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#### Abstract

The space of linear differential operators on a smooth manifold $M$ has a natural one-parameter family of $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ (and Vect( $M$ ))-module structures, defined by their action on the space of tensor-densities. It is shown that, in the case of second order differential operators, the Vect $(M)$-module structures are equivalent for any degree of tensor-densities except for three critical values: $\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}$. Second order analogue of the Lie derivative appears as an intertwining operator between the spaces of second order differential operators on tensor-densities.


[^0]
## 1 Introduction: main problem

Let $M$ be an oriented manifold of dimension $n$. Consider the space $\mathcal{D}^{k}(M)$ of $k$-th order linear differential operators on $M$. In local coordinates, such an operator is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\phi)=a_{k}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{k}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{k}} \phi+\cdots+a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i} \phi+a_{0} \phi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$ and $a_{k}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{l}}, \phi \in C^{\infty}(M)$ with $l=0,1, \ldots, k$. (From now on we suppose a summation over repeated indices.)

The group $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ of all diffeomorphisms of $M$ and the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ of all smooth vector fields naturally act on the space $\mathcal{D}^{k}(M)$. Let $G \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$, then the action is defined by

$$
G(A):=G^{*-1} A G^{*}
$$

A vector field $\xi \in \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ acts on differential operators by the commutator with the operator of Lie derivative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}(A):=L_{\xi} \circ A-A \circ L_{\xi} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to take as arguments tensor-densities of degree $\lambda$ instead of functions. This defines a family of $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures on $\mathcal{D}^{k}(M)$ depending on $\lambda$.

Studying these module structures is a very important problem since a number of different examples naturally appear in differential geometry and mathematical physics (see below). To our knowledge, the classification problem of such module structures for different values of $\lambda$ has never been considered (at least in the multidimensional case). In this paper we solve this problem for the space $\mathcal{D}^{2}(M)$ of second order linear differential operators.

### 1.1 Tensor-densities: definition

Consider the determinant bundle $\Lambda^{n} T M \rightarrow M$. The group $\mathbf{R}^{*}$ acts on the fibers by multiplication.
Definition. A homogeneous function of degree $\lambda$ on the complement of the zero section, $\Lambda^{n} T M \backslash M$, of the determinant bundle:

$$
F(\kappa w)=\kappa^{\lambda} F(w)
$$

is called tensor-density of degree $\lambda$ on $M$.

Let us denote $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}(M)$ the space of tensor-densities of degree $-\lambda$. It is evident that $\mathcal{F}_{0}(M)=C^{\infty}(M)$, the space $\mathcal{F}_{-1}(M)$ coincides with the space of differential $n$-forms on $M: \mathcal{F}_{-1}(M)=\Omega^{n}(M)$.

In local coordinates, one uses the following notation for a tensor-density of degree $\lambda$ :

$$
\phi=\phi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\left(d x_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge d x_{n}\right)^{\lambda}
$$

The group $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ acts on the determinant bundle by homogeneous diffeomorphisms. Therefore, it acts on the space $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}$. One has:

$$
G^{*} \phi=\phi \circ G^{-1} \cdot J_{G}^{\lambda}
$$

where $J_{G}=\frac{D G}{D x}$ is the Jacobian.
The corresponding action of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ is given by the Lie derivative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\xi} \phi=\xi^{i} \partial_{i} \phi-\lambda \phi \partial_{i} \xi^{i} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark that this formula does not depend on the choice of coordinates.
It is evident that, for an oriented manifold, $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \cong \mathcal{F}_{\mu}$ as linear spaces (but not as modules) for any $\lambda, \mu$.

Remark. If $M$ is compact, then there exists a natural isomorphism of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ - (and $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$-) modules

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \cong \mathcal{F}_{-1-\lambda}
$$

(tautological for $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$ ). Indeed, there exists a non-degenerate invariant pairing $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{-1-\lambda} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ given by $\langle\phi, \psi\rangle=\int_{M} \phi \psi$.

### 1.2 Linear differential operators on tensor-densities

Definition. Each vector field $\xi$ defines an operator $L_{\xi}$ on the space of tensordensities $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}$. Define the space $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{k}$ of $k$-th order linear differential operators on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}$ as the space of all $k$-th order polynomials in different operators $L_{\xi}$ and operators of multiplication by functions.

Each differential operator $A \in \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{k}$ is given by (1) in any system of local coordinates.

Examples. 1) A classical example is the theory of Sturm-Liouville equation: $\phi^{\prime \prime}(x)+u(x) \phi(x)=0$. The argument $\phi$, in this case, is a $-\frac{1}{2}$-density: $\phi=\phi(x)(d x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}($ see $[2,6])$.
2) Another example is given by geometric quantization $[16,9]$ : the algebra of linear differential operators acting on a Hilbert space of $\frac{1}{2}$-densities $[1,10]$ (see also [15, 7, 17]).

The space of differential operators on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}$ does not depend on $\lambda$ as a linear space. We shall use the notation $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{k}$ for $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures on this space.

### 1.3 The Lie derivative as a $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-equivariant operator

All the modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{1}$ of first order differential operators are isomorphic to each other: there exists a $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-equivariant linear mapping

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{1}
$$

Let $A=a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i}+a_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{1}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}(A)=a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i}+a_{0}+(\mu-\lambda) \partial_{i} a_{1}^{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, the expression $A(\phi)$ can be written in invariant way: $A(\phi)=$ $L_{a_{1}} \phi+\left(a_{0}+\mu \partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}\right) \phi$ (the quantity $a_{0}+\mu \partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}$ transforms as a function by coordinate transformations). The operator $\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}(A)$ is defined by a simple application of the same operator to tensor-densities of degree $\lambda$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}$, put $\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}(A) \psi:=L_{a_{1}} \psi+\left(a_{0}+\mu \partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}\right) \psi$. One obtains the explicit formula (4) from (3).

