Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle École Polytechnique

S. J. SZAREK

Bases in the spaces C and L^1

Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (Polytechnique) (1979-1980), exp. nº 23, p. 1-8 http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SAF_1979-1980_____A20_0

© Séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle (École Polytechnique), 1979-1980, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire d'analyse fonctionnelle implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (http://www.numdam.org/conditions). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.



Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques http://www.numdam.org/

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

CENTRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES

91128 PALAISEAU CEDEX - FRANCE

Tél. : (1) 941.82.00 - Poste N° Télex : ECOLEX 691596 F

SEMINAIRE

D'ANALYSE FONCTIONNELLE

1979-1980

BASES IN THE SPACES C AND L¹

S.J. SZAREK

(Polish Academy of Sciences , Warsaw)

Exposé No XXIII

9 Mai 1980

This talk is devoted to certain properties of bases of C and L^1 , related to the following result of Olevskii (see [5], ch. I, § 2, Th. 2 and Th. 9, also [6]) :

 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Theorem 0}}{\text{C or L}^1} & : & \text{No uniformly bounded orthonormal system is a basis of} \\ \end{bmatrix}$

Some special cases of this theorem were discovered earlier. For example, by du Bois-Reymond theorem (the end of 19th century), the trigonometric system (e^{int})_{n\in Z} is not a basis of the space of 2π -periodic continuous functions on R. By Cohen's result on the Littlewood conjecture, $\inf_{k} t^{(t)}$ the same is true for any its permutation (e^k.

In the sequel C and L^p will always mean C(0,1) or $L^p(0,1)$ with respect to the Lebesgue measure λ . However, all the results are valid for infinitely many dimensional C(K) or $L^p(S)$ -spaces over finite measure (Theorems 5-8 hold even for abstract \mathfrak{L}^1 and \mathfrak{L}^∞ -spaces).

Olevskii's result rises a number of natural questions, which I list below as theorems (if the answers are known) or problems (if they are still open).

<u>Theorem 1</u> [3] : Let (φ_n) be an orthonormal system, which is a basis o of C. Then there exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\max_{1 \le k \le n} \| \varphi_k \|_{\infty} \ge \alpha \sqrt{n}$$

for all n. 🗆

On the other hand, for the orthonormal Haar system (χ_n) (it is a basis of $C(\Delta)$, Δ -the Cantor set) we have $\sqrt{n/2} \le ||\chi_n||_{\infty} \le \sqrt{n}$. Moreover, there exists an orthonormal basis of $C(\Delta)$ (ψ_n) (see [5], p. 22) such that $||\psi_n||_{\infty} \le \sqrt{n}$ for all n, but lim inf $||\psi_n||_{\infty} = 1$.

 $\begin{bmatrix} \underline{\text{Theorem 2}} & [3], & [4] & : & \text{There is no normalized, uniformly bounded basis} \\ \text{of } L^1 \cdot & \Box \end{bmatrix}$

Since every uniformly bounded orthonormal system remains uniformly bounded after normalization in L^1 , Th. 2 generalizes Th. 0. Theorems 1 and 2 suggest the following

<u>Problem 3</u>: Let (f_n) ba a normalized basis of L^1 . What is the smallest possible order of growth of $\|f_n\|_{\infty}$?

The conjecture is that it is O(n), the same as in the case of the Haar system (this time normalized in L^1).

It is easy to show (via change of measure) that Th. 2 implies the following fact :

Theorem 2' [4] : No normalized basis of L^1 satisfies

On the other hand, we have

 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Theorem 4}}{4} & : & \text{Given measurable function } \Phi \text{ with } \Phi > 0 \text{ and } \Phi \notin L^1 \text{ there exists} \\ \hline A \text{ normalized basis of } L^1 (f_n) \text{ satisfying (*).} \quad \Box \end{bmatrix}$

The formulation of all the statements above are not satisfactory from the point of view of the Banach space theory : they involve "alien" concepts of orthogonality, uniform boundedness, boundedness in order. The next three facts are stated in the pure Banach space theory language.

