# Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle École Polytechnique

## CH. STEGALL

#### A result of Haydon and its applications

*Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique)* (1975-1976), exp. nº 2, p. 1-7 <a href="http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF\_1975-1976\_\_\_\_A2\_0">http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF\_1975-1976\_\_\_\_A2\_0</a>

© Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz (École Polytechnique), 1975-1976, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

#### CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

PLATEAU DE PALAISEAU - 91120 PALAISEAU Téléphone : 941.81.60 - Poste N° Télex : ECOLEX 69 15 96 F

# SEMINAIRE MAUREY-SCHWARTZ 1975-1976

#### A\_RESULT OF HAYDON AND

## ITS APPLICATIONS.

by Ch. STEGALL (Bonn)

We begin by recalling the following theorem of Rosenthal and 0'dell [5] :

Theorem : Let X be a separable Banach space. Then X does not contain a subspace isomorphic to  $\ell_1$  (written  $\ell_1 \not \in X$ ) if and only if every element of  $X^{**}$  is the weak \* ( $\sigma(X^{**}, X^{*})$ ) limit of a sequence in X.

If  $X = c_0(\overline{r})$ ,  $\overline{r}$  uncountable, then  $X \neq \ell_1$  but not every element of  $X^{**}$  is a weak sequential limit of elements of X.

Below we shall give a non-separable version of the above theorem, due to Haydon [3], which requires only the following lemma :

Lemma (Rosenthal [6]) : Let X be a Banach space. Then  $X \supseteq \ell_1$  if and only if there exist a bounded non-empty subset S of  $X^*$ ,  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ , r real number,  $\delta > 0$  such that for any weak open subset U of X<sup>\*</sup>, U  $\cap$  S  $\neq \emptyset$ , we have

$$\sup_{x^{*} \in \tilde{c}^{*}(U \cap S)} x^{**}(x^{*}) < r < r + \delta < \sup_{x^{*} \in \tilde{c}^{*}(U \cap S)} x^{**}(x^{*})$$

(If M is a subset of  $X^*$ ,  $\bar{c}^*(M)$  is the weak closed convex hull of M.)

By K we denote the unit ball of  $X^*$  in the weak \* topology. A measure on K is always a complete, regular, Borel measure.

Theorem (Haydon [3]) : Let X be a Banach space. Then the following are equivalent

(i)  $X \neq l_1$ ; (ii) every  $x^* \in X^{**}$  is universally measurable as a function on K; (iii) for every  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ , every measure  $\mu$  on K there exists a sequence  $\left\{\mathbf{x}_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathbf{X}, \quad \left\|\mathbf{x}_{n}\right\| \leq \left\|\mathbf{x}^{**}\right\| \text{ for all } n, \text{ and } \mathbf{x}_{n} \to \mathbf{x}^{**} \text{ } \mu\text{-a.e. on } \mathbf{K} \text{ ;}$ 

(iv) every  $x \in X^{**}$  is universally measurable as a function on K and for every measure on K,

$$\int_{K} x^{**}(x^{*}) d\mu(x^{*}) = x^{**}(r\mu)$$

where  $r\mu$  is the unique element of  $X^*$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbf{K}} \mathbf{x}^{*}(\mathbf{x}) d\mu(\mathbf{x}^{*}) = (\mathbf{r}\mu)(\mathbf{x}) \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{X} ;$$

(v) for every  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ , every measure  $\mu$  on K, every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $K_{o} \subseteq K$ ,  $K_{o}$  weak<sup>\*</sup> compact and convex such that  $x^{**}$  is continuous on  $K_{o}$  and  $|\mu|(K_{o}) > (1-\varepsilon) ||\mu||$ .

 $\begin{array}{lll} \underline{\operatorname{Proof}} & : & (v) \Rightarrow (\text{iii}). \text{ Let } \mu \text{ be a measure on } K \text{ (which we assume to be a} \\ \\ & \operatorname{probability measure throughout the proof}). \text{ Choose } K_n \subseteq K, K_n \text{ compact, convex,} \\ & \mu(K_n) \to 1, \text{ and } x^{**} \text{ is continuous on each } K_n \text{ . Let } R_n : X \to C(K_n) \text{ be the canon-} \\ & \operatorname{ical operator} \text{ ; that is, } R_n(x)(x^*) = x^*(x) \text{ for } x^* \in K_n \text{ . Then we have} \\ & R_n^{**}(x^{**}) \in C(K_o), \text{ or,} R_n^{**}(x^{**}) \text{ is in the weak closure of } \{R_nx: \|x\| \leq \|x^{**}\|\} \\ & \text{which is a convex set. Thus } R_n^{**}(x^{**}) \text{ is in the norm closure of this set,} \\ & \text{so for any } \varepsilon_n > 0 \text{ there exists } x_n \in X, \ \|x_n\| \leq \|x^{**}\| \text{ and } \|x^*(x_n) - x^{**}(x^*)\| \leq \varepsilon_n \\ & \text{for all } x^* \in K_n \text{ . If } \varepsilon_n \to 0 \text{ then } x_n \to x^{**} \mu \text{ -a.e. on } K. \end{array}$ 

