

RENDICONTI *del* SEMINARIO MATEMATICO *della* UNIVERSITÀ DI PADOVA

H. AL-EZEH

Topological characterization of certain classes of lattices

Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova,
tome 83 (1990), p. 13-18

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=RSMUP_1990__83__13_0

© Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova, 1990, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives de la revue « Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova » (<http://rendiconti.math.unipd.it/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

*Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques*
<http://www.numdam.org/>

Topological Characterization of Certain Classes of Lattices.

H. AL-EZEH

ABSTRACT - Let L be a distributive lattice with 0 and 1, and let $\text{Spec } L$ be the set of all proper prime ideals of L . $\text{Spec } L$ can be endowed with two topologies, the spectral topology and D -topology. In this paper, it is proved that there is a bijection from the set of all σ -ideals of L to the set of all D -open subsets of $\text{Spec } L$. Let $\text{Max } L$ and $\text{Min } L$ be the sets of maximal ideals and minimal prime ideals of L respectively. We prove that the two topologies coincide on $\text{Spec } L$, $\text{Max } L$, and $\text{Min } L$ if and only if L is a boolean, stonian, and normal lattice respectively.

Throughout this paper a lattice means a distributive lattice with 0 and 1. Cornish [3] introduced σ -ideals in lattices and proved a lot of their basic properties. For any lattice L , let $\text{Spec } L$ denotes the set of all proper prime ideals of L . This set can be given the hull-kernal topology or what is called also the spectral topology, where open sets are of the form $D(I) = \{P \in \text{Spec } L: I \not\subseteq P\}$, for details, see Brezuleanu and Diaconescu [1] and Johnstone [6]. Exactly, as in the case of commutative rings with unity, we can define another topology on $\text{Spec } L$ called the D -topology. In the case of commutative rings with unity, see Lazard [7] and DeMarco [4]. A subset X of $\text{Spec } L$ is called S -stable if for any $P, Q \in \text{Spec } L$, whenever $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \in X$,

(*) Indirizzo dell'A.: Department of Mathematics, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.

$Q \in \mathcal{X}$. Trivially, the set of all spectrally open \mathcal{S} -stable subsets of $\text{Spec } L$ defines a topology on $\text{Spec } L$ called the D -topology. In fact, it is a subtopology of the spectral topology on $\text{Spec } L$, and open sets in the D -topology will be called D -open. For any $x \in L$, we denote by $x^* = \{y \in L: x \wedge y = 0\}$. Recall that an ideal I of L is called a σ -ideal if for all $x \in L$, $I \vee x^* = L$, i.e. $\exists x_1 \in I$ and $y \in x^*$ such that $1 = x_1 \vee y$. For more details about σ -ideals, see Cornish [3] and Georgescu and Voiculescu [5]. In this paper, our aim is to study the relationship between σ -ideals in a lattice L and D -open subsets of $\text{Spec } L$. Then we characterize those lattices for which the two topologies coincide on $\text{Spec } L$, $\text{Max } L$ and $\text{Min } L$, where $\text{Max } L$ and $\text{Min } L$ are the spaces of maximal ideals and minimal prime ideals of L respectively.

LEMMA 1. A spectrally open set $D(I)$ is \mathcal{S} -stable if and only if I is a σ -ideal of the lattice L .

PROOF. Assume I is a σ -ideal of L . Let $P, Q \in \text{Spec } L$ such that $P \subseteq Q$ and $P \in D(I)$. So $I \not\subseteq P$. Hence there exists $x \in I$ and $x \notin P$. Since I is a σ -ideal, there exist $x_1 \in I$ and $y \in x^*$ such that $1 = x_1 \vee y$. Therefore $y \in P \subseteq Q$. Because $1 = x_1 \vee y$, $x_1 \notin Q$. Consequently, $I \not\subseteq Q$, i.e. $Q \in D(I)$.

Conversely, assume that $D(I)$ is a spectrally open \mathcal{S} -stable set. We proceed by contradiction, so assume I is not a σ -ideal. Thus there exists $x \in I$ such that $x^* \vee I \neq L$. Using Zorn's lemma, there exists a maximal ideal M of L such that $x^* \vee I \subseteq M$. Let $\mathfrak{s} = \{J: J \text{ is an ideal of } L \text{ such that } x \notin J, J \subseteq M\}$. This set contains the ideal $\{0\}$. By Zorn's lemma, there exists a prime ideal P of L in \mathfrak{s} . This is a maximal element in \mathcal{S} , see Simmons [8]. Since $x \in I$, $P \in D(I)$. Thus $D(I)$ is not \mathcal{S} -stable, which contradicts the assumption. Hence I is a σ -ideal of L .

