

# SÉMINAIRE ÉQUATIONS AUX DÉRIVÉES PARTIELLES – ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

P. SCHAPIRA

J.-P. SCHNEIDERS

## **Direct images of elliptic pairs and microlocalization**

*Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique)* (1993-1994), exp. n° 22,  
p. 1-10

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SEDP\\_1993-1994\\_\\_\\_A23\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SEDP_1993-1994___A23_0)

© Séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (Polytechnique)  
(École Polytechnique), 1993-1994, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire Équations aux dérivées partielles (<http://sedp.cedram.org>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques  
<http://www.numdam.org/>

*CENTRE  
DE  
MATHEMATIQUES*

Unité de Recherche Associée D 0169

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE

F-91128 PALAISEAU Cedex (FRANCE)

Tél. (1) 69 33 40 91

Fax (1) 69 33 30 19 ; Télég 601.596 F

Séminaire 1993-1994

---

## EQUATIONS AUX DERIVEES PARTIELLES

### **DIRECT IMAGES OF ELLIPTIC PAIRS AND MICROLOCALIZATION**

**P. SCHAPIRA et J.-P. SCHNEIDERS**



# Direct images of elliptic pairs and microlocalization

PIERRE SCHAPIRA

JEAN-PIERRE SCHNEIDERS

## 1 Introduction

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of complex analytic manifolds. In [7], we introduced the notion of a proper  $f$ -elliptic pair  $(\mathcal{M}, F)$  on  $X$ , and proved that the direct image of such a pair is an object of  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{D}_Y)$  with coherent cohomology. When  $f$  is projective and  $F = \mathbb{C}_X$ , one recovers the classical direct image theorem of Kashiwara [3] (as well as its generalization to the non proper case of [2]). When  $Y = \{\text{pt}\}$ ,  $M$  is a compact real analytic manifold,  $X$  a complexification of  $M$ ,  $F = \mathbb{C}_M$  and  $\mathcal{M}$  is elliptic on  $M$  in the classical sense, one recovers the classical finiteness theorem for solutions of elliptic systems.

In this paper, we shall prove that direct image commutes with microlocalization. More precisely, denote by  $\mathcal{E}_X$  the sheaf of (finite order) microdifferential operators on  $T^*X$  ([5] or see [6] for a detailed exposition), and still denote by  $\underline{f}_!$  the direct image for  $\mathcal{E}$ -modules (see below). Then, we prove that

$$\pi_Y^{-1}[\underline{f}_!(\mathcal{M} \otimes F)] \otimes_{\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y \simeq \underline{f}_![\pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F) \otimes_{\pi_X^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{E}_X].$$

This result was established by Kashiwara [3] when  $F = \mathbb{C}_X$  and  $f$  is projective. It was also announced in a non proper case in [2].

In the last section, we show that this result has interesting applications in the study of correspondences for  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules, as for example, in the case of the Penrose transform considered by [1].

## 2 Direct image of $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{E}$ modules

Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of complex analytic manifolds.

Recall that the proper direct image of a right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined through the formula

$$\underline{f}_!(\mathcal{M}) = Rf_!(\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y})$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y}$  denotes the differential transfer module associated to  $f$ .

At the microlocal level, we consider the following diagram:

$$T^*X \xleftarrow{t f'} X \times_Y T^*Y \xrightarrow{f_\pi} T^*Y$$

and recall that the microlocal proper direct image of a right  $\mathcal{E}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is defined through the formula

$$\underline{f}_!(\mathcal{M}) = Rf_{\pi!}(t f'^{-1}\mathcal{M} \otimes_{t f'^{-1}\mathcal{E}_X}^L \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}),$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}$  denotes the micro-differential transfer module associated to  $f$ .

