_{p}\right)$ i.e.:
$R=\frac{1}{\Delta_{p}}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{k}{2}\left[2(l+1)(l-p)+(p-k) \Delta_{p}\right] \alpha_{k}+\sum_{k=p}^{\ell-1} \frac{1}{2}\left[2(l+1) p+(k-p) \Delta_{p}\right] \alpha_{k}+\frac{l}{2}\left[2(l+1)-\Delta_{p}\right] \alpha_{l}\right\} . \quad(20, l)$ It will be seen later ( $\S$ IV.2.2. Theorem I) that $R$ is Biso the half sum of the positive roots.

Tabte If : $\quad \delta\left(L_{p}\right)=\frac{1}{\Delta_{P}} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} S_{p}^{i m_{i}}$ for $W_{\rho_{P C=1}}$.

$$
\Delta_{p}=p^{2}+(2-p) l=l+1+(1-p)(l-1-p)
$$



For $W_{\ell_{2 c}}$ the calculation of $\delta(L)$ implies only two steps (and of course $\Delta=\Delta_{2}$ ) $1 \leqslant i \leqslant z-1$
$z \leqslant i \leqslant l$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{i}=\frac{i}{2}\left\{c(l+1)(l-z)+(z+1-i) \Delta_{z}\right\} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{i}=\frac{l+1-i}{2}\left\{(l+1)(z+1)+(i-z-1) \Delta_{z}\right\} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence we get for the power of the h.w.v. of $W_{\ell z c}$ algebras:
$\delta\left(L_{z}\right)=\frac{1}{\Delta_{z}}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{z-1} \frac{i}{2}\left[c(l+1)(l-z)+(z+1-i) \Delta_{z}\right] m_{i}+\sum_{i=2}^{l}\left(\frac{l+1-i}{q}\right)\left[(l+1)(z+1)+(i-z-1) \Delta_{z}\right] m_{i}\right\} \cdot(23, a)$
Due to the properties of Table II the $h_{.}, v_{0} R$ of the $I_{.} R$. given by the Dynkin diagram for which $m_{i}=1$ for all $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$ will be: $R=\frac{1}{\Delta_{z}}\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{j-1} \frac{k}{2}\left[c(l+1)(l-z)+(z+1-l) \Delta_{z}\right] \alpha_{l+1-k}+\sum_{i=2}^{\ell}\left(\frac{l+1-k}{2}\right)\left[(l+1)(z+1)+(k-2-1) \Delta_{z}\right] \alpha_{l+1-k}\right\} \cdot(23, b)$ The connection of $R$ and $\delta\left(L_{z}\right)$ is so established; that $R$ is the half sum of the positive roots will be seen in theorem I as before. The formulas obtained for $A_{\ell}$ from $W_{\ell z c=1}$ as well as from $W_{\ell p c}=1$
are evidently the same for $p=z=\ell-1$.
Now for $c=2$ we get the $\Lambda^{i}$ 's for $B_{l}$ when $z=l-1$, for $C_{l}$ when $z=1$, for $F_{4}$ when $z=2$;
for $c=3$ we get the $\Lambda^{i}$ 's for $G_{2}$ when $z=1$ and $l=2$.
The results are given in Table IV.
It is worth while writing the formulas for $\ell=2$ and $z=1$ considering the
frequent use of algebras of order 2. In that case, we get $\Delta_{2}=4-c$, and Table II gives $\xi_{1}^{i}=2, \quad \xi_{1}^{2}=1 ; \quad \xi_{2}^{1}=c, \quad \xi_{2}^{2}=2$;
hence for the h.w.v.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{2, c}=\frac{1}{4-c}\left\{\left(2 m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(c m_{1}+2 m_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its power

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(L_{2, c}\right)=\frac{1}{4-c}\left\{(2+c) m_{1}+3 m_{2}\right\} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which checks with Table IV.
These formulas can be used for $A_{2}\left(c=1, \delta\left(L_{2,1}\right)=m_{1}+m_{2}\right)$,

$$
\text { for } \begin{array}{r}
B_{2} \text { or for } C_{2}\left(c=2, \delta\left(L_{2} ; 2\right)=2 m_{1}+\frac{3 m_{2}}{2}\right), \\
\text { and for } G_{2}\left(c=3, \delta\left(L_{2,3}\right)=5 m_{1}+3 m_{2}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Talk III: $\quad \delta\left(L_{z}\right)=\frac{1}{\Delta_{z}} \sum_{i=1}^{t} \Lambda_{\}}^{i} m_{i} \quad$ for $W_{i z c}$



The most important fact which comes out from Tables III \& IV is that $\delta(\mathrm{L})$ is either integer or half integer so that $2 \delta(\mathrm{~L})+\mathrm{l}=\mathrm{T}$ is always an integer either odd or even respectively. As we shall see below $T$ is the number of layers of the weight system constituted by all the weight vectors; the dimension $N$ of the representation is equal to the cardinal of the set of weight vectors denoted by $\{$ w.v. $\}=\left\{\lambda_{1}=L, \lambda_{2}, \ldots \ldots, \lambda_{N}\right\}$.
Ordinary weight vectors are obtained by subtracting simple roots one by one from the h.w.v. $L$ subject to rule (I):

If $\alpha_{k}$ is a simple root and $\lambda_{\Delta} \in\{w . v$.$\} then \lambda_{n}=\lambda_{A}-\alpha_{k} \in\{w . v$.
if and only if the integer $Q\left(\lambda_{4}, \alpha_{k}\right)$ determined by the two conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda_{\Delta}+Q\left(\lambda_{\Delta}, \alpha_{k}\right) \alpha_{k} \in\left\{w \cdot v_{\cdot}\right\}  \tag{26,a}\\
& \lambda_{\Delta}+(Q+1) \alpha_{k} \notin\left\{w \cdot v_{\cdot}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

is such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2\left(\lambda_{s}, \alpha_{k}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k}\right)}+Q\left(\lambda_{1}, \alpha_{k}\right)>0 \tag{26,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can define the vector $S_{h}=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} i_{n}^{\gamma} \alpha_{\gamma}$
where $i_{n}$ are $\ell$ positive or nu integers $(~(\ell=1, \ell, \ldots, \ell)$ such that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{r}=\lambda_{1}-\dot{S}_{r} \in\{\text { w.v. }\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(\lambda_{r}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\delta\left(S_{r}\right) \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. the power of $\lambda_{\Omega}$ differs from the power of $\lambda_{1}=L$ by the integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(S_{n}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{l} i_{n}^{\gamma}=i_{n}^{l}+\cdots+i_{n}^{l} \Rightarrow r-1 \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the number of simple roots subtracted from $\lambda_{1}$ to give $\lambda_{n}$.
In others words for any $\lambda_{\pi} \in\{w . v .\}_{1} \delta(L)$ and $\delta\left(\lambda_{R}\right)$ are either both integers or both half integers so that for a given representation all the powers of the weight system are of the same nature (corresponding to Wigner's integer or half integer representations).