The mapping $\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}$ generalizes the classical Lie derivative. In fact,

$$
L_{\xi}=\mathcal{L}_{0 \lambda}(\xi) .
$$

More generally, if $L_{\xi}^{(\lambda)}$ is the Lie derivative on $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}$, then $\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}\left(L_{\xi}^{(\mu)}\right)=L_{\xi}^{(\lambda)}$.
We are now looking for a higher-order analogue of the Lie derivative, in other words, for an equivariant linear mapping

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{k}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{k} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{k} .
$$

Remark. Let us recall that a classic theorem (see [14, 8]) states that the only Vect $(M)$-equivariant differential operator in one argument (unar operator) on the space of 'geometric quantities' (tensors, tensor-densities,
etc.) is the standard differential: $d: \Omega^{p}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{p+1}(M)$. Now, the space of differential operators turns out to be a richer module, so that the Lie derivative (4) is admitted as a unar equivariant differential operator. The purpose of this paper is to find another equivariant operator extending the ordinary Lie derivative.

## 2 Main theorems

The main results of this paper corresponds to the space $\mathcal{D}^{2}$ of second order linear differential operators on an oriented manifold $M$ :

$$
A(\phi)=a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \phi+a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i} \phi+a_{0} \phi
$$

The formula (2) defines a family of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ on this space.

### 2.1 Critical values of the degree

The following theorem gives the classification of the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ - modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ on the space of second order linear differential operators.
Theorem 1. (i) If $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$, then all $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ with $\lambda \neq$ $0,-\frac{1}{2},-1$ are isomorphic to each other, but not isomorphic to

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \cong \mathcal{D}_{-1}^{2} \not \approx \mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}
$$

(ii) If $\operatorname{dim} M=1$, then all $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ with $\lambda \neq 0,-1$ are isomorphic to each other, but not isomorphic to

$$
\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \cong \mathcal{D}_{-1}^{2}
$$

Therefore, there is one stable $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structure on the space of second order linear differential operators and two exceptional modules corresponding to functions and to $\frac{1}{2}$-densities, if $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$. If $\operatorname{dim} M=1$, there are only two different $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures.

Definition. Let us call critical values the following values of the degree: $\left\{0, \frac{1}{2}, 1\right\}$ if $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$ and $\{0,1\}$ if $\operatorname{dim} M=1$.

### 2.2 Second order Lie derivative

We propose here an explicit formula for the equivariant linear mapping

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{2}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}
$$

which can be considered as an analogue of the Lie derivative. Let us introduce the following notation: $\widetilde{A}=\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{2}(A)$.
Theorem 2. (i) $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$. Let $\lambda, \mu \neq 0,-\frac{1}{2},-1$. There exists a unique (up to a constant) equivariant linear mapping $\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{2}: \mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ given by the formula:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\tilde{a}_{2}^{i j} & =a_{2}^{i j}  \tag{5}\\
\tilde{a}_{1}^{l} & =\frac{2 \lambda+1}{2 \mu+1} a_{1}^{l}+2 \frac{\mu-\lambda}{2 \mu+1} \partial_{i} a_{2}^{i l} \\
\tilde{a}_{0} & =\frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{\mu(\mu+1)} a_{0}+\frac{\lambda(\mu-\lambda)}{(2 \mu+1)(\mu+1)}\left(\partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}-\partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{2}^{i j}\right)
\end{align*}\right.
$$

(ii) $\operatorname{dim} M=1$. Let $\lambda, \mu \neq 0,-1$. There exists a one-parameter family of equivariant linear mappings from $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{2}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$.

As a result of equivariance, the formula (5) does not depend on the choice of coordinates.

Remark. If $\lambda=\mu$, then $\tilde{A}=A$; if $\lambda+\mu=-1$, then the mapping (5) is the conjugation of differential operators: $\widetilde{A}=A^{*}$. Thus, (5) realizes an interpolation between a differential operator and its conjugate.

### 2.3 Hierarchy of modules

For the critical values of the degree $-\lambda$, the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{2}$ (with general $\mu$ ). The mapping $\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{2}$ in this case, is an invariant projections from $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{2}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$. The following diagram represents the hierarchy of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures on the space of second order differential operators:


### 2.4 Automorphisms of exceptional modules

Recall that a linear mapping $\mathcal{I}: \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ is called an automorphism of the Vect $(M)$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ if

$$
\left[\mathcal{I}, \mathrm{ad} L_{\xi}\right]=0
$$

for any $\xi \in \operatorname{Vect}(M)$.
An important property of the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures on $\mathcal{D}^{2}$ corresponding to critical values of the degree, is the existence of non-trivial automorphisms.

The uniqueness of the mapping (5) implies the following fact:
Corollary of Theorem 2. The $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ with $\lambda \neq 0,-\frac{1}{2},-1$ has no nontrivial automorphisms.