Recall that an element $x \in L$ is called a complemented element in L if there exists $y \in L$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee y = 1$, y is called a complement for x . It is well known that if x has a complement in a distributive lattice then the complement is unique. We will denote the complement of x in L , if it exists, by x' .

Brezuleanu and Diaconescu [1] proved that any ideal I is the intersection of all prime ideals of L that contain I . So, $D(I) = D(J)$ if and only if $I = J$. So, we get the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. Let L be a lattice. The mapping $I \mapsto D(I)$ is a bijection from the set of all σ -ideals of L to the set of all D -open subsets of $\text{Spec } L$.

Now we characterize those lattices for which the spectral topology and the D -topology coincide on $\text{Spec } L$. First, we give the following easy lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let L be a lattice. Then $D(I)$ is spectrally clopen (i.e. open and closed) if and only if $D(I) = D(x)$ for some complemented elements x in L .

PROOF. Since $D(I) \cap D(J) = D(I \cap J)$ and $D(I) \cup D(J) = D(I \vee J)$, we get $D(I) \cap D(J) = \emptyset$ and $D(I) \vee D(J) = L$ if and only if $I \cap J = \{0\}$ and $I \vee J = L$. Now, $I \vee J = L$ if and only if $1 = x \vee y$ for some $x \in I$ and $y \in J$. Since $x \wedge y \in I \cap J$, $x \wedge y = 0$. Thus x is a complemented element in L . Moreover, because L is distributive for every $z \in I$, $z = z \wedge (x \vee y) = z \wedge x$ since $z \wedge y = 0$. Thus I is a principal ideal generated by x .

The converse is trivial.

THEOREM 4. Let L be a lattice. Then the spectral topology and D -topology coincide on $\text{Spec } L$ if and only if L is a boolean lattice (a lattice every element of which has a complement).

PROOF. Assume that the two topologies coincide. Let $x \in L$. Then (Dx) is D -open. So, by lemma 1, the ideal generated by x , $(x]$, is a σ -ideal. Hence $(x] \vee x^* = L$, i.e. $\exists x_1 \in (x]$ and $y \in x^*$ such that $x_1 \vee y = 1$. Hence $x \vee y = 1$ and $x \wedge y = 0$. So x is a complemented element. Therefore L is a boolean lattice.

Conversely, assume L is boolean. Let I be an ideal of L , and $x \in I$. Then there exists $y \in L$ such that $x \wedge y = 0$ and $x \vee y = 1$, i.e. $I \vee x^* = L$. So I is a σ -ideal of L .

Let $\text{Min } L$ be the set of all minimal prime ideals of the lattice L . In the following theorem we characterize the lattices L for which the spectral topology and the D -topology coincide on $\text{Min } L$. Recall that a lattice L is called stonian if for every $x \in L$, x^* is generated by a complemented element in L . Stonian lattices were discussed extensively in literature and infact they form an interesting class of lattices.

THEOREM 5. A lattice L is stonian if and only if the spectral topology and the D -topology coincide on $\text{Min } L$.

PROOF. Assume that L is a stonian lattices. Let $x \in L$, and $D_M(x) = D(x) \cap \text{Min } L$. Since L is stonian, there exists a complemented element y in L such that $x^* = [y]$, the ideal generated by y . Now, we claim that $D_M(y')$, where y' is the complement of y .

Let $P \in D_M(x)$, then $x \notin P$. Because $x \wedge y = 0$, $y \in P$. Thus $y' \notin P$, i.e. $P \in D_M(y')$. For the other way around, let $P \in D_M(y')$, then $y' \notin P$, and so $y \in P$. Thus $x^* \subseteq P$. Since P is a minimal prime ideal of L , $x \notin P$, see Speed [9], i.e. $P \in D_M(x)$. Clearly, $D(y')$ is a D -open set. Hence $D_M(x)$ is a D -open set in $\text{Min } L$. But $D_M(I) = \bigcup_{x \in I} D_M(x)$, so $D_M(I)$ is a D -open set in $\text{Min } L$.

