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1. Introduction: Pursuing the chain of ideas initiated in $[1,2,3]$ and further discussed in [4] we modify the notations of quantum stochastic calculus in Fock space and demonstrate how a class of continuous as well as discrete state space Markov processes can be realised through unitary operator evolutions in the tensor product of an initial Hilbert space with a boson Fock space.
2. The basic results of quantum stochastic calculus in a new notation: Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}=h_{0} \otimes \Gamma\left(L^{2}\left(I R_{+}\right) \otimes k\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h_{0}$ and $k$ are complex separable Hilbert spaces and for any Hilbert space $H$ $\Gamma(H)$ denotes the boson Fock space over $H$. Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=h_{0} \otimes\left(\mathbb{C} e_{-\infty} \oplus k \oplus \mathbb{C} e_{\infty}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{ \pm \infty}$ are unit vectors and $\oplus$ indicates Hilbert space direct sum. Fix an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in S\right\}$ in $k$ and put $\tilde{S}=S U\{-\infty\} U\{\infty\}$. The basic noise processes $\left\{\Lambda_{j}^{i}\right\}$ of boson stochastic calculus in $\tilde{H}$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Lambda_{i}^{j}=\Lambda\left|e_{i}><e_{j}\right|, \quad i, j \in S, \\
& \Lambda_{-\infty}^{j}=\Lambda\left|e_{-\infty}><e_{j}\right|^{\prime}=A_{j}, j \in S, \\
& \Lambda_{i}^{\infty}=\Lambda\left|e_{i}><e_{\infty}\right|=A_{i}^{\dagger}, i \in S, \\
& \Lambda_{-\infty}^{\infty}(t)=t I, \quad t \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Lambda_{i}^{j}, i, j \in S$ are the conservation (or exchange) processes, $A_{j}, j \in S$ are
the annihilation processes and $A_{i}^{\dagger}$, $i \in S$ are the creation processes. We adopt the convention that $\Lambda_{i}^{-\infty}=\Lambda_{\infty}^{j}=0$.

Inspired by a conversation with V.P.Belavkin in Moscow in 1989 we introduce a subalgebra $I(h) \subset B(h)$ with a special involution as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& I(h)=\left\{L \mid L \in B(h), L £ \otimes e_{-\infty}=L^{*} f \otimes e_{\infty}=0 \text { for all } f \in h_{o}\right\},  \tag{2.3}\\
& L^{b}=F L^{*} F \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B(h)$ is the algebra of all bounded operators on $h$ and $F$ is the unique unitary (flip) operator in $h$ satisfying

$$
F f \otimes e_{-\infty}=f \otimes e_{\infty}, F f \otimes e_{\infty}=f \otimes e_{-\infty}, F f \otimes u=f \otimes u
$$

for all $f \in h_{0}, u \in k$. Then $I(h)$ is a subalgebra of $B(h)$ and the correspondence $\mathrm{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\mathrm{b}}$ is an involution under which $I(h)$ is closed. To any $L \in I(h)$ we associate the family $\left\{L_{j}^{i} \mid i, j \in \tilde{S}\right\}$ of operators in $h_{o}$ by putting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f, L_{j}^{i} g\right\rangle=\left\langle f \otimes e_{i}, L g \otimes e_{j}\right\rangle, i, j \in \tilde{S}, f, g \in h_{0} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then by (2.3)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{j}^{\infty}=L_{-\infty}^{i}=0 \text { for all } i, j \in \tilde{S}, \\
& \sum_{i \in \tilde{S}}\left\|L_{j}^{i} f\right\|^{2}=\left\|L f \otimes e_{j}\right\|^{2}, f \in h_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence by the basic results of quantum stochastic calculus (q.s.c.) there exists a unique adapted process $\Lambda_{L}$ in $\tilde{H}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{L}(0)=0, d \Lambda_{L}=\sum_{i, j \in \tilde{S}} L_{j}^{i} d \Lambda_{i}^{j}, L \in I(h) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

(See, for example, Proposition 27.1 in [4]). The following two propositions are immediate from the methods of q.s.c. (Ch. III, [4]).