The following theorem answers the question asked by Pelczynski at this seminar six years ago :

 $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\text{Theorem 5}}{L^1} & [8] & : & \text{There is no Besselian basis of C (Hilbertian basis of L^1).} & \Box \end{bmatrix}$

Recall that a sequence (x_k) of elements of a Banach space is called Besselian if, for some constant c and all sequences of scalars (t_k) with finite number of nonzero elements, we have

$$c \|\sum_{k} \mathbf{t}_{k} \mathbf{x}_{k}\| \geq (\sum_{k} |\mathbf{t}_{k}|^{2} \|\mathbf{x}_{k}\|^{2})^{1/2};$$

Hilbertian -the same with an inverse inequality. In particular a uniformly bounded orthonormal system is Besselian in C and Hilbertian in L^1 .

XXIII.3

It is easy to see that every bounded Hilbertian sequence

tends weakly to 0. This leads to the following strengthening of Th. 5 $\,$:

Theorem 6 [9] : There is no normalized relatively weakly compact basis of L^1 . \Box

Th. 6 answers a question posed, in particular, in [7] (p. 296, Problem 7.1).

To make the assertion of Th. 6 more transparent, I mention the following well known

Fact : Let Z be a bounded subset of L^1 . TFAE

- (1) Z is relatively weakly compact
- (2) Z is uniformly integrable
- (3) no sequence of elements of Z is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ^1 . \Box

The above indicates obvious reformulations of Th. 6 :

Theorem 6': No normalized basis of L^1 consists of uniformly integrable functions. \Box

<u>Theorem 6"</u>: Every normalized basis of L^1 contains a subsequence, which is equivalent to the unit vector basis of ℓ^1 . \Box

The following result is dual, in a sense, to Th. 6 :

<u>Theorem 7</u> [9] : Given normalized basis (g_n) of C there exists an increasing sequence of integers (n_k) such that the operator

$$g = \sum_{n} t_{n} g_{n} \longmapsto (t_{n})^{\infty}_{k \ k=1}$$

takes C <u>onto</u> c . C

See [9] for more problems and facts on related subjects (concerning, in particular, quantitative estimates of Lebesgue functions and formal Fourier series with respect to certain classes of biorthogonal systems).

XXIII.4

Now I am passing to a more detailed study of some of the results listed above. I am going to sketch a simple proof of Th. 2 and a construction from Th. 4.

<u>Proof of Theorem 2</u>: Suppose not ; let (f_n) be a normalized basis of L^1 , which is uniformly bounded, i.e.

(j)
$$\|f_n\|_{\infty} \leq M$$
 for some M and all n.

Denote by (g_n) the sequence of basis functionals. Then

(jj)
$$\|g_n\|_{\infty} \leq K$$
 for some K and all n.

It is well known that if (f_n) is a basis of L^1 , then

(jjj) supess
$$\int |\sum_{k=1}^{m} g_{k}(y) f_{k}(x)| dx = L_{m} \le L$$

for some constant L and all m.

Indeed, to see (jjj) it suffices to observe that L_m is equal to the norm of the operator of m-th partial sum $P_m : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t_k f_k \xrightarrow{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} t_k f_k$ and the norms $||P_m||$ are, by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem, uniformly bounded.

To complete the proof of Th. 2 we shall need the following two facts :

I. (Kashin's version [2] of a Bockariev type inequality [1]). There exists a universal constant c such that, for every n and every choice of scalars a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n , we have

(i)
$$(\max_{1 \le k \le n} |a_k|) (\sum_{m=1}^{n} |\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k|) \ge c \sum_{n \ge \mu > \nu \ge 0} \frac{\left|\sum_{k=\nu+1}^{\mu} a_k\right|^2}{(\mu - \nu)^2} . \square$$

II . Let $(f_i, g_i)_{i \in \Lambda}$ be a biorthogonal system of functions (i.e. $\int f_i g_j = \delta_{ij}$ for all $i, j \in \Lambda$). Then

(ii)
$$\iint |\sum_{i \in \Lambda} f_i(x) g_i(y)|^2 dx dy \ge card \Lambda \quad \Box$$

Let us first show how (j), (jj), (jjj) and I, II lead to a contradiction.