Now equation (30) might have many independent solutions, say $q_{r}$ solutions satisfying conditions ( $26 \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$ ); in that case the $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{r}}$ ordinary weight vectors (in brief o.w.v.) $\lambda_{r}^{(1)}, \ldots ., \lambda_{r}^{\left(q_{A}\right)}$ form the $r$-th layer of o.w.v. all with the same power $\delta\left(\lambda_{r}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-(r-1)$.

The $r$-th layer is said to be power degenerate of order $q_{r}$.
In particular for the first layer corresponding to the uniqe h.w.v. $\lambda_{1}=L$ one has $r=1, S_{1}=0, \delta S_{1}=0, q_{1}=1$ and the first layer is never degenerate. If $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is an integer, after $\left(m_{0}-1\right)$ subtracting steps such that

$$
\delta\left(\lambda_{m_{0}}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\left(m_{0}-1\right)=0
$$

we have a $m_{0}-t h$ layer of $w . v$. with power equal to zero; here $\left.m_{0}=\delta a_{1}\right)+1$. If $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is an half integer, after $\left(m_{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right)$ subtracting steps such that

$$
\delta\left(\lambda_{m_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\left(m_{\frac{1}{2}}-1\right)=\frac{1}{2}
$$

we have a $m_{\frac{1}{2}}$-th layer of w.v. with power equal to $\frac{1}{2}$; here $m_{\frac{1}{2}}=\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}$, In both cases due to the symmetry of the process the total number $T$ of layers (called the height of the w.v. system) is then

$$
T=2 \delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+1
$$

As we shall see the power degeneracy cannot diminish as the number of subtracting steps grows (up to $m-1$ steps) and consequently the degeneracy is maximum either for the $m_{0}$-th layer if $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is integer, say $q_{m_{0}}$,
or for the $m_{\frac{1}{2}}$-th layer if $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is half integer, say $q_{m_{\frac{1}{2}}}$.
This maximum power degeneracy $q_{m}$ is called the width of the w.v. system. So that finally we have for the dimension $N$ of the representation (counting each w.v. with its multiplicity)
if $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is integer
$N=2\left(q_{1}+\ldots+q_{i}+\ldots+q_{m_{0}-1}\right)+q_{m_{0}}$
if $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is half integer $N=2\left(q_{1}+\ldots+q_{i}+\ldots+q_{m_{\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$
(with $q_{1}=1$ and $q_{i+1} \geqslant q_{i}$ ).
In both cases we have $T=2 \delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+1 \leqslant N$ the equal sign corresponding to the case of no degeneracy.
2.b. Effective determination of o.w.v.

The first layer being occupied by the unique h.w.v. $\lambda_{1}=\mathrm{L}$
let us look for the w.v.'s of the second layer.
According to rule (I) since $\lambda_{1} \in\{w . v$.

$$
\lambda_{1}+\alpha_{i} \notin\{w . v .\}
$$

we have $Q\left(\lambda_{1}, a_{i}\right)=0$ for $i=1,2, \ldots, \ell$.
As $\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1}, \alpha_{i}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}=m_{i}$, for $\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{i}$ to be a w.v. we have the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1}, \alpha_{i}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}+Q\left(\lambda_{1}, \alpha_{i}\right)=m_{i}>0 \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

If there are $q_{2}$ values of $m_{i} \neq 0$, we obtain a second layer of $q_{2}$ different w.v. $\lambda_{2}=\left\{\lambda_{2}^{(1)}, \ldots, \ldots, \lambda_{2}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}\right\}$ with the same power $\delta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-1$.

Similarly the w.v. of the third layer are obtained by determining first $Q\left(\lambda_{2}^{(i)}, \alpha_{j}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{2}^{(i)}+\alpha_{j}=\lambda_{i}^{-}-\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j} \in\{\text { w.v. }\} \text { if and only if } \alpha_{i}=\alpha_{j} \\
& \lambda_{2}+2 \alpha_{j}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{i}+\alpha_{j}+\alpha_{j} \ddagger\{\text { w.v. }\}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $Q\left(\lambda_{2}^{(i)}, \alpha_{j}\right)=\delta_{i, j}$ and the condition for $\lambda_{2}^{(i)}-\alpha_{j}$ to be a w.v. is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\left(\lambda_{2}, \alpha_{j}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)}+Q\left(\lambda_{2,}^{(i)} \alpha_{j}\right) & =\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)}{\alpha \alpha}+\delta_{i, j}>0 \\
& =m_{j}-\frac{2\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)}+\delta_{i, j}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $\mathrm{j}=\mathrm{i}$ we get :

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}>1 ; \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that for $m_{i} \geqslant 2, \lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{i}$ is a w.v. of power $\delta\left(\lambda_{i}\right)-2$.
If $j \neq i$ we get: in the case where $\alpha_{j}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ are not connected

> (so that for $m_{j} \geqslant 1, \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}$ (with $m_{j}>0$, in the case where $\alpha_{j}$ and $\alpha_{i}$ are connected i.e. $j=i \pm 1$ then $\left(\alpha_{i}^{\prime}, \alpha_{j}\right)=-1$.
and the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j}+\frac{2}{\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{j}\right)}>0 \tag{33,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is fulfilled even if $m_{j}=0$; then $\lambda_{2}-\alpha_{j}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{i}-\alpha_{j}$ with $|i-j|=1$ is a w.v. of power $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-2$. So that with each w.v. of the second layer $\lambda_{2}^{(i)}$ we get at least one w.v. of the third layer.