The microlocalization of a right  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$  is the right  $\mathcal{E}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E}$  defined on  $T^*X$  by setting

$$\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E} = \pi_X^{-1}\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\pi_X^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{E}_X.$$

### 3 The topology of the sheaf $\mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)$

**Proposition 3.1** *Let  $X$  be a complex analytic manifold. Assume  $Y$  is a complex submanifold of  $X$  and denote by  $\mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)$  the sheaf of holomorphic microfunctions of order 0 on  $T_Y^*X$ . Then, for any compact subset  $K \subset T_Y^*X$ , the space*

$$\Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$$

*has a canonical DFN topology.*

*Proof:* Working locally, we may use a coordinate system  $(x_1, \dots, x_d, y_1, \dots, y_{n-d})$  where  $Y$  is defined by the equations

$$x_1 = 0; \dots; x_d = 0.$$

Denote by  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$  the corresponding coordinates on  $T_Y^*X$ . It follows from [5, Thm 1.4.5] that, for any open subset  $U$  of  $T_Y^*X$ , the formula

$$(3.1) \quad \int \delta(p - \langle x, \xi \rangle) u(x, y) dx = \sum_{j=-\infty}^0 a_j(y, \xi) \delta^{(j)}(p)$$

establishes a one to one correspondence between holomorphic microfunctions

$$u(x, y) \in \Gamma(U; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$$

and sequences of homogeneous holomorphic functions

$$a_j(x, \xi) \in \Gamma(U; \mathcal{O}_{T_Y^*X}(j)) \quad (j \leq 0)$$

such that for any compact subset  $K \subset U$

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^0 |a_j(x, \xi)|_K \frac{\epsilon^{-j}}{(-j)!} < +\infty$$

for some  $\epsilon > 0$ .

Let us first construct the requested DFN topology in two special cases.

*Case a.* Assume  $K$  is a convex compact subset of  $T_Y^*X$  on which  $\xi_k \neq 0$ . Denote by  $p: \dot{T}_Y^*X \rightarrow P_Y^*X$  the canonical projection. The preceding discussion shows that the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(p(K) \times \{0\}; \mathcal{O}_{P_Y^*X \times \mathbb{C}}) \\ u(x, y) &\mapsto f_k(y, \xi, \tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{+\infty} a_{-j}(y, \xi/\xi_k) \frac{\tau^j}{j!} \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. Using this isomorphism, we endow  $\Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$  with the usual DFN topology of  $\Gamma(p(K) \times \{0\}; \mathcal{O}_{P_Y^*X \times \mathbb{C}})$ . If, moreover,  $\xi_\ell \neq 0$  on  $K$ , one has

$$f_k(\xi, \tau) = f_\ell(\xi, \tau \xi_k / \xi_\ell).$$

Hence, the DFN topology of  $\Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$  does not depend on  $k$ .

*Case b.* Let  $\pi$  denote the canonical projection of the bundle  $T_Y^*X$  on its base  $Y$  identified to the zero section. Assume  $K$  is a convex compact subset of  $T_Y^*X$  such that  $\pi(K) \subset K$ . It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(\pi(K); \mathcal{O}_Y) \\ u(x, y) &\mapsto a_0(y, 0) \end{aligned}$$

is an isomorphism. We use this isomorphism to transport on  $\Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$  the usual DFN topology of  $\Gamma(\pi(K); \mathcal{O}_Y)$ .

One checks easily that, if  $K_1 \subset K_2$  are two compact subsets of  $T_Y^*X$  of the kind treated in case (a) or (b) above, then the restriction map

$$\Gamma(K_2; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(K_1; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$$

is continuous.

Let  $K$  be an arbitrary compact subset of  $T_Y^*X$ . The preceding discussion shows that we can find a finite covering  $(K_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $K$  by compact subsets such that  $\Gamma(K_i; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$  and  $\Gamma(K_i \cap K_j; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$  are DFN spaces. Thanks to the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \prod_{i \in I} \Gamma(K_i; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)) \xrightarrow{\beta} \prod_{i, j \in I} \Gamma(K_i \cap K_j; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0)),$$

we may use  $\alpha$  to transport on  $\Gamma(K; \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}(0))$  the DFN topology of  $\ker \beta$ . To show that this topology is independent of the chosen covering, it is sufficient to show that it is equivalent to the topology induced by a finer covering. Since such a topology is obviously weaker, the conclusion follows from the closed graph theorem.

