

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

MASATAKA IZUMISAWA

T. SEKIGUCHI

Weighted norm inequalities for martingales

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 13 (1979), p. 307-312

<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1979__13__307_0>

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1979, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

WEIGHTED NORM INEQUALITIES FOR MARTINGALES

M. Izumisawa and T. Sekiguchi

In this note we extend THEOREM 4 in M. Izumisawa and N. Kazamaki [1], to the case when the weight is not continuous as a martingale.

1. Theorem.

Let $(\Omega, \underline{\mathbb{F}}, P)$ be a probability space with an increasing right continuous family $(\underline{\mathbb{F}}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of sub- σ -fields of $\underline{\mathbb{F}}$ such that $\underline{\mathbb{F}} = \bigvee_{t \geq 0} \underline{\mathbb{F}}_t$. We use the same notations $[X, Y]$, X^* and so on as in P. A. Meyer [2]. Let Z be a uniformly integrable martingale with $E[Z_\infty] = 1$ and $Z_\infty > 0$ a. s. . We put $\hat{P} = Z_\infty \cdot P$ and

$$(1) \quad \hat{M} = - \int_{]0, \cdot]} Z_{s-} d(Z_s^{-1}) .$$

Then \hat{M} is a local martingale with respect to \hat{P} as is shown later.

THEOREM. Let X be any local martingale with respect to P . Then we have the inequalities

$$(2) \quad (1/2) \{ 1 - (2\sqrt{2}+1) \| \hat{M} \|_{B(\hat{P})} \} \hat{E}[[X, X]_\infty^{1/2}] \leq \hat{E}[X^*]$$

$$\leq \sqrt{2}(4+5\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})})\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}],$$

where $\hat{E}[\]$ and $\|\ \|_{B(\hat{P})}$ denote the expectation and the BMO-norm with respect to the probability measure \hat{P} respectively.

By applying Garsia's lemma (see [2] V.24, p. 347) to the above theorem, we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY. Let ϕ be a continuous increasing convex function on $[0, \infty[$ with $\phi(0) = 0$ and satisfy the growth condition, that is, there exists a constant A such that $\phi(2t) \leq A\phi(t)$ for all t . Assume that $\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})} < (2\sqrt{2} + 1)^{-1}$. Then for any local martingale X with respect to P .

$$(3) \quad c\hat{E}[\phi(X^*)] \leq \hat{E}[\phi[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] \leq C\hat{E}[\phi(X^*)].$$

Here, the choice of c and C depends only on the growth parameter A of ϕ .

2. Proof of the Theorem.

For a local martingale X with respect to P we define

$$(4) \quad \hat{X} = X - \int_{]0, \cdot]} Z_s^{-1} d[X, Z]_s.$$

Then \hat{X} is a local martingale with respect to \hat{P} (see [2] VI. 22-26, p. 376). We put

$$(5) \quad M = \int_{]0, \cdot]} Z_{s-}^{-1} dZ_s.$$

We apply Ito's formula to $1 = Z_t Z_t^{-1}$ obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} & M_t + \int_{]0, t]} Z_{s-} d(Z_s^{-1}) \\ &= - \int_{]0, t]} d[Z, Z^{-1}]_s \\ &= \int_{]0, t]} d\langle Z^c, \frac{1}{Z^c} \cdot Z^c \rangle_s - \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta Z_s \Delta (Z^{-1})_s \\ &= \int_{]0, t]} Z_{s-}^{-1} d\langle M^c, Z^c \rangle_s + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} Z_{s-}^{-1} \Delta M_s \Delta Z_s \\ &= \int_{]0, t]} Z_s^{-1} d[M, Z]_s. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore \hat{M} defined in (1) is a local martingale with respect to \hat{P} .