 $(v) \Rightarrow (iv). \text{ There exist } K_n, \ \mu(K_n) \rightarrow 1, \text{ such that } x^{**} \text{ is continuous } on \ K_n. \text{ Since } x^{**} \text{ is continuous on } K_n, \ x^{**}(r\mu_n) = \int_K x^{**}(x^*) \ d\mu_n(x^*) \text{ where } \mu_n = \mu(K_n)^{-1} \ \chi_{K_n} \cdot \mu \ . \text{ (Note that } r\mu_n \in K_n \cdot \text{) Since } r\mu_n \rightarrow r\mu \text{ in norm and clearly }$ 

$$\int_{K} x^{**}(x^{*}) d\mu_{n}(x^{*}) \rightarrow \int_{K} x^{**}(x^{*}) d\mu(x^{*}) ,$$

we have that that  $x^{**}(r\mu) = \int_{K} x^{**}(x^{*}) d\mu(x^{*})$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) and (iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) are trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If  $X \supseteq \ell_1$  it is an old argument of Sierpinski that any weak<sup>\*</sup> cluster point of a sequence equivalent to the usual basis of  $\ell_1$ is not measurable for an appropriately chosen measure  $\mu$  on K. (See [3].)

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (v). For  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  and r a real number we shall denote by  $\{x^{**} > r\}$  the set  $\{x^* \in K : x^{**}(x^*) > r\}$ . Let  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$ ,  $\mu$  a measure on K (again, assumed to be a probability measure). Let S be the support of  $\mu$ , and r,  $\delta_n$  real numbers with  $\delta_n > 0$ . Note that

 $\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{K} = \{ \mathbf{K}' : \mathbf{K}' \text{ compact, convex, } \mathbf{K}' \subseteq \mathbf{K}, \\ &\mathbf{K}' \subseteq \{ \mathbf{x}^{**} > \mathbf{r} \} \} \end{aligned}$ 

is a directed set. (The convex hull of a finite union of elements of X is an

element of K.) Choose a subset  $K'_n$  of  $\{x^{**} > r\}$  which is the union of an increasing sequence of compact convex subsets of  $\{x^{**} > r\}$  such that for any convex, compact subset L of  $\{x^{**} > r\}$ ,  $\mu(L\setminus K'_n) = 0$ . Similarly, choose  $K'_n \subseteq \{x^{**} < r+\delta_n\}$ . We shall show that  $\mu(K'_n \cup K''_n) = 1$ . Suppose  $\mu[S \setminus (K'_n \cup K''_n)] < 1$ . Choose  $S' \subseteq S \setminus K'_n \cup K''_n$ , S' compact, and for every V weak<sup>\*\*</sup> open,  $V \cap S' \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\bar{c}(V \cap S') > 0$ . By Rosenthal's Lemma there exists Vopen,  $V \cap S' \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\bar{c}(V \cap S')$  is a subset  $\{x^{**} > r\}$  or  $\{x^{**} < r+\delta\}$ . Thus,  $\mu[\bar{c}(V \cap S') \setminus (K'_n \cup K''_n)] = 0$ . Contradiction. Choose  $\delta_n$  decreasing to 0. Define  $L = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K'_n$  and  $M = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup K''_1$ . Then  $L \subseteq \{x^{**} > r\}$  and  $M \subseteq \{x^{**} \le r\}$  and  $\mu(L \cup M) = 1$ . This proves the following : for  $\eta > 0$ , there exists a compact, convex  $C \subseteq \{x^{**} > r\}$  such that  $\mu[\{x^{**} > r\} \setminus C] < \eta$ . Repeating the argument above for  $-x^{**}$  we obtain that for every  $\eta > 0$ , every r, s, r < s, every  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  there exists  $C \subseteq \{r \le x^{**} < s\}$ , C compact, convex, and  $\mu[\{r \le x^{**} < s\} \setminus C] < \eta$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_n < \varepsilon_n < 0$ .