Substituing $a_k = f_k(x) g_k(y)$ in (i), integrating the both sides with respect to x and y and using (ii) one gets

$$(\max_{1 \le k \le n} \|f_k\|_{\infty} \|g_k\|_{\infty}) (\sum_{m=1}^n \iint_{k=1}^m g_k(y) |f_k(x)| dx dy)$$

$$\geq c \sum_{n \ge \mu > \nu \ge 0} \frac{\iint_{k=\nu+1}^{\mu} |g_k(y)| |f_k(x)|^2 dx dy}{(\mu - \nu)^2} \ge c \sum_{n \ge \mu > \nu \ge 0} \frac{1}{\mu - \nu} \ge c' n \ell_n n$$

for all n (c' does not depend on n). Hence, by (j) and (jj),

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{m=1}^{n}\int_{k=1}^{m}|\sum_{k=1}^{m}g_{k}(y)f_{k}(x)|dx dy \geq c' K^{-1} M^{-1} \ell_{n n},$$

which, for n sufficiently large, contradicts (jjj). $\hfill\square$

Thus to prove Th. 2 it remains to show I and II.

Proof of II :

$$\iint |\sum_{i \in \Lambda} f_i(x) g_i(y)|^2 dx dy = (\iint |\sum_{i \in \Lambda} f_i(x) g_i(y)|^2 dx dy)^{1/2}$$
$$(\iint \sum_{j \in \Lambda} f_j(y) g_j(x)|^2 dx dy)^{1/2} \ge \iint \sum_{i,j \in \Lambda} f_i(x) g_j(x) g_i(y) f_j(y) dx dy =$$
$$= \operatorname{card} \Lambda \cdot \Box$$

<u>Sketch of the proof of I</u> : Observe first that (i) is a discrete version of the following inequality

(iii)
$$\gamma \|f'\|_{\infty} \|f\|_{1} \ge \|f^{(1/2)}\|_{2}^{2} \stackrel{df}{=} \iint_{s \le t} \left|\frac{f(t) - f(s)}{t - s}\right|^{2} dt ds$$

for functions $f \in C^{1}(R) \cap L^{1}(R)$.

Inequalities of this type are well known in the theory of Sobolev spaces, the only trouble is a derivative of fractional order, which appears here. The proof of (iii) (given by 0.V. Besov, see [2]) is based on the formula

$$\|g\|_p^p = p \int_0^\infty t^{p-1} \lambda_g(t) dt$$
,

where $\lambda_g(t) = \lambda(\{x : |g(x)| > t\})$ (λ -the Lebesgue measure). It is quite simple, but I will not present it here.

To justify the notation appearing in (iii) notice that the integral at the right hand side of (iii) is equal to $\delta \int |\hat{f}(x)|^2 |x| dx$,

XXIII.6

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f and δ does not depend on f. \Box

<u>Proof of Theorem 4</u> : Let $\[\]$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 4. Define $d\mu = \[\] d\lambda$. Then $L^1((0,1),\lambda)$ is isometric to $L^1(\mu) = L^1((0,1),\mu)$ via $T: L^1 \rightarrow L^1(\mu)$ defined by $Tf = f/\[\] 0$. Observe that f is dominated by $\[\] if$ and only if Tf is bounded by 1. Thus the problem reduces to construction of a normalized basis of $L^1(\mu)$, consisting of functions bounded by 1. On the other hand, since $((0,1),\mu)$ is equivalent (as a measure space) to $((0,\infty),\lambda)$, it is enough to construct a normalized basis of $L^1(0,\infty)$ uniformly bounded by 1.

To do this, we shall need some properties of certain matrices $A^{(s)}$ of order 2^s (see [5], p. 97), defined by

$$A_{i1} = A_{i1}^{(s)} = 2^{-s}$$
 for $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^{s}$

and, if $j = 2^{p} + r$ for some $p = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ and $r = 1, 2, \dots, 2^{p}$, then

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} 2^{p-s} & \text{if } (r-1)2^{s-p} < i \le (r-\frac{1}{2}) 2^{s-p} \\ -2^{p-s} & \text{if } (r-\frac{1}{2})2^{s-p} < i \le r 2^{s-p} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

In other words, A is a matrix of the "Haar type", i.e. A is equal to the value of the j-th Haar function on the dyadic interval $((i-1)2^{-5}, i \cdot 2^{-5})$.