Since a direct computation shows that the above defined topology is independent of the chosen coordinate systems, the conclusion follows easily.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $X$  be a complex analytic manifold. Assume  $K$  is a compact subset of  $T^*X$ . Then*

$$\Gamma(K; \mathcal{E}_X(0))$$

*has a canonical DFN topology.*

*Proof:* Apply the preceding proposition to  $\mathcal{C}_{\Delta_X|X \times X}(0)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $X, Z$  be complex analytic manifolds and let  $Y$  be a complex submanifold of  $X$ . We identify  $T_{(Z \times Y)}^*(Z \times X)$  and  $Z \times T_Y^*X$ . We denote by  $q : Z \times T_Y^*X \rightarrow T_Y^*X$  the second projection. Then, for any Stein compact subset  $K \subset Z$ , one has*

$$Rq_![(\mathcal{C}_{Z \times Y|Z \times X}(0))_{K \times T_Y^*X}] \simeq \Gamma(K; \mathcal{O}_Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}_{Y|X}.$$

*Proof:* Let  $S$  be a complex manifold. Denote by  $p_S : Z \times S \rightarrow S$  the second projection. By classical results of analytic geometry, we know that

$$Rp_{S!}[(\mathcal{O}_{Z \times S})_{K \times S}] \simeq \Gamma(K; \mathcal{O}_Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_S.$$

Using the explicit isomorphisms constructed in the proof of the preceding proposition, the conclusion follows easily.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $Z, Y$  be complex analytic manifolds and denote by*

$$f : Z \times Y \rightarrow Y$$

*the second projection. Assume  $K$  is a Stein compact subset of  $Z$ . Then*

$$Rf_{\pi!}[(\mathcal{E}_{Z \times Y \rightarrow Y}(0))_{K \times T^*Y}] \simeq \Gamma(K; \mathcal{O}_Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y(0).$$

*Proof:* Apply the preceding proposition to  $\mathcal{C}_{Z \times \Delta_Y|Z \times (Y \times Y)}(0)$ .  $\square$

## 4 Main result

**Theorem 4.1** *Assume  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a morphism of complex analytic manifolds and  $(\mathcal{M}, F)$  is an  $f$ -elliptic pair on  $X$  with  $f$ -proper support. Then the canonical map*

$$[\underline{f}_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes F)]\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \underline{f}_1([\mathcal{M} \otimes F]\mathcal{E})$$

is an isomorphism in  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{E}_Y)$ .

*Proof:* Recall that we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T^*X & \xleftarrow{t f'} & X \times_Y T^*Y & \xrightarrow{f_\pi} & T^*Y \\ \pi_X \downarrow & & \pi \downarrow & & \pi_Y \downarrow \\ X & \xleftarrow{\sim} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Hence, we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} & \pi_Y^{-1}[\underline{f}_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes F)] \otimes_{\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y \\ &= Rf_{\pi_1}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y})] \otimes_{\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y \\ &= Rf_{\pi_1}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_X}^L \mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y}) \otimes_{f_\pi^{-1}\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y} f_\pi^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y] \\ &= Rf_{\pi_1}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F) \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X}^L (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{f_\pi^{-1}\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y} f_\pi^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y)]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that there is a canonical map

$$(4.1) \quad \pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{f_\pi^{-1}\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y} f_\pi^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}.$$

Hence, we get a canonical morphism

$$(4.2) \quad \pi_Y^{-1}[\underline{f}_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes F)] \otimes_{\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{D}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y \rightarrow \underline{f}_1[\pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F) \otimes_{\pi_X^{-1}\mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{E}_X].$$

When  $f$  is a closed embedding, (4.1) is an isomorphism. Hence (4.2) is an isomorphism for any  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{D}_{\text{coh}}^b(\mathcal{D}_X)$  and any  $F \in \mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(X)$ .