The following statement gives the classification of automorphisms for the modules $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \cong \mathcal{D}_{-1}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$.
Proposition 1. (i) Each automorphism of the module $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}$ is proportional to the following one:

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{2}  \tag{6}\\
a_{1} \\
a_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{2} \\
a_{1} \\
c \cdot a_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $c=$ const.
(ii) Each automorphism of the module $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$ is proportional to the following one:

$$
\mathcal{I}\left(\begin{array}{c}
a_{2}^{i j}  \tag{7}\\
a_{1}^{i} \\
a_{0}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{l}
a_{2}^{i j} \\
a_{1}^{i}-2 c\left(a_{1}^{i}-\partial_{j} a_{2}^{i j}\right) \\
a_{0}-c\left(\partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}-\partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{2}^{i j}\right)
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $c=$ const, in terms of the components $a_{2}, a_{1}, a_{0}$ of the operator $A=$ $a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}+a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i}+a_{0}$.

The next part of the paper is devoted to proofs. We give the explicit formulæ for the Vect $(M)$-actions on the space of second order linear differential operators. This family of actions, depending on $\lambda$, can be considered as a one-parameter deformation of a Vect $(M)$-module structure. This approach leads to the cohomology of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ with some nontrivial operator coefficients.

## 3 Action of the Lie algebra $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ on the space of operators

The spaces of second order linear differential operators $\mathcal{D}^{2}$ is isomorphic, as a vector space, to a direct sum of some spaces of tensor fields:

$$
\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2} \cong S^{2}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Vect}(M) \oplus C^{\infty}(M)
$$

where $S^{2}(M)$ is the space of second order symmetric contravariant tensor fields.

The $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-action (2) on the space of differential operators $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ is therefore, a 'modification' of the standard $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-action on this direct sum.

### 3.1 Explicit formulæ

Lemma 1. The action $\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}=\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}^{(\lambda)}$ of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ on $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ (see (2)) is given by

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}(A)_{2}^{i j} & =\left(L_{\xi} a_{2}\right)^{i j}  \tag{8}\\
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}(A)_{1}^{l} & =\left(L_{\xi} a_{1}\right)^{l}-a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{l}+2 \lambda a_{2}^{l i} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{j} \\
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}(A)_{0} & =L_{\xi} a_{0}+\lambda\left(a_{1}^{r} \partial_{r}+a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}\right) \partial_{k} \xi^{k}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where $\left(L_{\xi} a_{2}\right)^{i j}=\xi^{r} \partial_{r} a_{2}^{i j}-a_{2}^{r j} \partial_{r} \xi^{i}-a_{2}^{r i} \partial_{r} \xi^{j}$ and $\left(L_{\xi} a_{1}\right)^{l}=\xi^{r} \partial_{r} a_{1}^{l}-a_{1}^{r} \partial_{r} \xi^{l}$ and $L_{\xi} a_{0}=\xi^{r} \partial_{r} a_{0}$ are the Lie derivatives of tensor fields along the vector field $\xi$.

Proof. By definition, the result of the action $\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}$ is given by $\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}(A)(\phi)=$ $\left[L_{\xi}, A\right](\phi)$. From (2) one has:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[L_{\xi}, A\right](\phi)=} & \xi^{r} \partial_{r}\left(a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \phi+a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i} \phi+a_{0} \phi\right) \\
& -\lambda \partial_{k}\left(\xi^{k}\right)\left(a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \phi+a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i} \phi+a_{0} \phi\right) \\
& -\left(a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}+a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i}+a_{0}\right)\left(\xi^{r} \partial_{r} \phi-\lambda \partial_{k}\left(\xi^{k}\right) \phi\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

One gets immediately the formula (8).
Remark. The homogeneous part of the operator $A$ transforms as a symmetric contravariant 2-tensor: $a_{2} \in S^{2}(M)$.

### 3.2 Invariant normal form

There exists a canonical form of the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-action on the space $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$. To obtain it, we introduce here some 'transformation of variables' in the space $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$.

The intuitive idea is as follows. First, remark that the transformation law of the quantity $a_{0}+\lambda \partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}$ contains only terms with $a_{2}$. Second, the action (8) is a deformation of the standard action of Vect $(M)$ on the space $S^{2}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Vect}(M) \oplus C^{\infty}(M)$. We are therefore trying to find some canonical form of the cocycles on $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ generating this deformation.
A. $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$.

Lemma 2. The following quantities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{a}_{2}^{i j}=a_{2}^{i j}  \tag{9}\\
\bar{a}_{1}^{l}=a_{1}^{l}+2 \lambda \partial_{i} a_{2}^{l i} \\
\bar{a}_{0}=a_{0}+\lambda \partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}+\lambda^{2} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{2}^{i j}
\end{array}\right.
$$

are transformed by the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-action in the following way:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right)^{i j}=\xi^{k} \partial_{k} \bar{a}_{2}^{i j}-\bar{a}_{2}^{i k} \partial_{k} \xi^{j}-\bar{a}_{2}^{k j} \partial_{k} \xi^{i}  \tag{10}\\
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right)^{i}=\xi^{k} \partial_{k} \bar{a}_{1}^{i}-\bar{a}_{1}^{k} \partial_{k} \xi^{i}-(2 \lambda+1) \bar{a}_{2}^{k j} \partial_{k} \partial_{j} \xi^{i} \\
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right)=\xi^{k} \partial_{k} \bar{a}_{0}-\lambda(\lambda+1) \partial_{i}\left(\bar{a}_{2}^{j k}\right) \partial_{j} \partial_{k} \xi^{i}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Proof: From the formula (8), one has: $\partial_{k} L_{\xi} a_{2}^{k i}=\xi^{r} \partial_{r} \partial_{k} a_{2}^{k i}-\partial_{k} a_{2}^{k r} \partial_{r} \xi^{i}-$ $a_{2}^{k r} \partial_{k} \partial_{r} \xi^{i}-a_{2}^{i r} \partial_{r} \partial_{k} \xi^{k}$. The transformation law for $\bar{a}_{1}$ in the formula (9) follows immediately from this expression.