and positive integers K(n) such that  $K(n)\varepsilon_n > ||x^{**}||$ . By the above there exist compact, convex  $C_{n,m}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, ..., -K(n) \le m \le K(n)$  such that

$$C_{n,m} \subseteq \{m \varepsilon_n \le x^{**} < (m+1) \varepsilon_n\}$$
$$\mu(\bigcup_m C_{n,m}) > 1 - \varepsilon_n \quad .$$

and

Let  $C_n = c(\bigcup_m C_{n,m}) =$  the convex hull of  $\bigcup_m C_{n,m}$  which is compact. Let  $C = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} C_{n=1}$ Then  $\mu(C) > 1-\varepsilon$  and it is a routine computation to show that  $x^{**}$  is continuous on C.

<u>Corollary</u> (Haydon [3]) : Suppose  $X \neq \ell_1$  and M is a weak<sup>\*</sup> compact subset of K. Then  $\overline{c}^*(M) = \overline{c(M)}$  (closure of the convex hull of M in the norm topology).

<u>Proof</u>: Let M be a weak<sup>\*</sup> compact subset of K. Then  $\overline{c}^*(M) = \{r\mu : \mu \text{ is a probability measure on K such that <math>\mu(K \setminus M) = 0\}$ . Suppose  $x^{**} \in X^{**}$  and  $M \subseteq \{x^{**} \leq r\}$ . By part (iv) of the theorem

$$x^{**}(r\mu) = \int_{h}^{**}(x^{*}) d\mu(x^{*}) \leq r$$

if  $\mu$  is a probability measure and  $\mu(K, M) = 0$ . Therefore,  $\overline{c}^*(M) \subseteq \{x^{**} \leq r\}$ . Invoking the Hahn-Banach theorem proves  $\overline{c}^*(M) = \overline{c(M)}$ .

#### **II.4**

#### APPLICATIONS

The following appears to be a well known folk theorem. D.R. Lewis showed the author a proof several years ago. The following proof may be the same.

<u>Lemma</u> : Let M be a compact Hausdorff space and  $\mu$  a probability measure on M. Let Y be a weakly compactly generated (wcg) Banach space and  $\tau: M \rightarrow Y$ a scalarly measurable, bounded function. Then there is a  $M_0 \subseteq M$ ,  $M_0$  measurable,  $\mu(M_0) = 1$ , and  $\tau(M_0)$  is norm separable.

<u>Proof</u> : Since Y is wcg (cf. [1]) for each separable subspace  $Y_o$  of Y and each separable subspace  $Z_o$  of Y<sup>\*</sup> there exists a projection P: Y o Y with P(Y) separable,  $Y_o \subseteq P(Y)$ ,  $Z_o \subseteq P^*(Y^*)$ . If  $\tau: M \to Y$  is scalarly measurable and  $\|\tau(m)\| \le 1$  a.e. then for any projection P: Y o Y with P(Y) separable, P  $\circ \tau: M \to Y$  is separably valued and scalarly measurable ; hence P  $\circ \tau$  is strongly measurable [2].

By Lusin's theorem there exists a  $M_o \subseteq M$  such that  $P \circ \tau$  is continuous on  $M_o$ ; that is  $P(\tau(M_o))$  is compact. Then the set of functions  $\{y^*: P^*y^* = y^* ||y^*|| \le 1\}$  is equicontinuous on  $\tau(M_o)$  because  $y^*(P\tau(k)) = (P^*y^*)(\tau(k)) = y^*(\tau(k))$  for  $k \in M_o$ .

 $\begin{array}{ll} \underline{\text{Claim 1}} & : & \{y^{*}: \left\|y^{*}\right\| \leq 1\} \text{ is a relatively compact set in the } L_{1}(M,\mu) \text{ norm.} \\ \text{Suppose there exists } & \{y^{*}_{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, \left\|y^{*}_{i}\right\| \leq 1 \text{ and} \\ & \int_{M} \left\|y^{*}_{i}(\tau(m)) - y^{*}_{j}(\tau(m))\right| \, d\mu(m) > \eta > 0 \quad \text{for all } i,j, i \neq j. \text{ Let } P \text{ be a projection} \\ \text{ in } X \text{ with } P(X) \text{ separable and } P^{*}y^{*}_{i} = y^{*}_{i}. \text{ There exists } M_{0} \subseteq M, \ \mu(M_{0}) > 1 - \frac{\eta}{4(1+\eta)}, \\ \text{ and } & \{y^{*}_{i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{ is an equicontinuous family on } \tau(M_{0}). \text{ That is, there exists} \\ & M_{0} \subseteq M \text{ such that } \left\|y^{*}_{j}(\tau(m)) - y^{*}_{i}(\tau(m))\right\| \leq \frac{\eta}{4} \text{ for } m \in M_{0} \text{ and all } i,j, i \neq j \text{ ; there-fore} \end{array}$ 