To study the r-th layer let us write now a w.v. of the r-1-th layer as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k-1}^{(i)}=\lambda_{1}-S_{r-1}^{(i)} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{r-1}^{(i)}=\sum_{d i=1}^{\ell}{ }_{r-1}^{j} \alpha_{j}$
with $\delta\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{r}-1}^{(\mathrm{i})}\right)=\mathrm{r}-2$ and $\delta\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{r}-1}^{(\mathrm{i})}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-\mathrm{r}+2$;
(all $i_{r-1}^{j}$ are positive or nul integers; $j=1,2, \ldots \ldots, \ell$ ).
For $\lambda_{r}^{(i)}=\lambda_{r-1}^{(i)} \alpha_{s}$ to be a weight vector of the $r$-th layer we determine $Q\left(\lambda_{r-1}^{(i)}, \alpha_{\text {a }}\right.$

$$
\lambda_{r-1}^{(i)}+Q u_{s} \in\{w, v .\}
$$

$$
\lambda_{r-1}^{(i)}+(Q+1) \alpha_{s} \notin w . v .
$$

So that $Q=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} i_{r-1}^{j} \delta_{j, s}$ and the condition for $\lambda_{r-1}^{(i)}-\alpha_{s}$ to be a w.v. is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\left(\lambda_{r-1}^{(i)}, \alpha_{s}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s}\right)}+Q & =\frac{2\left(\lambda_{1}-S_{r-1}^{(i)}, \alpha_{s}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s}\right)}+Q>0 \\
& m_{s}-\frac{2\left(S_{r-1}^{(i)}, \alpha_{s}\right)}{\left(\alpha_{s}, \alpha_{s}\right)}+\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} i_{r-1}^{j} \delta_{j, s}>0
\end{aligned}
$$

If $s \neq j$ and $|s-j| \geqslant 2$ for all $j$ 's such that $i_{r-1} \neq 0$, that is to say if $\alpha_{s}$ is none of the $\alpha_{j}$ involved in $S_{r-1}^{(i)}$ and if $\alpha_{5}$ is not connected with any one of them, then rule (I) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{s}>0, \text { i.e. } m_{s} \geqslant 1 \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $s \neq j$ and $\alpha_{s}$ is connected with at least one of the $\alpha_{j}$ 's involved.in $S_{r-1}^{(i)}$ then for that value of $j_{p}\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{s}\right)=-1$; rule (I) is fulfilled even if $m_{s}=0$. (Notice that this conclusion remains true if $\alpha_{s}$ is connected with two $\alpha_{j}$ 's, or exceptionally three $\alpha_{j}$ 's in $D_{\ell}$ or in $E_{\ell}$ ).
If $\alpha_{s}=\alpha_{j}$ i.e. if $\alpha_{s}$ is a particular $\alpha_{j}$ then rule (I) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{j}-i_{r-1}^{j}>0 \quad \text { i.e. } m_{j} \geqslant i_{r-1}^{j}+1 \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular among the $q_{r-1}$ solutions of equation (30) applied to the ( $r-1$ )-th layer there is the maximal one $i_{r-1}^{s}=r-2$ (with $i_{r-1}^{j}=0$ for all other $j^{\prime} s$ ) and correspondingly $\lambda_{1}-(r-1) \alpha_{s}$ will be a w.v. of the r-th layer with power

$$
\delta\left(\lambda_{r}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-r+1 \text { if } m_{s} \geqslant r-1
$$

The conditions $m_{s} \geqslant 1$ for the second layer, $m_{s} \geqslant 2$ for the third layer, etc... $m_{s} \geqslant r-1$ for the $r$-th layer become obvious in terms of Young diagrams;
also we can see that power degeneracy cannot diminish as the number of subtracting steps grows as stated previously.

Due to the action of the Weyl group the w.v. system takes a spindle shape. Within a given layer $\left\{\lambda_{r}\right\}$ a certain w.v. M can occur more than once as soon as $r \geqslant 3$; indeed we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M=\lambda_{r}^{(i)}=\lambda_{1}-\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} i_{r}^{j} \alpha_{j}=\lambda_{r-1}^{\left(i_{1}\right)}-\alpha_{s_{1}}=\lambda_{r-1}^{\left(i_{2}\right)}-\alpha_{s_{2}}=\ldots \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example the w.v. system of the representation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\text { w.v. }\}=\left\{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} ; \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} ; 0,0 ;-\alpha_{2},-\alpha_{1} ;-\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the nul w.v. of the third layer is obtained in two ways from the second one. layer; so that the nul w.v. is degenerate and its multiplicity is two.

In general if $M$ appear $n_{M}$ times then $M$ is said to be degenerate and $n_{M}$ is its multiplicity (or the dimension of the corresponding degenerate subspace of the w.v. space); it means that each w.v. such as $M$ has to be counted $n_{M}$ times to maintain the fact that the dimension $N$ of the representation-space is equal to the total number of w.v..

Freudenthal's recursion formula ${ }^{[10]}$ gives the multiplicity $n_{M}$ of $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
[(L+R, L+R)-(M+R, M+R)] n_{M}=2 \sum_{\mu>0} \sum_{R=1}^{\infty}(M+k \mu, \mu) n_{M+k} \mu \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ as for the Weyl's formula is given by equation(7).
To calculate dimensions of representatios by Weyl!s formula (eq.6)-one-does not need $L+R$ but $R$. As roots and weights are dual forms $[1-15]$ with respect to the fundamental Killing quadratic form of the algebra the power $\delta(L)$ of the h.w.v. in the weight space corresponds to $R$ in the root-space Theorem I: $\delta(D)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \frac{\Lambda^{i}}{\Delta} m_{i}$ and $R=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu>0} \mu=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu^{i} \alpha_{i}$ are dual elements. The ordering of the roots is important for the use of this theorem; for $W_{\ell p l}$ the order is given with Table $V$; for $W_{\ell z c}$ one has to interchange $m_{i}$ and $\alpha_{\ell+1-\mathrm{i}}$ ( $\mathrm{B}_{\ell}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\ell}$ alse. as being dual too). With these precautions R can be built up out of Tables $I I I$ and $I$ for $W_{\ell p l}$ and $W_{\ell 2}$.

Let us give two examples easy to check in no time.
For $G_{2}$ Table IV gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta\left(L\left(G_{2}\right)\right) & =5 m_{1}+3 m_{2}  \tag{41,a}\\
R\left(G_{2}\right) & =3 \alpha_{1}+5 \alpha_{2} \tag{41,b}
\end{align*}
$$

then Theorem I:

For $F_{4}$ Table IV gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(L\left(F_{4}\right)\right)=11 m_{1}+21 m_{2}+15 m_{3}+8 m_{4} \tag{4i,I}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left(F_{4}\right)=8 \alpha_{1}+15 \alpha_{2}+21 \alpha_{3} 11 \alpha_{4} \tag{41,d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now that we have $L=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} a_{k} \alpha_{k}$ (Tables I \& II) and $R=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{k} \alpha_{k}$ (Tables III \& IV) using universally adopted Racah's notations $[17, b]$ it is easy to build $K=L+R$ and consequently $K^{2}$ which is involved in Freudenthal $s$ formula as well as in the second order Casimir operator whose eigenvalues are $K^{2}-R^{2}=L(L+2 R)=\varrho$ for the representation defined by a given Dynkin diagram.

Using eq. 5 and properties of the Tartan matrix involved in eq. 12 we obtain

$$
\mathscr{E}=k^{2}-R^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{l}\left(a_{k}+2 b_{k}\right) m_{k} \frac{\left(\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k}\right)}{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{l} a_{k}\left(m_{k}+2\right) \frac{\left(\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k}\right)}{2} ;(4 q)
$$

given in Table $V$ for $W_{\ell p l}$ and in Table $V I$ for $W_{\ell z c}$. (The trivial exercise of specialization to particular values of $p, z$, and $c$ is left to the reader).