The transformation law for $\bar{a}_{0}$ can be easily verified in the same way.
Important remark. The mapping

$$
\gamma: \xi^{r} \partial_{r} \mapsto \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{r} \cdot d x^{i} \otimes d x^{j} \otimes \partial_{r}
$$

is a nontrivial 1-cocycle on $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ with values in the space of 2-covariant, 1-contravariant tensors. This cocycle appears in the Lie derivative of connections (sce Sec. 6).


Remark. The formula (10) can be written in more invariant way. It is sufficient to note that the terms depending on $\bar{a}_{2}$ in the expressions ad $L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right)$ are $-(2 \lambda+1)\left\langle\bar{a}_{2}, \gamma(\xi)\right\rangle$ and $-\lambda(\lambda+1)\left[\partial_{i}\left\langle\bar{a}_{2}, \gamma(\xi)\right\rangle^{i}-\bar{a}_{2}\left(\partial_{i} \xi^{i}\right)\right]$ respectively, where $\bar{a}_{2}(f):=\bar{a}_{2}^{i j} \partial_{j} \partial_{k} f$.
B. $\operatorname{dim} M=1$.

In the one-dimensional case, let us simply give the normal form.
Lemma 3. The following quantities:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\bar{a}_{2}=a_{2} \\
\bar{a}_{1}=a_{1}+\frac{1}{2}(2 \lambda-1) a_{2}^{\prime} \\
\bar{a}_{0}=a_{0}+\lambda a_{1}^{\prime}+\frac{1}{3} \lambda(2 \lambda-1) a_{2}^{\prime \prime}
\end{array}\right.
$$

transform under the action of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ according to:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{2}\right) & =\xi \bar{a}_{2}^{\prime}-2 \xi^{\prime} \bar{a}_{2} \\
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{1}\right) & =\xi \bar{a}_{1}^{\prime}-\xi^{\prime} \bar{a}_{1} \\
\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\left(\bar{a}_{0}\right) & =\xi \bar{a}_{0}^{\prime}+\frac{2}{3} \lambda(\lambda+1) \bar{a}_{2} \xi^{\prime \prime \prime}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

## 4 Proof of Theorem 1

First of all, it is evident that all the modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ with $\lambda \neq 0,-\frac{1}{2},-1$ are isomorphic. In fact, the mapping $A \mapsto \widetilde{A}$ given in normal coordinates (9) by: $\tilde{\bar{a}}_{2}=\bar{a}_{2}, \tilde{\bar{a}}_{1}=\frac{2 \mu+1}{2 \lambda+1} \bar{a}_{1}, \tilde{\tilde{a}}_{0}=\frac{\mu(\mu+1)}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} \bar{a}_{0}$ defines an isomorphism between $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{2}$.

In the same way, one has: $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \cong \mathcal{D}_{-1}^{2}$. Here the mapping is the conjugation.

### 4.1 Relation with cohomology

To prove that the modules $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \cong \mathcal{D}_{-1}^{2}$ are not isomorphic to any other module, we use the approach of the general theory of deformations (see e.g. [5]).

Let us denote the coefficients $-(2 \lambda+1)$ and $-\lambda(\lambda+1)$ by $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ respectively. Take $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ as independent parameters. One gets a twoparameter family of actions of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ more general than the action (10) on the space of differential operators:

Lemma 4. For each values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$, the following expression defines a $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-action:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
T_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(a_{2}\right)^{i j} & =\left(L_{\xi} a_{2}\right)^{i j}  \tag{11}\\
T_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(a_{1}\right)^{l} & =\left(L_{\xi} a_{1}\right)^{l}+\alpha_{1} a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{l} \\
T_{\xi}^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}\left(a_{0}\right) & =L_{\xi} a_{0}+\alpha_{2} \partial_{i}\left(a_{2}^{j m}\right) \partial_{j} \partial_{m} \xi^{i}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

Proof. This fact is evident since the formula (10) defines an action of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ and the coefficients denoted by $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ are independent.

The action (11) is a two-parameter deformation of the standard Vect( $M$ )module structure on the space $S^{2}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Vect}(M) \oplus C^{\infty}(M)$.
Lemma 5. The following two mappings:

$$
\begin{gathered}
C_{1}: \operatorname{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), \operatorname{Vect}(M)\right) \\
C_{2}: \operatorname{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), C^{\infty}(M)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

given by: $C_{1}(\xi)(A)=a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}\left(\xi^{l}\right) \partial_{l}$ and $C_{2}(\xi)(A)=\partial_{i}\left(a_{2}^{j m}\right) \partial_{j} \partial_{m} \xi^{i}$ are 1-cocycles.

Proof. One should check that for any $\xi, \eta \in \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ :

$$
\left[L_{\xi}, C(\eta)\right]-\left[L_{\eta}, C(\xi)\right]=C([\xi, \eta])
$$

whenever $C=C_{1}, C_{2}$. This relation readily follows from the fact that the formula (11) defines an action of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$.

Standard arguments show that the structures of $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module given by (11) with $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$ and with $\alpha_{1}=0$ are isomorphic if and only if the cocycle $C_{1}$ is a coboundary. In the same way, the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-modules (11) with $\alpha_{2} \neq 0$ and with $\alpha_{2}=0$ iff the cocycle $C_{2}$ is a coboundary.