$$\begin{split} & \int_{M} |y_{j}^{*}(\tau(m)) - y_{i}^{*}(\tau(m))| d\mu(m) \\ &= \int_{M_{O}} |y_{j}^{*}(\tau(m)) - y_{i}^{*}(\tau(m))| d\mu(m)_{+} \int_{M \setminus M_{O}} |(y_{j}^{*} - y_{i}^{*})(\tau(m))| d\mu(m) \\ &< \frac{\eta}{4} \mu(M_{O}) + 2 \mu(M \setminus M_{O}) < \eta \quad . \end{split}$$

Contradiction.

$$\int |y_{ij}^{*}(\tau(m)) - y^{*}(\tau(m))| d\mu(m) \rightarrow 0.$$

But there exists  $\{y_{i}^{*}\}$  that converges uniformly on  $M_{o}$  to a continuous function  $\varphi$ . Therefore  $\int_{M_{o}} |y^{*}\tau(m) - \varphi(m)| d\mu(m) = 0$ . Or  $y^{*}\tau = \varphi$  a.e. on  $M_{o}$ . Therefore  $\{y^{*}\circ\tau : ||y^{*}|| \le 1\}$  is equicontinuous on  $M_{o}$ . In particular,  $\tau(M_{o})$  is relatively compact. So  $\tau$  is essentially separably valued and, thus, is strongly measurable.

We state without proving, the following

<u>Lemma</u> :  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  an operator, then the following are equivalent :

(i) for any bounded set  $B \subseteq X$ , T(B) is dentable ;

(ii) for any probability space  $(S, \Sigma, v)$ , any operator  $S : L_1(S, \Sigma, v) \rightarrow X$ , TS is differentiable.

For convenience we shall call an operator satisfying (i) a <u>denting</u> operator.

Our principal application is the following :

<u>Theorem</u> : Let X, Y be Banach spaces,  $X \not\supseteq \ell_1$ , Y wcg. Then any operator  $T: X^* \rightarrow Y$  is a dentable operator.

<u>Proof</u> : Let K the unit ball of  $X^*$  with the weak topology. Let  $R: X \rightarrow C(K)$  be the canonical operator,  $R(x)(x^*) = x^*(x)$ . Let  $(S, \Sigma, \gamma)$  be a probability space and  $U: L_1(S, \Sigma, \gamma) \rightarrow X^*$  an operator. As is well known there exists  $\widetilde{U}: L_1(S, \Sigma, \gamma) \rightarrow C(K)^*$  such that  $R^*\widetilde{U} = U$  [4]. Let  $\mu$  be a measure on K and consider  $L_1(K,\mu)$  as a subspace of  $C(K)^*$ . The question as to whether TU is a denting operator is equivalent to whether  $TR^*: L_1(K,\mu) \rightarrow Y$  is denting. Considering T as a function from K into Y we have that T is scalarly measurable  $(X \neq \ell_1)$  and Y is wcg. So T is  $\mu$ -strongly measurable. Hence there exists

an operator  $V: L_1(K,\mu) \rightarrow Y$ , differentiable,  $Vf = \int_{k \in K} f(k) T(k) d\mu(k)$ . We shall show  $Vf = TR^*f$  for  $f \in L_1(K,\mu)$ . Choose  $y^* \in Y^*$ . Then  $\langle Vf, y^* \rangle$  $= \int f(k) y^*(T(k)) d\mu(k)$  and  $\langle TR^*f, y^* \rangle = \langle R^*f, T^*y^* \rangle = \int T^*y^*(k) f(k) d\mu(k)$  $= \int y^*(T(k)) f(k) d\mu(k)$ . Thus  $V = TR^*$  on  $L_1(K,\mu)$  so  $TR^*$  is differentiable. Therefore, T is a denting operator.