In the general case, consider the graph embedding

$$i : X \rightarrow X \times Y$$

and the projection

$$p : X \times Y \rightarrow Y.$$

Since  $(\mathcal{M}, F)$  is an  $f$ -elliptic pair, the pair  $(\dot{i}_1\mathcal{M}, F \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)$  is  $p$ -elliptic. Since our result holds for closed embeddings and

$$\dot{i}_1\mathcal{M} \otimes (F \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y) \simeq \dot{i}_1(\mathcal{M} \otimes F),$$



we are reduced to prove the theorem for the pair  $(\underline{i}_! \mathcal{M}, F \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)$  and the map  $p$ .

We may thus assume that  $f$  is the second projection from  $X = Z \times Y$  to  $Y$  and that  $F = G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y$  where  $G$  is an object of  $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbb{R}-c}^b(Z)$ . Moreover, working as in [7], we may also assume that  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}} \mathcal{D}_X$  where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ -module. In this case,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_Y^{-1}[\underline{f}_! (\mathcal{M} \otimes F)] \otimes_{\pi_Y^{-1} \mathcal{D}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y \\ &= Rf_{\pi!}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F) \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{D}_X}^L (\pi^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{X \rightarrow Y} \otimes_{f_{\pi^{-1} \pi_Y^{-1} \mathcal{D}_Y}} f_{\pi}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_Y)] \\ &= Rf_{\pi!}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \otimes (G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)) \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}}^L (\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f_{\pi^{-1} \pi_Y^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y}} f_{\pi}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_Y)] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\underline{f}_![\pi_X^{-1}(\mathcal{M} \otimes F) \otimes_{\pi_X^{-1} \mathcal{D}_X} \mathcal{E}_X] = Rf_{\pi!}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \otimes (G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)) \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}} \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}].$$

Hence, we are reduced to show that the canonical arrow

$$\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f_{\pi^{-1} \pi_Y^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y}} f_{\pi}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_Y(0) \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}(0)$$

induces an isomorphism

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} Rf_{\pi!}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \otimes (G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)) \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}}^L (\pi^{-1} \mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f_{\pi^{-1} \pi_Y^{-1} \mathcal{O}_Y}} f_{\pi}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_Y(0))] \\ \simeq Rf_{\pi!}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{N} \otimes (G \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)) \otimes_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{D}_{X|Y}} \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}(0)] \end{aligned}$$

As a matter of fact,  $\mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y} \simeq \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}(0) \otimes_{f_{\pi^{-1} \mathcal{E}_Y(0)}} f_{\pi}^{-1} \mathcal{E}_Y$  as a  $(\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}, \mathcal{E}_Y)$ -bimodule and a scalar extension of 4.3 gives the theorem.

Using the realification process developed in [7], we may assume from the beginning that  $Z$  is a complexification of a real analytic manifold  $M$  and that  $G$  is supported by  $M$ .

Since the result is local on  $T^*Y$  (hence on  $Y$ ), we may assume also that  $\mathcal{N}$  has a projective resolution  $\mathcal{L}^\cdot$  by finite free  $\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}$ -modules (see [7, Prop. 3.1]).

As for  $G$ , we may assume it is isomorphic to a bounded complex  $T^\cdot$  of the type

$$0 \longrightarrow \cdots \bigoplus_{i_a \in I_a} \mathbb{C}_{K_{a, i_a}} \longrightarrow \cdots \bigoplus_{i_k \in I_k} \mathbb{C}_{K_{k, i_k}} \longrightarrow \cdots \bigoplus_{i_b \in I_b} \mathbb{C}_{K_{b, i_b}} \longrightarrow 0$$

where the sets  $I_k$  are finite and  $K_{k, i_k}$  is a subanalytic compact subset of  $M$  (see [7, Prop. 3.10]).

Hence,

$$\mathcal{N} \otimes (F \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y) \simeq \mathcal{L}^\cdot \otimes (T^\cdot \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)$$

and the components of this last complex are finite direct sums of sheaves of the type

$$\mathcal{D}_{X|Y} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{K \times Y}$$

where  $K$  is a subanalytic compact subset of  $M$ .