We proceed to prove the following relations:

$$(6) \quad \hat{X} = X - [X, \hat{M}],$$

$$(7) \quad (1 - \|\hat{M}^d\|_{B(\hat{P})}) [X, X]^{1/2} \leq [\hat{X}, \hat{X}]^{1/2} \leq (1 + \|\hat{M}^d\|_{B(\hat{P})}) [X, X]^{1/2}$$

$$(8) \quad (1/2) \hat{E}[[\hat{X}, \hat{X}]^{1/2}] \leq \hat{E}[\hat{X}^*] \leq 4\sqrt{2} \hat{E} [[\hat{X}, \hat{X}]^{1/2}],$$

and

$$(9) \quad \hat{E} \left[\int_{]0, \infty[} |d[X, M]_s| \right] \leq \sqrt{2} \hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] \|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})}.$$

From (4)

$$\hat{M}_t = \int_{]0, t]} z_s^{-1} dz_s - \int_{]0, t]} z_s^{-1} d[z_s^{-1} \cdot z, z]_s,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X, \hat{M}]_t &= \int_{]0, t]} z_s^{-1} d\langle X^c, z^c \rangle_s - \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta X_s \{ \Delta z_s / z_{s-} - z_s^{-1} (\Delta z_s / z_{s-}) \Delta z_s \} \\ &= \int_{]0, t]} z_s^{-1} d\langle X^c, z^c \rangle_s + \int_{]0, t]} z_s^{-1} d[X^d, z^d]_s \\ &= \int_{]0, t]} z_s^{-1} d[X, z]_s \end{aligned}$$

and so we obtain the equality (6). According to the equalities $\langle \hat{X}^c \rangle = \langle X^c \rangle$ (see [2] VI. 25, p. 378) and $\Delta \hat{X}_t = \Delta X_t - \Delta X_t \Delta \hat{M}_t = \Delta X_t (1 - \Delta \hat{M}_t)$, we get easily the inequality (7). The inequality (8) is nothing but Davis' inequality (see [2] V. 29, P. 349). The last inequality (9) is of Feffermann's type. The proof of [2] V. 9, p. 337 is still valid in our case where X is a semi-martingale with respect to \hat{P} .

Now it follows from the above equation and inequalities (6) - (9) that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{E}[X^*] &= \hat{E}[(\hat{X} + [X, \hat{M}])^*] \\ &\geq \hat{E}[\hat{X}^*] - \hat{E}[\int_0^{\infty} |d[X, \hat{M}]_s|] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq (1/2)\hat{E}[[\hat{X}, \hat{X}]_{\infty}^{1/2}] - \sqrt{2}\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})}\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] \\
&\geq (1/2)(1 - \|\hat{M}^d\|_{B(\hat{P})})\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] - \sqrt{2}\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})}\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] \\
&= (1/2)\{1 - (2\sqrt{2}+1)\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})}\}\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}]
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}[X^*] &= \hat{E}[(\hat{X} + [X, \hat{M}]^*)] \\
&\leq \hat{E}[\hat{X}^*] + \hat{E}[f_0^{\infty} |d[X, \hat{M}]_s|] \\
&\leq 4\sqrt{2}\hat{E}[[\hat{X}, \hat{X}]_{\infty}^{1/2}] + \sqrt{2}\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})}\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] \\
&\leq 4\sqrt{2}(1 + \|\hat{M}^d\|_{B(\hat{P})})\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] + \sqrt{2}\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})}\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}] \\
&= \sqrt{2}(4 + 5\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})})\hat{E}[[X, X]_{\infty}^{1/2}]
\end{aligned}$$

Finally we remark that, even though M is not continuous, for each continuous local martingale X with respect to P the constants of the inequalities (2) $(1/2)\{1 - (2\sqrt{2}+1)\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})}\}$ and $\sqrt{2}(4 + 5\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})})$ can be replaced by $(1/2)(1 - 2\sqrt{2}\|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})})$ and $\sqrt{2}(4 + \|\hat{M}\|_{B(\hat{P})})$ respectively, which are the same constants as in M. Izumisawa and N. Kazamaki [1].

- [1] M. Izumisawa and N. Kazamaki, Weighted norm inequalities for martingales, Tôhoku Math. Journ. 29(1977), 115-124.

- [2] P. A. Meyer, Un cours sur les intégrales stochastiques,
Séminaire de Probabilités X, Univ. de Strasbourg, Springer-
Verlag, Berlin, (1976), 246-400.

Mathematical Institute

Tohoku University

Sendai, Japan