Now let us consider the vectors $A_i = (A_{ij})_{j=1}^2$, $i = 1, 2, ..., 2^8$. We shall need the following properties of these vectors :

1°
$$\|A_i\|_{\ell^1} = 1$$
 for all i
2^S

 2^{0} $|A_{ij}| \leq 1$ for all i, j

$$3^{\circ}$$
 $A_{i1} = 2^{-S}$ for all i

 4° the basis constant of the sequence (A_i) in ℓ^1 does not exceed 2.

Properties $1^{\circ}-3^{\circ}$ are obvious ; to verify 4° one must find a dual basis (\widetilde{A}_{1}) and then estimate

$$2^{S} m \widetilde{A}_{ij} A_{ij} A_{ik} | .$$

k,m j=1 i=1

Now split $(0,\infty)$ into intervals (n-1,n] and consider the Haar basis $(\chi_i^n)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of $L^1(n-1,n)$ (normalized in L^1 -norm). Then the system $(\chi_i^n)_{i,n=1}^{\infty}$ is a monotone basis of $L^1(0,\infty)$ provided it is ordered in such a way that χ_i^n precedes χ_j^n if i < j. We choose some particular ordering of this kind. Namely, each χ_I^N with $\|\chi_I^N\|_{\infty} > 1$ will be the first element of a block of 2^S of χ_i^n s, say $\chi(1) = \chi_I^N$, $\chi(2), \ldots, \chi(2^S)$, such that

- (a) $\|\chi(1)\|_{\infty} = 2^{s}$
- (b) all $\chi(j)$ have disjoint supports
- (c) all $\chi(j)$ with $j \ge 2$ are of form χ_1^m for some m, in particular

$$\|\chi(j)\|_{\infty} = 1$$
 for $j = 2, 3, ..., 2^{S}$

It is easy to see that it is possible.

Now, having such a block of 2^{S} functions as above fixed, consider the subspace of L^{1} spanned by $\chi(1), \ldots, \chi(2^{S})$. By (b), it is isometric to ℓ^{1} and $(\chi(j))$ is equivalent to the unit vector basis. Now we change 2^{S} basis via the matrix $A^{(S)}$, i.e. we pass to $F_{i} = \sum_{j=1}^{S} A_{ij} \chi(j)$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 2^{S}$. Then, by 1^{O} and (b), $\|F_{i}\|_{1} = 1$ for all i. By 2^{O} , 3^{O} and (a), (b), (c), $\|F_{i}\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ for all i. By 4^{O} , the basis constant of (F_{i}) does not exceed 2.

Proceeding in the same way with all blocks, we obtain a basis of $L^{1}(0,\infty)$ with desired properties.

REFERENCES

- S.V. Bockariev : A Fourier series divergent on a set of positive measure for arbitrary uniformly bounded orthonormal system, Math. Sbor. 98 (140) (1975), 436-449 (Russian).
- [2] B.S. Kashin : Remarks on Lebesgue functions of orthonormal systems, Math. Sbor. 106 (148) (1978), 380-385 (Russian).
- [3] A.S. Krantzberg, On systems of convergence in C and bases in L, Math. Zam. 26 (1979), 183-200 (Russian).
- [4] S. Kwapień, S.J. Szarek : Estimation of Lebesgue functions of biorthogonal systems with application to bases, Studia Math. 66 (2).

- [5] A.M. Olevskii, Fourier series with respect to general orthogonal systems, Springer-Verlag 1975.
- [6] A. Pełczyński : On a result of Olevskii : a uniformly bounded orthonormal sequence is not a basis for C[0,1], Séminaire Maurey-Schwartz 1973-74, Exposé No 21.
- [7] I. Singer : Bases in Banach spaces I, Springer-Verlag 1970.
- [8] S.J. Szarek, Non existence of Besselian basis in C(S), J. Funct. Anal. 36 (1980).
- [9] S.J. Szarek : Bases and biorthogonal systems in the spaces C and L^1 , Arkiv f. Matematik 17 (2) (1979), 255-271.