Note that

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{X|Y} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{K \times Y}) \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}}^L (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f_\pi^{-1}\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f_\pi^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y(0)) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_X)_{K \times Y} \otimes_{f_\pi^{-1}\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f_\pi^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y(0) \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_{X|Y} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{K \times Y}) \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}} \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}(0) \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}(0))_{K \times T^*Y} \end{aligned}$$

The right hand side of (4.4) is acyclic for  $f_{\pi!}$  thanks to usual properties of Stein compact subsets. Moreover, Corollary 3.4 shows that the right hand side of (4.5) is also acyclic for  $f_{\pi!}$ . Hence, the morphism (4.3) of  $\mathbf{D}^b(\mathcal{E}_Y(0))$  is represented in  $C^b(\mathcal{E}_Y(0))$  by the morphism

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{\pi!}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{L} \otimes (T \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)) \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}} (\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{f_\pi^{-1}\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} f_\pi^{-1}\mathcal{E}_Y(0))] \\ \xrightarrow{\sim} f_{\pi!}[\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{L} \otimes (T \boxtimes \mathbb{C}_Y)) \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}} \mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}(0)] \end{aligned}$$

Let us denote by  $R$  the complex

$$f_![\mathcal{L} \otimes (T \otimes \mathbb{C}_Y) \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{X|Y}} \mathcal{O}_X].$$

Its components are direct sums of sheaves of the type

$$f_![(\mathcal{O}_X)_{K \times Y}] \simeq \Gamma(K; \mathcal{O}_Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}_Y$$

which are DFN-free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. As in [7], it is easy to check that the  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -linear differential of  $R$  is continuous with respect to these natural topologies. Hence, we may consider  $R$  as a topological complex of DFN-free  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. Using Corollary 3.4, we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} Rf_{\pi!}[(\mathcal{E}_{X \rightarrow Y}(0))_{K \times T^*Y}] &\simeq \Gamma(K; \mathcal{O}_Z) \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{E}_Y(0) \\ &\simeq [\Gamma(K; \mathcal{O}_Z) \hat{\otimes} \pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y] \hat{\otimes}_{\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y(0) \\ &\simeq \pi_Y^{-1}f_![(\mathcal{O}_X)_{K \times Y}] \hat{\otimes}_{\pi_Y^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y(0) \end{aligned}$$

and (4.6) is represented as the canonical morphism

$$\pi^{-1}R \otimes_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y(0) \longrightarrow \pi^{-1}R \hat{\otimes}_{\pi^{-1}\mathcal{O}_Y} \mathcal{E}_Y(0).$$

Since  $R$  has  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -coherent cohomology, Lemma 4.2 below allows us to conclude the proof.  $\square$

The following lemma is easily deduced from the results in §1–2 of [4].

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $S$  be a complex analytic manifold. Assume  $\mathcal{F}$  is a DFN  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -module and  $\mathcal{M}$  is a complex of DFN-free  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -modules. If  $\mathcal{M}$  is bounded from above and has  $\mathcal{O}_S$ -coherent cohomology then the natural morphism*

$$\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{M} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}_S} \mathcal{F}$$

is a quasi-isomorphism in  $C^-(\mathcal{O}_S)$ .

## 5 Applications

Let  $f : X \longrightarrow Y$  be a morphism of complex manifolds.

**Corollary 5.1** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_X$ -module endowed with a good filtration. Assume:*

(i)  $f$  is proper on  $\text{supp } \mathcal{M}$ ,

(ii)  $f_\pi$  is finite on  ${}^t f'^{-1}(\text{char } \mathcal{M}) \cap X \times_Y \dot{T}^*Y$ , where  $\dot{T}^*Y = T^*Y \setminus T_Y^*Y$ .

Then, for  $j \neq 0$ ,  $H^j(\underline{f}_! \mathcal{M})$  is a flat connection (i.e. its characteristic variety is contained in the zero section).