The width of the weight diagram can be deduced easily now from Freudenthal's formula. We have seen that this width is the degeneracy $n_{0}$ of the null weight vector when $\delta(L)$ is integer and $n_{\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i}}$ of the $w . v . M=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i}$ when $\delta(L)$ is half integer. In the first case we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{K}^{2}-\mathrm{R}^{2}\right) n_{0}=2 \sum_{\mu>0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(k \mu, \mu) n_{k \mu} \tag{40,a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in the second case:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(k^{2}-R^{2}-\frac{5}{4}\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)-2\right] n_{\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i}}=2 \sum_{\mu>0} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i}+k \mu, \mu\right) n_{\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i}}+k \mu\right. \tag{40,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mu$ is a positive root and $\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{i}+k \mu$ must be a weight.
In the appendix examples of application of these formula are given.

Table V : Eigenvalues of Casimir operator for $W_{\ell p l}$

Ordering of the roots for $W_{\ell p l}$.
For $A_{\ell}$ as the coefficients of $\delta(L)$ are symmetric in $i$ and $\ell+1-i$ the interchange has no effect and it is just as well to not do it. (see ref. [15] p.27). For $D_{\ell}$ from an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $R^{\ell}$ all roots are defined as $\pm e_{i} \pm e_{j}(i \neq j)$ As we notice that Table III gives the same coefficient for $i=1$ and for $i=2$ we define the simple roots in the following order:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}=e_{\ell-1}-e_{\ell}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \alpha_{\ell-i}=e_{i}-e_{i+1}, \ldots \ldots \ldots, \alpha_{\ell-1}=e_{l}-e_{2}, \\
& \alpha_{l}=e_{\ell-1}+e_{\ell} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $E_{\ell}$ from an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{8}$ all roots are defined as $\pm e_{i} \pm e_{j}$ ( $i \neq j$ ) and the vectors $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{\ell}(-1)^{m(i)} e_{i}$, with $\sum m(i)=$ even; we define the simple roots as:

$$
\alpha_{l}=e_{1}+e_{2} \quad l=6,7,8 \text { only. }
$$

$$
\alpha_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(e_{1}+e_{8}-\sum_{i=2}^{7} e_{i}\right), \alpha_{2}=e_{2}-e_{1}, \quad \alpha_{3}=e_{3}-e_{2}, \alpha_{4}=e_{4}-e_{3}, \ldots \ldots, \alpha_{l-1}=e_{\ell-1}-e_{\ell-2}
$$

With the above ordering of the simple roots of $W_{\ell p l}$, if using Table $\mathbb{I I I}$ we write $\delta(L)=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} b_{k} m_{k}$ then we get simply $R=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu>0} \mu=b_{k} \alpha_{k}$, with $b_{k}=\frac{\Lambda^{k}}{\Delta_{p}}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathscr{C}_{z}=\frac{1}{\Delta_{p}} \sum_{p=1}^{p-1}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{\dot{p} k}\left(\Delta_{p}+k \delta\right) i m_{i}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{p-1}\left(\Delta_{p}+i \delta\right) \mathrm{km}_{i}+\sum_{i=j}^{\ell-1} 2 k(\ell-i) m_{i}+k(\ell-p) m_{\ell}\right. \\
& \left.+k\left[2(\ell+1)(\ell-p)+(p-k) \Delta_{p}\right]\right\}_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left.\left.+\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(\ell-p) \mathrm{im}_{i}+\sum_{i=p}^{\ell-1} p(\ell-i) m_{i}+\ell m_{\ell}+\ell\left[2(\ell+1)-\Delta_{p}\right]\right\} m_{\ell}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

Table VI : Eigenvalues of Casimir operator for $W_{\ell z c}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\sum_{k=z+1}^{\ell-z+1} \sum_{i=1}^{z-1} i c(\ell+1-k) m_{i}+\sum_{i=z}^{i=k}(\ell+1-k)[i+(1-c) z(i-z-1)] m_{i}+\sum_{i=k+1}^{\ell}(\ell+1-i)[k+(i-c) z(k-z-1)] n_{i} \\
& +k\left[(\ell-k-z) \Delta_{z}+(\ell+1)(z+1)\right] \ln \frac{\left(\alpha_{K}, \alpha_{K}\right)}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad \sum_{k=\{-z+2}^{\ell} \sum_{i=1}^{\sum-1} i c(\ell+1-k) m_{i}
\end{aligned}+\sum_{i=z}(\ell+1-k)[i+(1-c) z(i-z-1)] m_{i} .
$$

(The order of the roots is as given in reference 15 chap.V pages 28-29).

Of course the use of Tables V \& VI can be avoided if one use the second form of equation (42) that we write again

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}=L(L+2 R)=\sum_{f_{i=1}}^{\ell} a_{k}\left(m_{k}+2\right)\left(\frac{\alpha_{k}, \alpha_{k}}{2}\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where only the coefficients of the h.w.v. L given in Tables I \& II are involved. Anyway the ordering of the roots is still necessary to go from $\delta(L)$ to $R$.
IV.3. Matrices of I. R. of semi-simple Lie algebras.
IV.Z.I. On Weyl's formula and dimensions of I.R. of semi simple Lie algebras. Weyl's formula $[1,11]$ give the dimension $N$ of an I.R. as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\prod_{\mu>0}\left[\frac{(L, \mu)}{(R, \mu)}+1\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This formula implies the knowledge of $L$ and of all the positive roots $\mu$, ( $R=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu>0} \mu$ being deduced either directly from the $\mu^{\prime} s$, or from $\delta(L)$ ). What follows shows that the knowledge of the positive roots is enough. As $\mu=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu^{i} \alpha_{i}\left(\mu^{i} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}\right)$using eq. (11) we have:

$$
(L, Y)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu^{i} m_{i} \frac{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}{2}
$$

As $R=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu>0} \mu$ is also the highest weight of the I.R. corresponding to the Dynkin diagram such that all $m_{i}=1(i=1,2, \ldots \ldots, \ldots)$ we have:

$$
(R, \mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu^{i} \frac{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}{2}=\delta(L, \mu)
$$

where $\delta(L, \mu)$ is the power (i.e. the sum of the $m_{i}^{\prime \prime s}$ coefficients) of ( $L, \mu$ ).
Formula (43) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\prod_{\mu>0}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{l} \mu^{i} m_{i} \frac{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}{2}}{\sum_{j=1}^{l} \mu^{i} \frac{\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)}{2}}+1\right] \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $W_{\ell p 1}$ for which $\left(\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right) / 2=1$ we get:

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(W_{\ell p 1}\right)=\prod_{\mu>0}\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} N^{i} m_{i}}{\delta(\mu)}+1\right] \tag{44,a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu^{i} m_{i}$ is obtained from $\gamma=\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \mu^{i} \alpha_{i}$ by interchanging $\alpha_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ and $\delta(\mu)=\sum_{i=1}^{l} \mu^{i}$ is the power of the positive root $\mu$.
For $W_{l z c}$ using previous notations we have:

$$
N\left(W_{l z c}\right)=\Pi\left[\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{z} \mu^{i} m_{i}+\sum_{i=z+1}^{l} \mu^{i} \frac{m_{c}}{c^{i}}}{\sum_{i=1}^{Z} \mu^{i}+\sum_{i=z+1}^{l} \mu^{i} \frac{1}{c}}+1\right]
$$

The number of positive roots $\mu$ of a given Lie algebra being called $n_{p}$ the ooxeter index $h$ is then $h=\frac{2 n_{p}}{l}$ and the maximum power $\delta\left(\mu_{m}\right)$ of the positive roots is $\delta\left(\mu_{m}\right)=h-1$.
When expliciting ( $44, \mathrm{a}$ ) and ( $44, \mathrm{~b}$ ) it is useful to give the factors of N in increasing order of $\delta(\mu)$ with

$$
1 \leqslant \delta(\mu) \leqslant \delta\left(\mu_{m}\right)=h-1
$$

where $\delta(\mu)=1$ corresponds to simple roots.
It follows from eq. (44) that to write the dimension of the I.R. of a given Lie algebra corresponding to a Dynkin diagram (or bo a Young diagram ) only the positive roots of that algebra are needed. The families of positive roots are build up out of an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ of a vector space $E$ according to Tables VII and VIII for $W_{\ell p 1}$ and $W_{\ell z c}$ respectively. The dimensions are then deduced according to the above method and given in Tables IX and $X$ for $W_{\ell p 1}$ and $W_{\ell z c}$ respectively.
(at least for $A l, B l, C$
lish 1 the connection between Young and Dynkin diagrams. For Young diagrams (as oppose to Dynkin diagrams ) one has to say in which Lie algebra they have to be considered. Then if $\lambda_{i}$ is the length of the 1-th line one has for $A[$ :

$$
m_{i}=\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1}(\text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, \ell) ;
$$

however for $B_{l}$ (algebra of $S O(2 l+1)$ ) one has

$$
m_{i}=\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{i+1}(\text { for } i=1,2, \ldots, l-1) \text { and } m_{l}=2 \lambda_{l} ;
$$

for $C_{l}$ (algebra of $\mathrm{Sp}(2 \ell)$ ) one has

$$
m_{i}=\lambda_{\ell+1-i}-\lambda_{\ell+2-i}(\text { for } i=2, \ldots, l) \text { and } m_{1}=\lambda_{\ell}
$$

These precautions been taken, Tables IX and $X$ can be used for instance to help the reduction of the I.R. of a group w.r.t. its invariant subgroups as for the decomposition of $\operatorname{SU}(\mathrm{n})$ into representations of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and the studies of the chain $\mathrm{SU}(2 \ell+1) \supset \mathrm{SO}(2 \ell+1) \supset \mathrm{SO}(3)$ for $l$ integer
and of the chain $S U(2 j+1) \supset S p(2 j+1) \supset S O(3)$ for $j$ half-integer which are the root of the señority concept so widely used by physicists (cf. M. Hamermesh ${ }^{[18, a}$, thapter 11).

Table VII. Families of positive roots for $W_{\text {Ep1 }}$ algebras.


## Table VIII. Femilies of positive roots for $W_{l z c}$ algebras.



Table IX. Dimensions of I.R. of $W_{2 p 1}$ algebras.


Table X. Dimensions of $I . R$. of $W_{l Z c}$ algebras.


An I.R. is called basic if all components $m_{j}$ of the $\mathrm{h} . \mathrm{w} . \mathrm{v}$. are zero except one $m_{i}=\delta_{i, j}$ for $j=1,2, \ldots, i, \ldots, \ell$; such a representation is denoted $\left(W_{l}\right) B_{i}$ and its dimension $N\left(W_{l}\right) B_{i}$ is obtained by doing $m_{j}=\delta_{i, j}$ in Tables $I X$ (for $W_{\ell p 1}$ ) and $X$ (for $W_{\ell \mathrm{zc}}$ ). The results listed in. Tables XI and XII have already been obtained ${ }^{[18, t]}$ by a recursive method; they cannot be used to compute the dimension of any general I.R. since the dimension formulas are very far from been linear in the $m_{i}$ 's; they are only an example as well as a test of Tables IX and X.

The basic I.R. of smallest dimension will be called the elementary I.R. as it corresponds to the dimension of the smallest vector space of representation and according to our coherent notation (ef.section III, Type I and II) corresponds to a terminal simple root i.e. $1=1, \ell$, or $\ell-1$, this last value been specialy valid for $D_{\ell}$ and for $E_{\ell}(=6,7,8)$.

A representation of particular interest is also the one whose dimension is equal to the number $r$ of parameters of the associated group; such a representation will be called the regular $/$ representation and denoted R.R.; we have

$$
\mathrm{r}=2 \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{p}}+\ell=\ell\left(\frac{2 \mathrm{n}}{\ell} \mathrm{p}+1\right)=\ell(\mathrm{h}+1)=\ell\left(\delta\left(\mu_{\mathrm{m}}\right)+2\right)
$$

In general the regular madyint)
$A_{\ell}$ for which the R.R. is the I.R. $m_{1}=m_{\ell}=1, m_{i}=0$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, \ell-1$;
$C_{l}$ for which the R.R. is the following reducible representation:

$$
R \cdot R \cdot\left(C_{l}\right)=\left(C_{l}\right) B_{l-1} \oplus\left(C_{l}\right) B_{l} \oplus\left(C_{l}\right) B_{0}
$$

where $\left(C_{Q}\right) B_{0}$ is the scalar identity representation for which all $m_{i}=0$. As for $E_{l}$ one has $n_{p}=(l-1)(l-2)+(l-6)[6(l-7)+1]+2^{l-2}$,
which is not a simple function of $l$ to handle all the basic representations are computed directly using Tables IX and $X$.