Proposition 2.1. The processes $\left\{\Lambda_{L} \mid L \in I(h)\right\}$ defined by (2.6) satisfy the followinc
(i) $\left\langle f e(u), \Lambda_{L}(t) g e(v)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{t}\left\langle f \otimes\left(e_{-\infty}+u(s)\right), \operatorname{Lg} \otimes\left(v(s)+e_{\infty}\right)\right\rangle d s\langle e(u), e(v)\rangle$,
(ii) If $\Lambda_{L}^{\dagger}(t)=\Lambda_{L b}(t)$ then $\left\{\Lambda_{L}, \Lambda_{L}^{\dagger}\right\}$, is an adjoint pair;
(iii) $d \Lambda_{L} d \Lambda_{M}=d \Lambda_{L M}$.

In particular, $\Lambda_{L}$ is independent of the orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in S\right\}$ employed in its definition.

Proposition 2.2. Let $L \in I(h)$. Then there exists a unique unitary operator valued adapted process $U_{L}$ satisfying the quantum stochastic differential equation (q.s.d.e.)

$$
U_{L}(0)=0, d U_{L}=\left(d \Lambda_{L}\right) U_{L}
$$

if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
L+L^{b}+L^{b} L=L+L^{b}+L L^{b}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $h_{i,} i=1,2$ are Hilbert spaces and $x$ is a bounded operator in $h_{1}$ we adopt the convention of denoting by the same symbol $X$, the operator $X \otimes 1$ in $h_{1} \otimes h_{2}$ where 1 dnotes the identify operator in $h_{2}$. For any $L \in I(h)$ and $x \in B\left(h_{0}\right)$ the operators $X L$ and $L X$ belong to $I(h)$. Furthermore $X d \Lambda_{L}=d \Lambda_{X L}$, $\left(d \Lambda_{L}\right) X=d \Lambda_{L X}$.
proposition 2.3. Let $L \in I(h)$. Suppose (2.7) holds and $U_{L}$ is the unitary operator valued process defined by Proposition 2.2. Then

$$
d U_{L}^{*} X U_{L}=U_{L}^{*} d \Lambda_{L} b_{X+X L+L}^{b} X_{L} U_{L} \text { for all } x \in B\left(h_{o}\right)
$$

If

$$
T_{t}(X)=I_{O} U_{L}^{*}(t) X U_{L}(t)
$$

where $\mathbb{E}_{\mathrm{o}}$ denotes the boson vacuum conditional expectation map from $B(\tilde{H})$ onto $B\left(h_{0}\right)$ then $\left\{T_{t} \mid t \geq 0\right\}$ is a uniformly continuous one parameter semigroup of operators on the Banach space $B\left(h_{0}\right)$ whose infinitesimal generator $L$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L(x)=\left.\frac{d T_{t}(x)}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \\
& \langle f, L(X) g\rangle=\left\langle f \otimes e_{-\infty^{\prime}}\left(L^{b} x+x L+L^{b} x L\right) g \otimes e_{\infty}\right\rangle \text { for all } f, g \in h_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof: Propositions 1-3 are the basic results of q.s.c. and we refer to Chapter III, [4].
[]
3. Construction of some classical Markov flows through unitary evolutions :

Let $G$ be a locally compact second countable group acting on a separable $\sigma$-finite measure space ( $X, F, \mu$ ) with G-invariant measure $\mu$. (Obvious generalizations can be worked out when $\mu$ is only quasi invariant). Define $h_{o}=L^{2}(\mu)$ and $k=L^{2}(G)$ with respect to a left invariant Haar measure. Express any element $\underline{\mathrm{f}} \in h=h_{0} \mathscr{\delta}\left(\mathbb{C} \mathrm{e}_{-\infty} \oplus k \oplus \mathbb{C} \mathrm{e}_{\infty}\right)$ as a column vector

$$
\underline{f}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
f_{-}(x) \\
f_{o}(x, g) \\
f_{+}(x)
\end{array}\right) \quad x \in X \quad, g \in G .
$$

