

# SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

NORIIHIKO KAZAMAKI

**A counterexample related to  $A_p$ -weights in martingale theory**

*Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg)*, tome 19 (1985), p. 275-277

[http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS\\_1985\\_\\_19\\_\\_275\\_0](http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1985__19__275_0)

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1985, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme  
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A COUNTEREXAMPLE RELATED TO  $A_p$ -WEIGHTS  
IN MARTINGALE THEORY

N. Kazamaki

Given a continuous local martingale  $M$ , set  $Z = \exp(M - \langle M, M \rangle / 2)$ . Let  $a(M)$  be the infimum of the set of  $p > 1$  for which the condition

$$(A_p) \quad E\left[\left(\frac{Z_t}{Z_\infty}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \leq K$$

holds for every  $t \geq 0$ , with a constant  $K$  depending only on  $p$ . We note that the condition  $(A_p)$  plays an important role in various weighted norm inequalities for martingales (see [6] for example) and that  $BMO = \{M : a(M) < \infty\}$  (see [3]). Recall that on the space  $BMO$  a norm can be defined by  $\|M\|_{BMO} = \sup_t \|E[|M_\infty - M_t| | \mathcal{F}_t]\|_\infty$ . The class  $L^\infty$  of all bounded martingales is obviously contained in  $BMO$ , but  $BMO$  is not just  $L^\infty$ . Quite recently, it is proved in [4] that, if  $p > \max\{a(M), a(-M)\}$ , then  $d(M, L^\infty) < 8(\sqrt{p} - 1)$  where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the distance on  $BMO$  deduced from the norm by the usual process. Now, is it true that  $a(M) = a(-M)$  in general? Unfortunately the author did not know the answer. As is noted above, it turns out that  $a(M) = a(-M) = \infty$  for  $M \notin BMO$ . And so, in order to consider the question, we may assume that  $M \in BMO$ . The aim of this short note is to exemplify that the answer is negative.

For that purpose, let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, Q)$  be a probability space which carries a one dimensional Brownian motion  $B = (B_t, \mathcal{F}_t)$  with  $B_0 = 0$ , and we use the stopping time  $\tau = \min\{t : |B_t| = 1\}$ . Then  $B^\tau$  is a bounded martingale with respect to  $Q$ , so that  $E_Q[\exp(B_\tau - \tau/2)] = 1$  where  $E_Q[\cdot]$  denotes expectation with respect to  $Q$ . That is to say,  $dP = \exp(B_\tau - \tau/2) dQ$  is a probability measure on  $\Omega$ . By Girsanov's theorem on such a change of law, the process  $M = \langle B^\tau, B^\tau \rangle - B^\tau$  is a

continuous martingale with respect to P and further  $\langle M, M \rangle = \langle B^\tau, B^\tau \rangle$  under either probability measure. Let now  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Noticing  $|B^\tau| \leq 1$ , we find that

$$E\left[\left(\frac{Z_t}{Z_\infty}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] = E_Q\left[\exp\left\{q(B_\tau - B_{t \wedge \tau}) - \frac{q}{2}(\tau - t \wedge \tau)\right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \leq \exp(2q).$$

This implies  $a(M) = 1$ , since  $p > 1$  is arbitrary.

Next, to estimate  $a(-M)$ , let  $Z^{(-1)} = \exp(-M - \langle M, M \rangle / 2)$ . If  $1 < p \leq 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\left(\frac{Z_t^{(-1)}}{Z_\infty^{(-1)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] &= E_Q\left[\exp\left\{\frac{p-2}{p-1}(B_\tau - B_{t \wedge \tau}) + \frac{4-p}{2(p-1)}(\tau - t \wedge \tau)\right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\ &\geq \exp\left\{-\frac{2(2-p)}{p-1}\right\} E_Q\left[\exp\left\{\frac{4-p}{2(p-1)}(\tau - t \wedge \tau)\right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we know that  $E_Q[\exp(\lambda\tau)] = \infty$  for  $\lambda > \pi^2/8$  (see Proposition 8.4 in [5]). Let now  $1 < p < (16 + \pi^2)/(4 + \pi^2)$ . Then, noticing  $p < 2$  and  $(4-p)/\{2(p-1)\} > \pi^2/8$ , we can find that  $a(-M) \geq (16 + \pi^2)/(4 + \pi^2)$ . Thus  $a(-M) \neq a(M)$ .

Now, when is it true that  $a(-M) = a(M)$ ? In the following, we assume that any martingale adapted to the underlying filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t)$  is continuous.

PROPOSITION. If  $M \in \overline{L^\infty}$ , then  $a(-M) = a(M)$ .

PROOF. It suffices to show that  $p \geq a(-M)$  whenever  $p > a(M)$ . First let  $\alpha(M)$  be the supremum of the set of  $\alpha$  for which

$$\sup_t \|E[\exp\{\alpha |M_\infty - M_t|\} | \mathcal{F}_t]\|_\infty < \infty.$$

In [2] Emery proved the following :

$$\frac{1}{4d(M, L^\infty)} \leq \alpha(M) \leq \frac{4}{d(M, L^\infty)}.$$

Observe that  $M \in \overline{L^\infty}$  if and only if  $\alpha(M) = \infty$ . Now, let  $p > a(M)$ . Then, letting  $0 < \varepsilon < p - a(M)$  and using Hölder's inequality with exponents  $(p-1)/\varepsilon$  and  $(p-1)/(p-\varepsilon-1)$ , we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 E\left[\left(\frac{Z_t^{(-1)}}{Z_\infty^{(-1)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] &= E\left[\exp\left\{\frac{2}{p-1}(M_\infty - M_t)\right\} \left(\frac{Z_t}{Z_\infty}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right] \\
 &\leq E\left[\exp\left\{\frac{2}{\varepsilon}(M_\infty - M_t)\right\} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{\varepsilon}{p-1}} E\left[\left(\frac{Z_t}{Z_\infty}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-\varepsilon-1}} \middle| \mathcal{F}_t\right]^{\frac{p-\varepsilon-1}{p-1}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So, noticing  $\alpha(M)=\infty$ , it turns out that the first conditional expectation on the right hand side is bounded by some constant. Furthermore, the second one is also bounded by some constant, since  $Z$  satisfies  $(A_{p-\varepsilon})$ . Thus we have  $p \geq \alpha(-M)$ .

From this result it follows that the example given at the beginning of this paper does not belong to  $\overline{L^\infty}$ . More generally, it is proved in [1] that  $BMO \neq \overline{L^\infty}$  if  $BMO \neq L^\infty$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] C. Dellacherie, P. A. Meyer and M. Yor, Sur certaines propriétés des espaces de Banach  $H^1$  et BMO, Sémin. de Prob. XII, Lecture Notes in Math. 649, 1978, 98-113
- [2] M. Emery, Le théorème de Garnett-Jones d'après Varopoulos, Sémin. de Prob. XV, Lecture Notes in Math. 850, 1981, 278-284
- [3] N. Kazamaki, A characterization of BMO-martingales, Sémin. de Prob. X, Lecture Notes in Math. 511, 1976, 536-538
- [4] N. Kazamaki and Y. Shiota, Remarks on the class of continuous martingales with bounded quadratic variation, Tôhoku Math. J., (to appear)
- [5] S. C. Port and C. T. Stone, Brownian Motion and Classical Potential Theory, Academic Press 1978
- [6] T. Sekiguchi, Weighted norm inequalities on the martingale theory, Math. Rep. Toyama Univ., 3 (1980), 37-100.

Department of Mathematics  
Toyama University  
Gofuku, Toyama, 930  
Japan.