The process of alternation.
Starting from the representation space of the elementary I.R. of dimension say $n$ for $A_{\ell}, D_{\ell}, B_{\ell}$ we can represent the Dynkin diagram given by $m_{l}=1$, $m_{i}=0$ for $i=2,3, \ldots, l$ by a Young diagram consisting of a single box. Then the Young diagram corresponding to the Dynkin diagram given by $m_{i}=\delta_{i, j}$ is a column of $i$ boxes i.e. a skew-symmetric tensor of rank $i$ in $E^{\wedge n}$ and the number of linearly independant components of that tensor is equal to $\binom{n}{i}$; consequently the dimension of the I.R. given by the Dynkin diagram of the basic representation $m_{i}=\delta_{i, f}$ is also $\binom{n}{i}$ as a direct calculation using Tables $I X$ and $X$ yields (see Tables XI and XII). To make the above reasoning obvious for $D_{\ell}$ a relabeling of the roots interchanging $i$ and $\ell-i$ has been used so that $\binom{2 \ell}{\ell-i}$ becomes $\binom{2 l}{i}$. The alternation process applied to $E_{6}, E_{7}, E_{8}, C_{l}, F_{4}, G_{2}$ yields reducible representations (except for few cases of $E_{6}$ ). In the following tables whenever possible Dynkin diagrams have been displayed whith the dimension of the basic representation written below the corresponding simple root; possible reduction of the altermation process have also been expanded.


Table XII. Dimensions of particular representations of ${ }_{\ell z c}$.

|  | $r=2 n_{p}+l=l\left(A_{c}\right) \quad$ Comments |
| :---: | :---: |
| $B_{2}$ $l \geqslant 2$ |  |
| $\begin{gathered} C_{p} \\ \ell \geqslant 2 \end{gathered}$ | $2^{n t}$ Lebeling <br> 2l $\quad(l-1 / 2 l+1) \quad 2\left(\frac{l-i+1}{2 l-i+2}\right)\binom{2 l+1}{i}$ <br> $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$ $x=2 l^{2}+l=l(2 l+1)$ <br> $4 s$ well known $N\left(C_{P}\right)$ is always even ( 2 g. . he fector 2 in $N\left(C_{\ell}\right) B_{i}$ for all $\left.i^{\prime} S_{1}^{\prime}\right)$. <br> When $l$ is odd, $r$ is ould and no brasic $I_{1} R$. <br> can be a reguhar me. For $f_{2}$ the R. R. is seducioble and using the sialar itientity representation denotud $(i))_{i}$ ine has $\left(C_{l}\right) R, R=\left(C_{0}\right) B_{R-1} \oplus\left(C_{l}\right) B_{l} \oplus\left(C_{l}\right) B_{0}$. |
| $F_{4}$ | $r=2 \cdot 24+4=52$ <br> The R, R. covecoponts to the basic $I, R$. <br> $c_{52}^{2}=1274+52 \quad c_{20}^{2}=273+26+26$ $m_{j}=\delta_{1, j} .$ |
| $G_{2}$ |  |

### 17.3.2Construction of the representation matrices of s.s.L.a.

## D3.2,lDiagonal matrices.

To each weight vector $\lambda_{r}^{(i)},\binom{i=1, \ldots \ldots, q_{r}}{r=1, \ldots \ldots, T}$, corresponds a unique vector $v_{r}^{(i)}$ in the representation space $E_{N}$ such that $[1-15]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mu} v_{r}^{(i)}=\left(\mu, \lambda_{r}^{(i)}\right) v_{r}^{(i)} \tag{43,a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\mu=\sum_{k=1}^{l} \mu^{k} \alpha_{k}$ being a positive root (all $r^{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^{+}$).
Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{r, i}^{r, i}=\left(\mu, \lambda_{r}^{(i)}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mu^{k}\left(\alpha_{k}, \lambda_{r}^{(i)}\right) \tag{43,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{r, i}^{r, i}$ is known when the $\left(H_{\alpha_{k}}\right)_{r, i}^{r, i}=\left(\alpha_{k}, \lambda_{r}^{(i)}\right), k=1, \ldots \ldots, \ell$ are known. Due to the symmetry of the weight vector system it suffices to write down its positive part only i.e. the $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ first layers if $\delta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ is an integer (as the following one gives the degeneracy of the nul w.v.) or the $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{2}$ first layers if $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ is an half integer. The complete matrix of order $N$ can then be filled up with the opposite numbers (to get a zero trace matrix as expected).

From equation (34), using (5,b) and (11) we get first

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(S_{r}^{(i)}, \alpha_{k}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{\ell}\left[i_{r}^{j}\left(\alpha_{j}, \alpha_{k}\right)-i_{r}^{j-1}-i_{r}^{j+1}\right] \delta_{j, k} ; \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence for $W_{l z c}$ with $z+1 \leqslant k \leqslant \ell$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{\alpha_{k}}\right)_{r, i}^{r, i}=\frac{m_{k}}{c}-\left(\frac{2 i_{r}^{k}}{r}-i_{r}^{k-1}-i_{r}^{k+1}\right) ; \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $W_{l z c}$ with $\quad 1 \leqslant k \leqslant z$,or for $W_{l p l}$ one has to make $c=1$ in eq. (46).
In case the w.v. $M=\lambda_{r}^{(i)}$ presents a degeneracy of order $n_{M}$ we get just as many identical diagonal elements.

A relatively general exemple of application of the formula (46) is given in appendix.
IV. 3.82. Non diagonal matrices.

The relation ${ }^{[11]}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{-\mu}=-{ }^{t} E_{\mu} \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

allows the study of $E_{\mu}$ for $\mu$ being only a positive root.
As $E_{\mu} v_{s} \propto v_{r},\left(v_{s}, v_{r} \in E_{N}\right)$ we have $\lambda_{r}=\lambda_{s}+\mu \in\{w . v$.
and the non nul elements $\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{S}^{r}$ are such that $\lambda_{r}=\lambda_{S}+\mu$;
i.e. are situated in the lower half of the matrix ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mu}$ ) and connect w.v.
of layers whose power differ by $\delta(\mu)$; in other words $r=s-\delta(\mu)$.
(Of course if $\mu$ is a simple root $\delta(\mu)=1$ and $r=s-1$ ).
The proof of $(48, a)$ is well known; for any other positive root $v$
the commutation relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H_{\nu}, E_{\mu}\right]=(\nu, \mu) E_{\mu} \tag{48,c}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields
or

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(H_{\nu}\right)_{r}^{r}\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{r}-\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{r}\left(H_{\nu}\right)_{s}^{s}=(\nu, \mu)\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{r} \\
\quad\left(\nu, \lambda_{r}-\lambda_{s}-\mu\right)\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{r}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

hence $(48, a)$.
If there is no degeneracy of the w.v. system one has:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{r}= \pm \sqrt{\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{s}+\left[\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{t}^{s}\right]^{2}} \tag{49,a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed the commutation relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{\mu}, E_{-\mu}\right]=H_{\mu} \tag{49,b}
\end{equation*}
$$

yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{t}^{s}\left(E_{-\mu}\right)_{s}^{t}-\left(E_{-\mu}\right)_{r}^{s}\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{r}=\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{s} \tag{49,c}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (47) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{r}\right]^{2}-\left[\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{t}^{s}\right]^{2}=\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{s}^{s} \tag{49,d}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence ( $49, \mathrm{a}$ ) which gives the elements of the non diagonal matrices in terms of the elements of the diagonal matrices given by formula (46).