Moreover, let us prove that it is sufficient to study $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ as differentiable cocycles. This means that we consider the groups:

$$
H_{\Delta}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), \operatorname{Vect}(M)\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
H_{\Delta}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), C^{\infty}(M)\right)\right)
$$

of differentiable (or diagonal) cohomology. In other words, it is sufficient to consider the cohomology classes of $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ only modulo coboundaries given by differential operators (see [5] for details).

Lemma 6. If $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ represent nontrivial classes of differentiable cohomology, then there exists three non-isomorphic structures of Vect( $M$ )-module given by (11):

1) $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2} \neq 0$,
2) $\alpha_{1}=0, \alpha_{2} \neq 0$,
3) $\alpha_{1} \neq 0, \alpha_{2}=0$.

Proof. Suppose that the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures 1) and 2) are isomorphic. Then the cocycle $C_{1}$ is a coboundary: there exists an operator $B \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), \operatorname{Vect}(M)\right)$ such that $C_{1}(\xi)=L_{\xi} \circ B-B \circ L_{\xi}$. Moreover, the isomorphism between the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-modules 1) and 2) is given by a differential operator: $A \mapsto J(A)$. In fact any such isomorphism is local: $\operatorname{supp} J(A)=\operatorname{supp} A$. Thus, $B$ is also a differential operator and $C_{1}$ is a coboundary as a differentiable cocycle.

In the same way one proves that if $C_{2}$ is nontrivial as a differentiable cocycle, then the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-modules 1 ) and 2 ) are not isomorphic to the module $3)$. The lemma is proven.

### 4.2 Nontrivial cohomology class in $H_{\Delta}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), \operatorname{Vect}(M)\right)\right)$

Proposition 2. (i) If $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$, then the cocycle $C_{1}$ represents a nontrivial cohomology class of the differentiable cohomology group

$$
H_{\Delta}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), \operatorname{Vect}(M)\right)\right)
$$

(ii) If $\operatorname{dim} M=1$, then $C_{1}$ is a coboundary.

Proof. To prove the second statement, remark that in the one-dimensional case $S^{2} \cong \mathcal{F}_{2}$ and consider the following operator:

$$
B\left(a(x)(d x)^{-2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} a^{\prime}(d x)^{-1}
$$

It is easy to check, that $C_{1}(\xi)=L_{\xi} \circ B-B \circ L_{\xi}=(\delta B)(\xi)$.
Let now $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$. Suppose that there exists a differential operator $B: S^{2}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ such that $\delta B(\xi)=C_{1}(\xi)$. Let $a^{i j} \partial_{i} \otimes \partial_{j} \in S^{2}(M)$, we have in general

$$
B(a)=b_{i j}^{k i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}} a^{i j} \partial_{k}
$$

where $b_{i j}^{k i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}=b_{j i}^{k i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}$ and:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\delta B)(\xi) a:= & L_{\xi} \circ B a-B \circ L_{\xi} a \\
= & {\left[\xi^{r} \partial_{r}\left(b_{i j}^{k i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}} a^{i j}\right)-b_{i j}^{r i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}} a^{i j} \partial_{r} \xi^{k}\right.} \\
& \left.-b_{i j}^{k i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}}\left(\xi^{r} \partial_{r} a^{i j}-a^{i r} \partial_{r} \xi^{j}-a^{j r} \partial_{r} \xi^{i}\right)\right] \partial_{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The condition $\delta B=C_{1}$ implies immediately: $m=1$, i.e. $B$ is a first order differential operator. Indeed, the highest order (in the derivatives of $\xi$ ) term in $(\delta B(\xi))(a)$ is

$$
2 a^{i r} b_{i j}^{k i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}} \partial_{r} \xi^{j} \partial_{k}
$$

From $\delta B=C_{1}$ one gets that if $m>1$, then this term vanishes for any $a$ and $\xi$, so $b_{i j}^{k i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \equiv 0$.

For a first order operator $B(a)=b_{i j}^{k r} \partial_{r} a^{i j} \partial_{k}$ with $b_{i j}^{k r}=b_{j i}^{k r}$, the condition $\delta B=C_{1}$ implies: $2 b_{i j}^{k r} a^{i t} \partial_{r} \partial_{t} \xi^{j}=a^{r t} \partial_{r} \partial_{t} \xi^{k}$ for any $a$ and $\xi$ (again, we consider the highest order term in $\xi$ ). One readily finds that this equation has no solution if the dimension is $n \geq 2$. Indeed, take $\xi$ such that $\xi^{j}=0$ with $j \neq j_{0}$. Then, $b_{i j}^{k r}=0$ if $k \neq j_{0}$. Comparing this property for different values of $j_{0}$, one finds a contradiction: $b_{i j}^{k r} \equiv 0$.

Proposition 2 is proven.
Remark. Define $\widetilde{C}_{1}: \operatorname{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), \operatorname{Vect}(M)\right)$ by:

$$
\left(\widetilde{C}_{1}(\xi)\right)(a)=a^{k r} \partial_{r} \partial_{i} \xi^{i} \partial_{k}
$$

Then $C_{1}$ is a 1 -cocycle cohomologous to $\widetilde{C}_{1}$ : if $B \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), \operatorname{Vect}(M)\right)$ is given by $B(a)=\partial_{i} a^{i k} \partial_{k}$, then $\delta B=C_{1}+\tilde{C}_{1}$.