Let $\lambda(x, g)$ be any complex valued measurable function on $X \times G$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ess. } \sup _{\mu} \int_{G}|\lambda(x, g)|^{2} d g<\infty \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where dg indicates integration with respect to the left invariant Haar measure. Define the operator $L_{\lambda}$ associated with $\lambda$ in $h$ by

$$
L_{\lambda} \underline{f}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
-\int_{G}\left\{\overline{\lambda(x, g)} f_{0}(x, g)+\frac{1}{2}|\lambda(x, g)|^{2} f_{+}(x)\right\} d g \\
f_{0}\left(g^{-1} x, g\right)-f_{0}(x, g)+\lambda\left(g^{-1} x, g\right) f_{+}\left(g^{-1} x\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then (3.1) implies that $L_{\lambda} \in B(h)$. Furthermore the following holds:
(i) $L_{\lambda} \in(h)$;

$$
L_{\lambda}{ }_{\lambda} \underline{f}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
\int_{G}\left\{\overline{\lambda(x, g)} f_{0}(g x, g)-\frac{1}{2}|\lambda(x, g)|^{2} f_{+}(x)\right\} d g \\
f_{0}(g x, g)-f_{0}(x, g)-\lambda(x, g) f_{+}(x) \\
0
\end{array}\right) ;
$$

(iii) $L_{\lambda}^{b} L_{\lambda}+L_{\lambda}^{b}+L_{\lambda}=L_{\lambda} L_{\lambda}^{b}+L_{\lambda}+L_{\lambda}^{b}=0$.

Using Proposition 2.2 construct the unitary operator valued process $U_{\lambda}=U_{L_{\lambda}}$ in
$\tilde{H}$ satisfying

$$
U_{\lambda}(0)=1, d U_{\lambda}=\left(d \Lambda_{L_{\lambda}}\right) U_{\lambda} .
$$

Consider the Evans-Hudson flow $\left\{j_{t} \mid t>0\right\}$ induced by $U_{\lambda}$ :

$$
j_{t}(x)=U_{\lambda}(t)^{*} x U_{\lambda}(t), x \in B\left(h_{0}\right)
$$

If $\left\{e_{i} \mid i \in S\right\}$ is any fixed orthonormal basis in $L^{2}(G)$ then the structure maps $\left\{\theta_{j}^{i} \mid i, j \in \tilde{S}\right\}$ of the flow $\left\{j_{t}\right\}$ are given by

$$
\theta_{j}^{i}(x)=\left(L_{\lambda}^{b} x+X L_{\lambda}+L_{\lambda}^{b} X L_{\lambda}\right)_{j}^{i}
$$

with the convention $\theta_{j}^{\infty}=\theta_{-\infty}^{i}=0$. Denote by $A_{o}$ the abelian von Neumann algebra $L^{\infty}(\mu)$ where any function $\phi \in L^{\infty}(\mu)$ is interpreted as the operator of multiplication by $\phi$ in $L^{2}(\mu)=h_{0}$ Then a routine computation yields the following: $\theta_{j}^{i}$ leaves $A_{0}$ invariant and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{j}^{i}(\phi)(x)=\int_{G} \phi(g x) \bar{e}_{i}(g) e_{j}(g) d g-\delta_{j}^{i} \phi(x), i, j \in S, \\
& \theta_{j}^{-\infty}(\phi)(x)=\int_{G} \overline{\lambda(x, g)}[\phi(g x)-\phi(x)] e_{j}(g) d g, j \in S, \\
& \theta_{\infty}^{i}(\phi)(x)=\int_{G} \lambda(x, g) \overline{e_{i}(g)}[\phi(g x)-\phi(x)] d g, i \in S, \\
& \theta_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\phi)(x)=\int_{G}|\lambda(x, g)|^{2}[\phi(g x),-\phi(x)] d g .
\end{aligned}
$$

It now follows from [2,3] (and also Section 27, 28 in [4]) that

$$
\left[j_{s}(\phi), j_{t}(\psi)\right]=0 \text { for all } s, t \geq 0, \quad \phi, \psi \in A_{0}
$$

In other words $\left\{\left.j_{t}\right|_{A_{0}}, t \geq 0\right\}$ is a classical Markov flow in the Accardi-FrigerioLewis' formalism with infinitesimal generator $L$ given by

$$
L(\phi)(x)=\theta_{\infty}^{-\infty}(\phi)(x)=\int_{G}|\lambda(x, g)|^{2}[\phi(g x)-\phi(x)] d g
$$

Thus $\lambda(x, g)$ can be interpreted as the rate of change of amplitude density from the state x to the state gx .

When $G$ and $X$ are finite this result reduces to the description in $[1,3]$. If $G$ and $X$ are countable we obtain the picture of a Markov flow in [2].
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