Notice that $(49, a)$ being not linear one can not expect to get ( $E_{\mu}$ ) as linear combination of the ( $E_{\alpha}$ ) with $\alpha$ as a simple root. Each matrix has to be calculated for its own sake.

From $(48, \mathrm{~b})$ we see that $\mathrm{r}=\mathrm{s}-\delta(\mu)=(\mathrm{t}-\delta(\mu))-\delta(\mu)$; so the calculation starts from $\lambda_{s}=-\lambda_{i}$ (the lowest w.v.) which yields $\lambda_{t}=0$ i.e. $\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{t}^{s}=0$; then $\left(E_{\mu}\right)_{S}^{r}= \pm \sqrt{(H)_{S}^{S}}$ is known from: section 3.a eq. (46) and the procedure is carried over by ascending along a prallel to the diagonal as $\delta(\mu)$ is fixed.

The commutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[E_{\mu}, E_{v}\right]=N_{\mu, \nu} E_{\mu+\nu}, \quad \mu, \nu, \mu+\nu \in\{\text { positive roots }\} \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

is used to obtain some coherence in signs.
If there is a degeneracy of the w.v. system i.e. if in the same layer a certain w.v. M occurs with the multiplicity $n_{M}$ then the terms of the left hand side of equation (49, c) would be summed over the repeated indices $t$ and r respectively.
Furthermore as we have now $\eta_{M}$ values $\left(H_{\mu}\right)_{S}^{s}$ which are identical (for $s=1,2, \ldots, n_{M}$ ) the number of independent equations is no more sufficient to determine all the matrix elements; the last commutation relation(eq.50) is then a useful complement. One can also choose arbitrarily the values of the relevant matrix elements of one of the operators which is tantamount to choosing arbitrarily a basis in the degenerate subspace (of the w.v. system) of dimension $n_{M}$;but the values so obtained will depend on this choice. Degeneracy: is often met and complicates apparently simple problems as for instance the study $[18]$ of the chain $G_{2} \supset A_{2}$.

Conclusions: The following results have been obtained in three steps:
I. In contrast to the point of view recently discussed in $[16, I 7]$ consisting in breaking a given algebra into subalgebras we have considered here the building of two classes of algebras out of known algebras? [20]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& W_{\ell p c=1}=\left\{A_{\ell}, D_{\ell}, E_{\ell} \text { for } \ell=5,7,8\right\} \\
& W_{\ell Z c \neq 1}=\left\{B_{\ell}, C_{\ell}, F_{4}, G_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This classification is based on equation (I) and on Chevalley's theorem $[7,14]$ stating that the classification of Dynkin diagrams is equivalent to that of simple algebraic groups over closed fields of characteristic zero.
2. A study of the w.v. system has been performed using the results of Tables I \& II of the first part. For the highest weight vector $L$ we have calculated its power $\delta(L)$ and shown, for $W_{\text {eqc=1 }}$ (Table III) as well as for $W_{\{\in \subset \neq 1}$ (Table IV), that $\delta(L)$ is either integer or half integer in agreement wit] the fact that $2 \delta(\mathrm{~L})+\mathrm{I}=\mathrm{T}$ is the integral number of layers (or shells) of the w.v. system whether this system is degenerate or not.

In case of degeneracy of a particular weight vector $M$ the Freudenthal's recursion formula gives the multiplicity $\eta_{M}$ of $M$. In that formula as in Weyl's formula (eq.6) comes in the form $R=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mu>0} \mu$ which can be deduced from Tables lllqiv according to Theorem 1 ; hence the eigen values of the Casimir operator (given in Tables V\&V1) and width of weight diagrams are deduced.
3. The results obtained above have been used to build up the matrices of zero trace (diagonal and non diagonal) representations for the two classes of algebras.

In appendix two examples are briefly studied to illustrate this paper.
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## APPEND IX

Example I. $\frac{0}{m_{1}}-\frac{\mathrm{c}}{\mathrm{m}_{2}}$

$$
L=\lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{4-c}\left\{\left(2 m_{1}+m_{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(\mathrm{cm}_{1}+2 m_{2}\right) \alpha_{2}\right\} ;
$$

$$
\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{4-c}\left\{(2+c) m_{1}+3 m_{2}\right\} ; \quad R=\frac{1}{4-c}\left\{3 \alpha_{1}+(2+c) \alpha_{2}\right\} ;
$$

$b=L(L+2 R)=\frac{1}{4-c}\left\{\left(2 m_{1}+m_{2}+6\right) m_{1}+\left(\left(c m_{1}+2 m_{2}\right)+2(2+c)\right) \frac{m_{2}}{c}\right\}$
or equivalently $b=\frac{1}{4-c}\left\{\left(2 m_{1}+m_{2}\right)\left(m_{1}+2\right)+\left(\mathrm{cm}_{1}+2 \mathrm{~m}_{2}\right)\left(\frac{m_{2}+2}{c}\right)\right\}$.

According to section 2.b. we can write:
for the second layer:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{2}^{(1)}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1} \in\{w \cdot v \cdot\} & \text { if and only if } m_{1} \geqslant 1 . \\
\lambda_{2}^{(2)}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2} \in\{w \cdot v \cdot\} & \text { if and only if } m_{2} \geqslant 1 .
\end{array}
$$