### 4.3 Nontrivial cohomology class in $H_{\Delta}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), C^{\infty}(M)\right)\right)$

Proposition 3. The cocycle $C_{2}$ represents a nontrivial cohomology class of the differentiable cohomology group

$$
H_{\Delta}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{2}(M), C^{\infty}(M)\right)\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose there exists a differential operator $B: S^{2}(M) \rightarrow C^{\infty}(M)$ such that $\delta B(\xi)=C_{2}(\xi)$. It is given by: $B(a)=b_{i j}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}} a^{i j}$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\delta B)(\xi) a= & \xi^{r} \partial_{r}\left(b_{i j}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}} a^{i j}\right) \\
& -b_{i j}^{i_{i j} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}}\left(\xi^{r} \partial_{r} a^{i j}-a^{i r} \partial_{r} \xi^{j}-a^{j r} \partial_{r} \xi^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b_{i j}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}=b_{j i}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}}$. The highest order term (in the derivatives of $\xi$ ) in this expression is: $2 a^{i r} b_{i j}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \partial_{i_{1}} \ldots \partial_{i_{m}} \partial_{r} \xi^{j}$. The condition $\delta B=C_{2}$ implies that this term equals zero (for any value of $m$ and for any $a$ and $\xi$ ) which entails $b_{i j}^{i_{1} \ldots i_{m}} \equiv 0$. This contradiction proves Proposition 3.

Theorem 1 is proven.
Remarks. 1. The cocycle $C_{2}$ is related to the coadjoint action of the Virasoro algebra. Its group analogue is given by the Schwarzian derivative (see [6]).
2. Let us recall that, in the one-dimensional case, the cohomology group $H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \mathcal{F}_{\lambda}\right)$ is non-trivial for $\lambda=0,-1,-2$. In these three cases it has dimension one and is generated by the following 1 -cocycles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{0}\left(\xi(x) \partial_{x}\right) & =\xi^{\prime}(x), \\
c_{1}\left(\xi(x) \partial_{x}\right) & =\xi^{\prime \prime}(x) d x \\
c_{2}\left(\xi(x) \partial_{x}\right) & =\xi^{\prime \prime \prime}(x)(d x)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

respectively. Three corresponding cocycles with values in the operator space: $C_{k}: \operatorname{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\lambda}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda-k}\right)$ are given by $\left(C_{k}(\xi)\right)(a):=c_{k}(\xi) \cdot a$. It is interesting to note that the cocycles $C_{0}$ and $C_{2}$ are nontrivial for any value of $\lambda$, but the cocycle $C_{1}$ is nontrivial only for $\lambda=0(\mathrm{cf}[4])$.

## 5 Proof of Theorem 2.

A. $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$.

It is easy to show that the mapping (5) is equivariant. In fact, it becomes specially simple in the normal coordinate system (9). It multiplies each normal component by a constant:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{2}(\bar{A})_{2}=\bar{a}_{2}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{2}(\bar{A})_{1}=\frac{2 \mu+1}{2 \lambda+1} \bar{a}_{1}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{\mu \lambda}^{2}(\bar{A})_{0}=\frac{\mu(\mu+1)}{\lambda(\lambda+1)} \bar{a}_{0} .
$$

Let us prove the uniqueness.

### 5.1 Automorphisms of the modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$

We show in this section a remarkable property of the 'critical' modules $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}$, $\mathcal{D}_{-1}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$, namely the existence of nontrivial automorphisms of these modules.

Proposition 4. Let $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 2$.
(i) The modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ have no automorphisms other than multiplication by a constant for $\lambda \neq 0,-\frac{1}{2},-1$.
(ii) All automorphisms of the modules $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \cong \mathcal{D}_{-1}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$ are proportional to (6) and (7) respectively.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{I} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}\right)$ be an automorphism. This means that $\mathcal{I}$ commutes with the Lie derivative: $\mathcal{I} \circ \operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}-\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi} \circ \mathcal{I}=0$. We first give the general formula for these automorphisms.
Lemma 7. Any automorphism of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ has the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(A)=c_{1} a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}+\left(c_{2} a_{1}^{k}+c_{3} \partial_{j} a_{2}^{k j}\right) \partial_{k}+c_{4} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{2}^{i j}+c_{5} \partial_{i} a_{1}^{i}+c_{6} a_{0} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. a) Consider the highest order term $\mathcal{I}_{2}: \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}(M)$ :

$$
\mathcal{I}_{2}(A)=\mathcal{I}_{2}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)+\mathcal{I}_{2}^{1}\left(a_{1}\right)+\mathcal{I}_{2}^{0}\left(a_{0}\right)
$$

