

SÉMINAIRE DE PROBABILITÉS (STRASBOURG)

JIA-AN YAN

A perturbation theorem for semigroups of linear operators

Séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg), tome 22 (1988), p. 89-91

http://www.numdam.org/item?id=SPS_1988__22__89_0

© Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1988, tous droits réservés.

L'accès aux archives du séminaire de probabilités (Strasbourg) (<http://portail.mathdoc.fr/SemProba/>) implique l'accord avec les conditions générales d'utilisation (<http://www.numdam.org/conditions>). Toute utilisation commerciale ou impression systématique est constitutive d'une infraction pénale. Toute copie ou impression de ce fichier doit contenir la présente mention de copyright.

NUMDAM

Article numérisé dans le cadre du programme
Numérisation de documents anciens mathématiques

<http://www.numdam.org/>

A PERTURBATION THEOREM FOR SEMIGROUPS OF LINEAR OPERATORS

YAN Jia-An

Institute of Applied Mathematics
Academia Sinica, Beijing, China¹

Let \mathfrak{X} be a Banach space. Let $T(t)$ and $S(t)$ be strongly continuous semigroups of bounded linear operators on \mathfrak{X} with infinitesimal generators A and B respectively. The main purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$. If $T(s)x \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ for $0 < s < t$, and $\int_0^t \|BT(s)x\| ds < \infty$ (or equivalently $\int_0^t \|(B-A)T(s)x\| ds < \infty$), then we have

$$(1) \quad S(t)x - T(t)x = \int_0^t S(t-s)(B-A)T(s)x \, ds$$

REMARK. If $B-A$ is a bounded operator, the conditions are satisfied, and in this case formula (1) is due to R.S. Phillips [1] (see also [2], p. 77).

Before proving theorem 1 we give a useful corollary :

COROLLARY. Assume $\mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{D}(B)$ and $B-A$ is A -bounded in the sense that

$$\|(B-A)y\| \leq a\|y\| + b\|Ay\| \text{ for } y \in \mathcal{D}(A) ,$$

where $a \geq 0$, $b \geq 0$ are constants. Then (1) holds for $x \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.

Proof. One has $T(s)x \in \mathcal{D}(A) \subset \mathcal{D}(B)$, and

$$\|BT(s)x\| \leq \|(B-A)T(s)x\| + \|AT(s)x\| \leq a\|T(s)x\| + (b+1)\|T(s)Ax\| .$$

Since $\|T(s)\| \leq Me^{\omega s}$ for some $M > 0$ and $\omega \geq 0$, we have $\int_0^t \|BT(s)x\| ds < \infty$, and therefore the conditions of theorem 1 are satisfied.

From now on we concentrate on the proof of theorem 1. Let

$$(2) \quad G(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)T(s) \, ds .$$

It is easy to see that each $G(t)$ is a bounded linear operator and $G(\cdot)$ is strongly continuous on \mathfrak{X} .

LEMMA 1. We have

$$(3) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} G(h)/h = I \text{ strongly on } \mathfrak{X}$$

$$(4) \quad G(s+t) = S(s)G(t) + G(s)T(t)$$

Proof. Left to the reader.

1. This work was supported by the Foundation of Academia Sinica for Scientific Research.

PROPOSITION 1. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(A)$. Then $G(t)x \in \mathcal{B}(B)$, and we have

$$(5) \quad S(t)x - T(t)x = BG(t)x - G(t)Ax \quad .$$

Proof. By (4) we have, for $h > 0$

$$(6) \quad \frac{1}{h}(G(t+h) - G(t))x = S(t)\frac{G(h)x}{h} + G(t)\frac{T(h) - I}{h}$$

Letting $h \downarrow 0$ we see that the right derivative exists and that

$$(7) \quad G'(t)x = S(t)x + G(t)Ax \quad .$$

On the other hand, the left side of (6) is also equal to

$$\frac{S(h) - I}{h}G(t)x + \frac{G(h)}{h}T(t)x$$

Thus $G(t)x \in \mathcal{B}(B)$, and we have

$$G'(t)x = BG(t)x + T(t)x \quad .$$

Combining this with (7) gives (5).

LEMMA 2. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(A)$. Assume that $T(s)x \in \mathcal{B}(B)$ for $0 < s < t$ and

$$(8) \quad \int_0^t \|BS(t-s)T(s)x\| ds < \infty$$

Then $s \mapsto BS(t-s)T(s)x$ is Bochner integrable on $[0, t]$ and we have

$$(9) \quad BG(t)x = \int_0^t BS(t-s)T(s)x \, ds \quad .$$

Proof. Let R_λ be the resolvent $\int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda u} S(u) du$, which is defined for λ large enough, and such that $\text{Im}(R_\lambda) = \mathcal{B}(B)$, BR_λ is a bounded operator, $BR_\lambda = R_\lambda B$ on $\mathcal{B}(B)$, $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R_\lambda = I$ in the strong sense.

Set $f(s) = BS(t-s)T(s)x$, $f_\lambda(s) = \lambda BR_\lambda S(t-s)T(s)x = \lambda R_\lambda f(s)$

We have $\lim_{\lambda} f_\lambda(s) = f(s)$ for $0 < s < t$, and $f_\lambda(\cdot)$ is continuous since BR_λ is bounded. Hence $f(\cdot)$ is strongly measurable. So (8) is equivalent to the Bochner integrability of f (see [3], p.133). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t f(s) ds &= \lim_{\lambda} \lambda R_\lambda \int_0^t f(s) ds = \lim_{\lambda} \int_0^t \lambda R_\lambda f(s) ds \\ &= \lim_{\lambda} \int_0^t \lambda R_\lambda BS(t-s)T(s)x ds = \lim_{\lambda} \lambda R_\lambda BG(t)x = BG(t)x \end{aligned}$$

which proves (9).

Now we are in a position to give the proof of theorem 1.

Proof of theorem 1. Let $x \in \mathcal{B}(A)$ such that $T(s)x \in \mathcal{B}(B)$ for $0 < s < t$, so that $BS(t-s)T(s)x = S(t-s)BT(s)x$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \|BS(t-s)T(s)x\| ds &= \int_0^t \|S(t-s)BT(s)x\| ds \\ &\leq \int_0^t \|S(t-s)\| \|BT(s)x\| ds < \infty \text{ by hypothesis .} \end{aligned}$$

Thus according to (9), we have

$$(10) \quad BG(t)x = \int_0^t BS(t-s)T(s)x \, ds = \int_0^t S(t-s)BT(s)x \, ds \quad .$$

On the other hand, we have

$$(11) \quad G(t)Ax = \int_0^t S(t-s)T(s)Ax \, ds = \int_0^t S(t-s)ATx \, ds$$

Combining (10) and (11) we get

$$BG(t)x - G(t)Ax = \int_0^t S(t-s)(B-A)T(s) \, ds$$

and we conclude using (5). \square

REFERENCES

- [1]. R.S. PHILLIPS. Perturbation theory for semigroups of linear operators. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74, 1953, p. 199-221.
- [2]. A. PAZY . Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations. Springer-Verlag 1983.
- [3]. K. YOSIDA. Functional Analysis, 4th edition. Springer 1978.