If $m_{1} m_{2} \neq 0$ then $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{(2)} \in\{w . v$.$\} with the same power \delta\left(\lambda_{2}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-1$.
If $m_{i}=0(i, j=1,2)$ then $\lambda_{i}^{(j)} \in\{w . v$.$\} \quad but \lambda_{q}^{(i)} \notin w . v . \quad(j \neq i)$.
for the third layer:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{3}^{(1)}=\lambda_{2}^{(1)}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{1} \in\{\text { w.v. }\} \text { if and only if } m_{1} \geqslant 2 . \\
& \lambda_{3}^{(2)}=\lambda_{2}^{(1)}-\alpha_{2}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} \text { w.v. even if } m_{2}=0 \\
& \lambda_{3}^{(3)}=\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\alpha_{2}=\lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{2} \in\{\text { w.v. }\} \text { if and only if } m_{2} \geqslant 2 \\
& \lambda_{3}^{(4)}=\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{3}^{(2)} \in\{(v . v .\}
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\ell=2$, there are no disconnected roots and the third layer contain at least the degenerated w.v. $\left\{\lambda_{3}^{(2)}=\lambda_{3}^{(4)}\right\}$ and at most the 4 above w.v. with the same power $\delta\left(\lambda_{3}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-2$.
Particular cases can be considered:
for $c=1$, take $m_{1}=0, m_{2}=1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { or } m_{1}=1, m_{2}=0 \\
& \text { or } m_{1}=1, m_{2}=1 \text { corresponding to the Young diagram } \mathbb{P} \text { of } \operatorname{SU}(3)
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=2$ and $\left\{\right.$ w.v\} $=\left\{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, 0,0,-\alpha_{2},-\alpha_{1},-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right\}$
so that the dimension of the representation is 8 as forseen by Weyl's formula $\left(6,6,6_{2}\right)$
for $c=2$, $L$ and $R$ are obvious and $L(L+2 R)=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(2 m_{1}+m_{2}+6\right) m_{1}+\left(2 m_{1}+2 m_{2}+8\right) \frac{m_{2}}{2}\right\}$. for $c=3$, Weyl's formula (6) gives using ( $41, b$ ) for the dimension $N$

$$
N\left(G_{2}\right)=\left(m_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{2 m_{1}+m_{2}}{3}+1\right)\left(\frac{3 m_{1}+m_{2}}{4}+1\right)\left(\frac{3 m_{1}+2 m_{2}}{5}+1\right), \quad\left(6_{1} 0_{8}\right)
$$

For $m_{1}=0, m_{9}=1$ we have $N\left(G_{2}\right)=7$ and Frcudenchal's formate gives $n_{0}=1$.
According to section 3.a. and summarizing what we know from before we have: $\{$ w.v. $\}=\left\{\lambda_{1} ; \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}, \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2} ; \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}, \lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}, \lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{1}, \lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{2} ; \ldots\right\}$ $\left[\begin{array}{ll}m_{1} & \\ & m_{1}-2\end{array}\right.$

$$
m_{1}+1
$$

$$
m_{1}-1
$$

$$
m_{1}-1
$$

$$
m_{1}-4
$$

$$
m_{1}+2, ~ l \mid
$$

$H_{\alpha_{2}}=\left[\begin{array}{llll}\frac{m_{2}}{c} & & \\ & \frac{m_{2}}{c}+1 & \\ & & \frac{m_{2}-2}{c} & \\ & & \frac{m_{2}}{c}+1-\frac{2}{c} & \frac{m_{2}}{c}-\frac{2}{c}+1\end{array}\right.$

$$
\frac{m_{2}}{c}+2
$$

$$
\left.\frac{m_{2}-4}{c} \cdot l l \right\rvert\,
$$

If $\mu$ is a positive root such that $\mu=\sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \mu^{k} \alpha_{k}$ we get for this example

$$
H_{\mu}=\mu^{1} H_{\alpha_{1}}+\mu^{2} H_{\alpha_{2}}
$$

Example II: Representations of $\mathrm{C}_{3}$-algebra of group $\mathrm{Sp}(6): m_{1}$ Using Table II we get:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{1}=L_{c_{3}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 m_{1}+2 m_{2}+m_{3}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(2 m_{1}+2 m_{2}+\mathrm{m}_{3}\right) \alpha_{2}+\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}\right) \alpha_{3} ; \\
\delta\left(\mathrm{c}_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(9 m_{1}+8 m_{2}+5 m_{3}\right) ; \quad \delta\left(L_{B_{3}}\right)=3 m_{1}+5 m_{2}+3 m_{3} \\
R\left(C_{3}\right)=3 \alpha_{1}+5 \alpha_{2}+3 \alpha_{3} ;
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\boldsymbol{C}=\frac{1}{2}\left(3 m_{1}+2 m_{2}+m_{3}\right)\left(m_{1}+2\right)+\left(2 m_{1}+2 m_{2}+m_{3}\right)\left(-\frac{m_{2}+2}{2}\right)+\left(m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}\right)\left(-\frac{m_{3}+2}{2}\right)
$$

Dimension: $N\left(C_{3}\right)=\left(m_{1}+1\right)\left(m_{2}+1\right)\left(m_{3}+1\right)\left(\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{m_{2}+m_{3}}{2}+1\right)\left(\frac{2 m_{1}+m_{2}}{3}+1\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(\frac{m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}}{3}+1\right)\left(\frac{2 m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}}{4}+1\right)\left(\frac{2 m_{1}+2 m_{2}+m_{3}}{5}+1\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Second layer: conditions for $\lambda_{2}^{(i)}$ to be a weight vector:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{2}^{(i)} & =\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{i} \text { nif and only if } m_{i} \geqslant 1, \text { for } i=1,2,3 . \\
\delta\left(\lambda_{2}\right) & =\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-1
\end{aligned}
$$

third layer; conditions for the following vectors to be w.v. provided $\lambda_{2}^{(i)} \in\{w . v$.

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\lambda_{3}^{(1)}=\lambda_{2}^{(1)}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{1} & \text { if and only if } m_{1} \geqslant 2 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(2)}=\lambda_{2}^{(1)}-\alpha_{2}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} & \text { even if } m_{2}=0 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(3)}=\lambda_{2}^{(1)}-\alpha_{3}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3} & \text { if and only if } m_{3} \geqslant 1 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(4)}=\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{3}^{(2)} & \text { even if } m_{1}=0 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(5)}=\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\alpha_{2}=\lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{2} & \text { if and only if } m_{2} \geqslant 2 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(6)}=\lambda_{2}^{(2)}-\alpha_{3}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3} & \text { even if } m_{3}=0 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(0)}=\lambda_{2}^{(3)}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1}=\lambda_{3}^{(3)} & \text { if and only if } m_{1} \geqslant 1 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(8)}=\lambda_{2}^{(3)}-\alpha_{2}=\lambda_{1}-\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{2}=\lambda_{3}^{(6)} & \text { even if } m_{2}=0 \\
\lambda_{3}^{(9)}=\lambda_{2}^{(3)}-\alpha_{3}=\lambda_{1}-2 \alpha_{3} & \text { if and only if } m_{3} \geqslant 2
\end{array}
$$

All with power $\delta\left(\lambda_{3}\right)=\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)-2$.
Suppose $m_{1}=m_{2}=0, m_{3}=1$, then $\delta\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=5 / 2$ and we are left with the non degenerate w.v. system:

$$
\left\{\text { w.v. \}}=\left\{\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3} ; \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} ; \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} ;-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1} ;-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2} ;-\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}\right\}\right.
$$

so that the dimension of the corresponding representation is 6 , as forseen by Weyl's formula ( $6, C_{3}$ ).
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