<u>Corollary 1</u> : Suppose  $X \not\geq \ell_1$  and  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is an absolutely summing operator. Then T is a 1-Radonifying.

<u>Proof</u> : If  $T: X \rightarrow Y$  is absolutely summing then there exist a compact Hausdorff space M, a probability measure  $\mu$  on K (K is the unit ball of  $X^*$ , as above), and operators I, R, V, J such that



is commutative, where R and J are canonical and I is an isometry.

Let  $U: X^* \to L_1(S, v)$  be any operator where  $L_1(S, v)$  is a finite measure space (hence wcg). Essentially, proving that T is 1-Radonifying is equivalent to proving  $T^{**}U^*$  is nuclear. Regarding  $L_1(K, \mu)$  as a subspace of  $C(K)^*$  we have that  $UR^*$  is differentiable and bounded. That is,  $U: K \to L_1(S, v)$ is  $\mu$ -strongly measurable,  $\int ||Uk|| d\mu(k) < +\infty$ , and  $UR^*f = \int_K f(k) U(k) d\mu(k)$  for all  $f \in L_1(K, \mu)$ . Since U(k) is an element of  $L_1(K, \mu)$  it is easy to check that h(k, s) = U(k)(s) defines a unique (equivalence class) function on  $K \times S$ and that  $\int_K \int_S |h(k, s)| d\mu(k) dv(s) < +\infty$ . Similarly it is easy to check that the function  $s \to h(., s)$  is a strongly measurable function from S to  $L_1(K, \mu)$ . Define  $\tau: S \to C(M)$  by  $\tau(s) = V(h(., s))$ ;  $\tau$  is strongly measurable and  $\int ||\tau(s)|| dv(s) < +\infty$ . We shall show  $\tau$  represents the operator  $I^{**}T^{**}U^*$  and  $\tau(s) \in I(Y)$   $\nu$ -a.e. Let  $\xi \in C(M)^*$  and  $\psi \in L_{\infty}(S, \nu)$ ; then  $<I^{**}T^{**}U^*\psi, \xi >$  $= <\psi, UT^*I^*\xi > = <\psi, UR^*JV^*\xi > = <\int_K (V^*\xi)(k) U(k) d\mu(k), \psi >$  $= \int_S \int_K (V^*\xi)(k) \psi(s) h(k, s) d\mu(k) dv(s) = \int_S \psi(s) < h(., s), V^*\xi > dv(s)$  $= \int_S \psi(s) < \tau(s), \xi > dv(s) = <\int \psi(s) \tau(s) dv(s), \xi > .$  Thus  $\tau$  represents  $I^{**}T^{**}U^*$ . Since T is weakly compact  $I^{**}T^{**} = IT^{**}$ ; that is  $T^{**}U^*\psi \in Y$  for all  $\psi \in L_{\infty}(S, \mathbf{v})$ Suppose  $z_0 \in C(M)$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $||z_0 - Iy|| > \delta$  for all  $y \in Y$ . Let  $E = \tau^{-1}\{z: ||z - z_0|| \le \delta\}$ . If  $\mathbf{v}(E) > 0$ , then  $IT^{**}U^*(\mathbf{v}(E)^{-1}\chi_E) \in \{z: ||z - z_0|| \le \delta\}$ which is a contradiction. Therefore  $\tau(s) \in I(Y)$   $\mathbf{v}$ -a.e. Thus  $I^{-1}\tau$  represents the operator  $T^{**}U^*$  or T is 1-Radonifying.

<u>Corollary 2</u> : Let X, Y, Z be Banach spaces ;  $X \neq \ell_1$ , Z wcg, and  $Y \subseteq X^*$ . If T :  $X^* \rightarrow Z$  is an operator that is an isomorphism on Y then Y has the Radon-Nikodym property (RNP).

There are other obvious variations on Corollary 2.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M.M. Day, Normed linear spaces, Heidelberg New York (1973).
- [2] A. Grothendieck, Produits tensoriels topologiques et espaces nucléaires, Memoirs AMS 16 (1955).
- [3] R. Haydon, Some more characterizations of Banach spaces containing  $l_1$ , to appear.
- [4] J. Lindenstrauss and H.P. Rosenthal, The <u>spaces</u>, Israel J. Math. 7 (1969), 325-349.
- [5] E. O'dell and H.P. Rosenthal, A double dual characterization of separable Banach spaces containing  $l_1$ , to appear.
- [6] H.P. Rosenthal, Point-wise compact subsets of the first Baire class, to appear.

\_\_\_\_