Conversely, assume that the two topologies coincide on $\text{Min } L$. If $x \in L$, then $D_M(x)$ is a D -open set in L . So by lemma 1, there exists a σ -ideal I of L such that $D_M(x) = D_M(I)$. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} V(x^*) &= \{P \in \text{Spec } L : x^* \subseteq P\} \\ &= \{P \in \text{Spec } L : \exists Q \in \text{Min } L \in x \notin Q \text{ and } Q \subseteq P\}, \end{aligned}$$

see Simmons [8].

Because $D(I)$ is \mathcal{S} -stable and $D_M(x) = D_M(I)$

$$D(I) = \{P \in \text{Spec } L : \exists Q \in \text{Min } L \in x \notin Q, \text{ and } Q \subseteq P\}.$$

Thus $V(x^*)$ is open. Since $V(x^*) = \text{Spec } L - D(x)$, $V(x^*)$ is clopen. Thus $V(x^*)$ is a clopen set in the spectral topology on L . So there exists a complemented element b in L such that $x^* = [b]$, the ideal generated by b in L .

A lattice is called normal if every prime ideal in L is contained in a unique maximal one. For more details about normal lattices, set Johnstone [6] and Simmons [8]. It should be noted that normality of the lattice in the sense of Cornish [2] is the dual concept of our normality and vice versa. Finally, we prove a theorem characterizing lattices for which the spectral topology and the D -topology coincide on $\text{Max } L$.

THEOREM 6. For a lattice L , the spectral topology and the D -topology coincide on $\text{Max } L$ if and only if L is a normal lattice.

PROOF. Assume that L is a normal lattice, and let $x \in L$. For each prime ideal P of L , denote by M_P the unique maximal ideal of L containing P . For each open set $D(I)$ in the spectral topology, let $D^M(I) = D(I) \cap \text{Max } L$. Consider $D^M(x)$, and let

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{P \in \text{Spec } L: M_P \in D^M(x)\} \\ &= \{P \in \text{Spec } L: x \notin M_P\}. \end{aligned}$$

To show that $D^M(x)$ is a D -open set in $\text{Max } L$, assume $P_1 \subset P_2$, $P_1 \in V$, and $P_2 \in \text{Spec } L$. So $P_2 \subset M_{P_1}$ because L is a normal lattice. Thus $M_{P_2} = M_{P_1}$. Therefore $M_{P_2} \in D^M(x)$, i.e. $P_2 \in V$. Consequently, V is an S -stable set. Because $V \cap \text{Max } L = D^M(x)$, $D^M(x)$ is a D -open set in $\text{Max } L$, and thus every spectrally open set in $\text{Max } L$ is D -open. So the two topologies coincide on $\text{Max } L$.

Conversely, assume that the two topologies coincide on $\text{Max } L$. Let P be a prime ideal that is contained in two dist maximal ideals of L , say M_1 and M_2 . Thus there exists $a \in M_1$ and $a \notin M_2$, i.e. $M_1 \notin D^M(a)$ and $M_2 \in D^M(a)$. Because $D^M(a)$ is D -open in $\text{Max } L$, there exists a D -open subset of $\text{Spec } L$ such that $D^M(a) - D^M(I)$. Since $M_2 \in D^M(a)$, $M_2 \in D^M(I)$ and so $I \not\subset M_2$ is S -stable and $P \subset M_1$, $M_1 \in D(I)$. Thus $M_1 \in D^M(a)$, which contradicts the fact that $a \in M_1$. Therefore L is a normal lattice.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. BREZULEANU - R. DIACONESCU, *Sur la duale de la catégorie des treillis*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **14** (1969), pp. 311-323.
- [2] W. CORNISH, *Normal lattices*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **14** (1972), pp. 200-215.
- [3] W. CORNISH, *0-ideals, con⁺rnuences and sheaf representation of lattices*, -Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., **23** (1978), pp. 17-22.
- [4] G. DE MARCO, *Projectivity of pure ideals*, Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova, **68** (1983), pp. 289-304.

- [5] G. GEORGESCU - I. VOICULESCU, *Isomorphic sheaf representations of normal lattices*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, **48** (1987), pp. 213-223.
- [6] P. JOHNSTONE, *Stone Spaces*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [7] D. LAZARD, *Disconnexités des spectres d'anneaux et des preschémas*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **95** (1967), pp. 95-108.
- [8] H. SIMMONS, *Reticulated rings*, J. Algebra, **66** (1980), pp. 169-192.
- [9] T. SPEED, *Some remarks on a class of distributive lattices*, J. Austral. Math. Soc., **9** (1969), 289-296.

Manoscritto pervenuto in redazione l'8 agosto 1988.