Then the differential operators $\mathcal{I}_{2}^{2}: S^{2}(M) \rightarrow S^{2}(M), \mathcal{I}_{2}^{0}: C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow S^{2}(M)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{2}^{1}: \operatorname{Vect}(M) \rightarrow S^{2}(M)$ are unar equivariant differential operators, since $\left[\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}, \mathcal{I}\right](A)=0$ for any $\xi$ and $A$ (indeed, consider $a_{2} \equiv 0$ to check the invariance of $\mathcal{I}_{2}^{1}$ and $a_{2} \equiv 0, a_{1} \equiv 0$ to check the invariance of $\mathcal{I}_{2}^{0}$ ). A well known theorem (see [14] and the remark in Sec. 1.3) states that there is no such nonconstant equivariant operator. Thus, $\mathcal{I}_{2}^{0}=\mathcal{I}_{2}^{1} \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{I}_{2}$ is an operator of multiplication by a constant. Put: $\mathcal{I}_{2}(A)=c_{1} \cdot a_{2}$.
b) Consider the first order term $\mathcal{I}_{1}(A)=\mathcal{I}_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)+\mathcal{I}_{1}^{1}\left(a_{1}\right)+\mathcal{I}_{1}^{0}\left(a_{0}\right)$. Again, one obtains that $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{0}: C^{\infty}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ and $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{1}: \operatorname{Vect}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ are equivariant differential operators. Thus, one has: $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{0}=0, \mathcal{I}_{1}^{1}\left(a_{1}\right)=$ const $\cdot a_{1}$. Put: $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{1}\left(a_{1}\right)=c_{2} \cdot a_{1}$. The operator $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{2}: S^{2}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Vect}(M)$ verifies the following relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\mathcal{I}_{1}^{2}, \operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}\right]\left(a_{2}\right)=\left[-\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{l}+2 \lambda\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right) a_{2}^{i l} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} \xi^{k}\right] \partial_{l} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the right-hand side of this equation contains only second order derivatives of $\xi^{j}$, one necessarily obtains that $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{2}$ is a first order differential operator,
namely $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)=\alpha_{i j}^{s l} \partial_{s} a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{l}$. It follows easily from (13) that $\alpha_{i j}^{s l}=$ const $\cdot \delta_{j}^{s} \delta_{i}^{l}$. Finally, $\mathcal{I}_{1}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)^{l}=c_{3} \partial_{j} a_{2}^{l j}$.
c) In the same way, for the last term $\mathcal{I}_{0}(A)=\mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)+\mathcal{I}_{0}^{1}\left(a_{1}\right)+\mathcal{I}_{0}^{0}\left(a_{0}\right)$, one gets: $\mathcal{I}_{0}^{0}\left(a_{0}\right)=$ const $\cdot a_{0}, \mathcal{I}_{0}^{1}\left(a_{1}\right)=$ const $\cdot \partial_{k} a_{1}^{k}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{0}^{2}\left(a_{2}\right)=$ const $\cdot \partial_{i} \partial_{j} a_{2}^{i j}$. Lemma 7 is proven.

To finish the proof of Proposition 4, substitute the expression (12) for $\mathcal{I}$ into the equation $\left[\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}, \mathcal{I}\right]$. The result reads:

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
{\left[\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}, \mathcal{I}\right](A)_{2}^{i j}=} & 0 \\
{\left[\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}, \mathcal{I}\right](A)_{1}^{l}=} & \left(c_{2}+c_{3}-c_{1}\right) a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{l} \\
& +\left(c_{3}+2 \lambda\left(c_{1}-c_{2}\right)\right) a_{2}^{i 2} \partial_{i} \partial_{k} \xi^{k} \\
{\left[\operatorname{ad} L_{\xi}, \mathcal{I}\right](A)_{0}=} & \left(c_{5}-\lambda\left(c_{2}-c_{6}\right)\right) a_{1}^{r} \partial_{r} \partial_{k} \xi^{k} \\
& +\left(2 c_{4}+(1+2 \lambda) c_{5}+\lambda\left(c_{6}+c_{1}\right)\right) a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \partial_{k} \xi^{k} \\
& +\left(2 c_{4}+2 \lambda c_{5}-\lambda c_{3}\right) \partial_{i}\left(a_{2}^{i r}\right) \partial_{r} \partial_{k} \xi^{k} \\
& +\left(c_{4}+c_{5}\right) \partial_{r}\left(a_{2}^{i j}\right) \partial_{i} \partial_{j} \xi^{r}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

This expression must vanish for any $\xi$ and $A$, yielding the following conditions for the constants $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{6}$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c_{2}+c_{3}-c_{1}=0 \\
c_{3}-2 \lambda\left(c_{2}-c_{1}\right)=0 \\
c_{5}+\lambda\left(c_{2}-c_{6}\right)=0 \\
2 c_{4}+(1-2 \lambda) c_{5}-\lambda\left(c_{6}-c_{1}\right)=0 \\
2 c_{4}-2 \lambda c_{5}+\lambda c_{3}=0 \\
c_{4}+c_{5}=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

If $\lambda \neq 0,-\frac{1}{2},-1$, then this system has the following solution: $c_{1}=c_{2}=c_{6}$, $c_{3}=c_{4}=c_{5}=0$. Thus, in this case the modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ have no nontrivial automorphisms.

If $\lambda=0$, then the solution is given by: $c_{1}=c_{2}, c_{3}=c_{4}=c_{5}=0$ and $c_{6}$ is a free parameter. One obtains the formula (6).

If $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$, then the solution is given by: $c_{1}=c_{6}, c_{3}=2 c_{4}, c_{2}+c_{3}=c_{6}$ and $c_{4}+c_{5}=0$. This corresponds to the formula (7) for the automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$.

Proposition 4 and Theorem 2 are proven.
B. $\operatorname{dim} M=1$.

In the one-dimensional case, for each $\lambda \neq 0,-1$, there exists a twoparameter family of automorphisms of $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$. For each value $\lambda=0,-1$ there exists a three-parameter family. These facts follow from the normal form (10) of the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-action.

## 6 Discussion

### 6.1 A few ideas around quantization

May be, the most interesting corollary of Theorem 1 is the existence of two exceptional modules of second order differential operators: $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$. Recall that there is no nontrivial equivariant linear mapping $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2}$.

However, these modules are of a great interest, e.g. in geometric quantization. So, to obtain such a mapping one needs an additional structure on $M$.