*Proof:* The second hypothesis implies that  $\underline{f}_!(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E})$  is concentrated in degree zero on  $\dot{T}^*Y$ . The first hypothesis and Theorem 4.1 imply that

$$(\underline{f}_! \mathcal{M})\mathcal{E} \simeq \underline{f}_!(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{E}).$$

Hence, for  $j \neq 0$ ,  $\text{supp } H^j[(\underline{f}_! \mathcal{M})\mathcal{E}]$  is contained in the zero section. Since  $\mathcal{E}$  is flat over  $\pi^{-1}\mathcal{D}$ , the conclusion follows easily.  $\square$

As we shall see now, we may apply this last result in the study of correspondences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y & \\ g \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ Z & & X \end{array}$$

when assuming

$$(5.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} g \text{ is smooth,} \\ f \text{ is proper,} \\ (g, f) : Y \longrightarrow Z \times X \text{ is a closed embedding.} \end{array} \right.$$

Let

$$\Lambda = T_Y^*(Z \times X) \cap (\dot{T}^*Z \times \dot{T}^*X)$$

and denote by  $p_2$  the projection  $T^*(Z \times X) \longrightarrow T^*X$ . Assume:

$$(5.2) \quad p_2 \text{ is finite on } \Lambda.$$

**Corollary 5.2** *Assume (5.1) and (5.2) and let  $\mathcal{N}$  be a coherent  $\mathcal{D}_Z$ -module endowed with a good filtration. Then for  $j \neq 0$ ,  $H^j(f_!g^*\mathcal{N})$  is a flat connection.*

The proof follows immediately from Corollary 5.1, since  $g$  being smooth,  $g^*\mathcal{N}$  is concentrated in degree zero and endowed with a good filtration.

**Example 5.3 (Penrose Correspondence (see [1]))** Let  $T$  be a  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space of dimension 4,  $F(1, 2)$  the flag manifold of type  $(1, 2)$ , i.e. the set of couples of linear subspaces  $l \subset p$  of  $T$  of dimension 1 and 2 respectively. Define similarly  $F(1)$  (the complex projective space of dimension 3) and  $F(2)$  (the Minkowski compactification of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ ). Then, we get a correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & Y = F(1, 2) & \\ g \swarrow & & \searrow f \\ Z = F(1) & & X = F(2) \end{array}$$

and one checks easily that hypothesis (5.1) and (5.2) are satisfied. Moreover,  $p_2$  induces an isomorphism  $\Lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} V$ , where  $V$  is a smooth regular involutive submanifold of  $T^*X$ . Note that  $V$  is the characteristic variety of the wave equation. We refer the reader to [1] for a detailed study of the Penrose correspondence in the framework of  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules.

## References

- [1] A. D'Agnolo and P. Schapira, *The Radon-Penrose transform for  $\mathcal{D}$ -modules*, Preprint, 1994.
- [2] C. Houzel and P. Schapira, *Images directes de modules différentiels*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **298** (1984), 461–464.
- [3] M. Kashiwara, *B-functions and holonomic systems*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976), 33–53.
- [4] J. P. Ramis and G. Ruget, *Résidus et dualité*, Invent. Math. **26** (1974), 89–131.
- [5] M. Sato, T. Kawai, and M. Kashiwara, *Hyperfunctions and pseudo-differential equations*, Hyperfunctions and Pseudo-Differential Equations (H. Komatsu, ed.), Lecture Notes in Mathematics, no. 287, Springer-Verlag, 1973, Proceedings Katata 1971, pp. 265–529.
- [6] P. Schapira, *Microdifferential systems in the complex domain*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, no. 269, Springer, Berlin, 1985.

- [7] P. Schapira and J.-P. Schneiders, *Elliptic pairs I. Relative finiteness and duality*, Preprint RIMS-937, 1993. To appear in Astérisque.

## **Authors Addresses**

**P. SCHAPIRA**

Institut de Mathématiques, UMR 9994  
Tour 46-0, 5<sup>ème</sup> étage, Boîte 172  
Université Paris VI  
4, place Jussieu  
75252 Paris Cedex 05  
FRANCE

e-mail: [schapira@ccr.jussieu.fr](mailto:schapira@ccr.jussieu.fr)

**J.-P. SCHNEIDERS**

Laboratoire Analyse, Géométrie et  
Applications, URA 742  
Université Paris XIII  
Avenue J. B. Clément  
93430 Villetaneuse  
FRANCE

e-mail: [jps@math.univ-paris13.fr](mailto:jps@math.univ-paris13.fr)