Given a linear connection $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ on $M$, it is possible to define a $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$ equivariant linear mapping:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\Gamma}: \mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{2} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us give here the complete list of such mappings which are polynomial in $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ and its partial derivatives.
Theorem. Let $A=a_{2}^{i j} \partial_{i} \partial_{j}+a_{1}^{i} \partial_{i}+a_{0} \in \mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}$. All Vect( $M$ )-equivariant linear mappings (14) polynomially depending on $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ and its partial derivatives are given by:

$$
\left\{\begin{align*}
\tilde{a}_{2}^{i j}= & a_{2}^{i j}  \tag{15}\\
\tilde{a}_{1}^{i}= & \partial_{k} a_{2}^{i k}+c_{1} \nabla_{k} a_{2}^{i k}+c_{2}\left(a_{1}^{i}+a_{2}^{j k} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}\right) \\
\tilde{a}_{0}= & -\frac{1}{4}\left(2 \partial_{i}\left(a_{2}^{i j} \Gamma_{j k}^{k}\right)+a_{2}^{i j} \Gamma_{i k}^{k} \Gamma_{j l}^{l}\right) \\
& +c_{3} \nabla_{i} \nabla_{j} a_{2}^{i j}+c_{4} \nabla_{i}\left(a_{1}^{i}+a_{2}^{j k} \Gamma_{j k}^{i}\right)+c_{5} a_{2}^{i j} R_{i j}+c_{6} a_{0}
\end{align*}\right.
$$

where the parameters $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{6}$ are arbitrary constants and $R_{i j}$ is the Ricci tensor.

Example. When the connection is given by a Riemannian metric $g_{i j}$ on $M$, consider the Laplace operator $\Delta=g^{i j}\left(\partial_{i} \partial_{j}-\Gamma_{i j}^{k} \partial_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{F}_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ be a $\frac{1}{2}$-density. One can write locally $\psi=f \cdot(\operatorname{det} g)^{\frac{1}{4}}$. The result of the mapping (15) is:

$$
\tilde{\Delta}\left(f \cdot(\operatorname{det} g)^{\frac{1}{4}}\right)=\left(\Delta f+c_{5} R f\right)(\operatorname{det} g)^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

where $R$ is the scalar curvature.
The proof of the theorem and the properties of the mapping (15) will be discussed elsewhere.

Remark. This result is consistent with the various quantizations of the geodesic flow on Riemannian manifolds: $-(\Delta+c R)$ where the constant $c$ is actually determined by the chosen quantization procedure. For example, the infinitesimal Blattner-Kostant-Sternberg pairing of real polarizations leads to $c=-\frac{1}{6}[15,17]$. In the case of $n$-dimensional spheres, the pairing of complex polarizations gives $c=-\frac{n-1}{4 n}$ [13] while Weyl quantization and quantum reduction would lead to $c=\frac{n+1}{4 n}$ (see [3] for a survey).

Remark. So far, we have only considered linear connections; it would be interesting to find a similar construction in terms of projective connections. For example, in the one-dimensional case there exists a natural mapping from $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2}$ to $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ using a projective connection. Fixing a Sturm-Liouville operator $\partial^{2}+u(x)$ is equivalent to fixing a projective connection. The mapping $\mathcal{L}_{u}$ : $\mathcal{D}_{0}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{u}\left(a_{2} \partial^{2}+a_{1} \partial+a_{0}\right)= & a_{2} \partial^{2}+\left(a_{1}-\lambda a_{2}^{\prime}\right) \partial+c a_{0}+\lambda a_{1}^{\prime} \\
& +\frac{1}{3} \lambda(\lambda+1)\left[a_{2}^{\prime \prime}-4 u a_{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

is equivariant for any $c=$ const. Indeed, the potential $u$ transforms via the Lie derivative as follows: $L_{\xi} u=\xi u^{\prime}+2 \xi^{\prime} u+\frac{1}{2} \xi^{\prime \prime \prime}$ (see [2]). This construction with $\lambda=\frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2}, 2, \ldots$ is related to the Gelfand-Dickey bracket (see [12]).

### 6.2 Higher order operators

The study of the modules of differential operators leads to first cohomology groups

$$
H^{1}\left(\operatorname{Vect}(M) ; \operatorname{Hom}\left(S^{k}(M), S^{m}(M)\right)\right)
$$

where $S^{k}(M)$ is the space of $k$-contravariant symmetric tensors on $M$. Calculation of these cohomology groups seems to be a very interesting open problem. In the one-dimensional case this problem is solved by Feigin and Fuks in [4] for the Lie algebra of formal vector fields.

We have almost no information about $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures on the space of higher order linear differential operators. Let us formulate the main problem:

Is it true that two spaces of $n$-th order differential operators on tensordensities are naturally isomorphic for any values of degree, except for a finite set of critical values ${ }^{1}$ ?

A positive answer would mean that there exist higher order analogues of the Lie derivative. A negative answer would mean that second order differential operators play a special role.

The only information that we have corresponds to the case of third order differential operators on a one-dimensional manifold.
Proposition. If $\operatorname{dim} M=1$, then the $\operatorname{Vect}(M)$-module structures $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{3}$ on the space of differential operators

$$
a_{3} \partial^{3}+a_{2} \partial^{2}+a_{1} \partial+a_{0}
$$

are isomorphic to each other if $\lambda \neq 0,-1,-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{21}}{6}$.
Notice that the value $\lambda=-\frac{1}{2}$, absent in the case of second order differential operators on a one-dimensional manifold, is present here.

Let us finish by two simple remarks:

1) In a particular case $\lambda+\mu=1$, the modules $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{n}$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\mu}^{n}$ are isomorphic for any $n$. The isomorphism is given by the conjugation.
2) The module $\mathcal{D}_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{n}$ is not isomorphic to any module $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda}^{n}$ with $\lambda \neq-\frac{1}{2}$.
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