BULLETIN DE LA S. M. F.

GOPAL PRASAD

Non-vanishing on the first cohomology

Bulletin de la S. M. F., tome 105 (1977), p. 415-418
<http://www.numdam.org/item?id=BSMF_1977__105__415_0>

Bull. Soc. math. France,
105, 1977, p. 415-418.

NON-VANISHING OF THE FIRST COHOMOLOGY

BY

GOPAL PRASAD
[Tata Institute, Bombay]

RÉSUMÉ. — On démontre que, pour les réseaux Γ du type fini dans les groupes semi simples sur les corps locaux de caractéristique positive, $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad})$ ne s'annule pas; ceci est bien différent de ce que passe dans le cas de caractéristique zéro.

ABSTRACT. — It is shown here that, for any finitely generated lattice Γ in certain semi simple groups over local fields of positive characteristics, $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad})$ is non-vanishing; this is in sharp contrast with the situation in characteristic zero.

Let K be a local field (i. e. a non-discrete locally compact field), and let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected semi simple algebraic group defined over K. Let $G = \mathbf{G}(K)$, and let $r = K-\mathrm{rank}\ \mathbf{G}$. The topology on K induces a locally compact Hausdorff topology on G; in the sequel, we assume G endowed with this topology. G is then a K-analytic group. Let Γ be a lattice in G i.e., a discrete subgroup of G such that G/Γ carries a finite G-invariant Borel measure. We assume that Γ is *irreducible*, i.e. no subgroup of Γ of finite index is a direct product of two infinite normal subgroups.

In case $K = \mathbf{R}$ and G is not locally isomorphic to either $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ or $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$, it is known that $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}) = 0$; where, as usual, Ad denotes the adjoint representation of G on its Lie algebra (*see* WEIL [9], [10] for uniform lattices; for non-uniform lattices in groups of $\mathbf{R}$-rank > 1, this vanishing theorem follows from the results of RAGHUNATHAN [8], combined with the results of MARGULIS [4] on arithmeticity; for non-uniform lattices in groups of $\mathbf{R}$-rank 1, it is contained in GARLAND-RAGHUNATHAN [2]).

It is also known, in view of a recent result of MARGULIS ([5], theorem 8), that in case K is non-archimedean but of characteristic zero, $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}) = 0$ when $r > 1$.

The object of this note is to show that *when K is of positive characteristic, then it is not in general true that* $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}) = 0$.

We shall in fact prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. — *Let F be a finite field, and let K be the local field $F((t))$. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected semi simple algebraic group, with trivial center, defined over F. Let $G = \mathbf{G}(K)$, let Γ be a finitely generated lattice in G. Then* $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}) \neq 0$.

Remark. — If $\mathbf{G}$ has no K-rank 1 factors, then according to a well-known theorem of D.A. KAZHDAN (*see* [1]), every lattice in G is finitely generated.

For the proof of the theorem, we need to recall a result of WEIL [10].

We introduce some notation and a definition.

Let Λ be a finitely generated abstract group. We shall let $\mathscr{A}(\Lambda, G)$ denote the space of all homomorphisms of Λ in G with the topology of pointwise convergence. There is a natural action of G on $\mathscr{A}(\Lambda, G)$ induced by the inner automorphism.

Now assume that Λ is a finitely generated subgroup of G, and let $\iota : \Lambda \to G$ be the natural inclusion. Then Λ is said to be *locally* (or *infinitisimally*) *rigid* if the orbit of ι under G is open in $\mathscr{A}(\Lambda, G)$. According to a result of WEIL [10], vanishing of $H^1(\Lambda, \mathrm{Ad})$ implies local rigidity of Λ.

Proof of the theorem. — In view of the above result of WEIL, to prove that $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}) \neq 0$, it suffices to show that Γ is not infinitisimally rigid.

For $i > 1$, $t \mapsto t + t^i$ extends uniquely to give a continuous automorphism a_i of $F((t))/F$. It is evident that, for any fixed $x \in F((t))$, the sequence $\{ a_i(x) \}$ converge to x.

Now since $\mathbf{G}$ is defined over F, a_i induces a continuous automorphism α_i of G. Therefore, for all i, $\alpha_i . \iota$ is an embedding of Γ in G; where $\iota : \Gamma \to G$ is the natural inclusion of Γ in G. It is also obvious that the sequence $\{ \alpha_i . \iota \}$ converges to ι in $\mathscr{A}(\Gamma, G)$. We shall show that none of the $\alpha_i . \iota$ lie in the G-orbit of ι. This will prove that Γ is not locally rigid and hence $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}) \neq 0$.

If possible, assume that, for some i, $\alpha_i . \iota = \mathrm{Int}\, g_i . \iota$. Then $(\mathrm{Int}\, g_i^{-1} . \alpha_i) . \iota = \iota$, and the main theorem of PRASAD [6] implies that $\mathrm{Int}\, g_i^{-1} . \alpha_i$ is the identity automorphism of G. Hence, $\alpha_i = \mathrm{Int}\, g_i$.

We now fix a 1-dimensional torus $\mathbf{T}$ ($\subset \mathbf{G}$) which is defined and split over the finite field F (existence of such a torus follows from Lang's theorem [3]). Let $T = \mathbf{T}(K)$. Then since $\mathbf{T}$ is defined over F, $\alpha_i(T) = T$. Moreover, for any rational character χ on $\mathbf{T}$ and all $t \in T$,

$$\chi(\alpha_i(t)) = a_i(\chi(t)).$$

Since $\alpha_i = \operatorname{Int} g_i$ and $\alpha_i(T) = T$, it follows that g_i normalizes T and hence also $\mathbf{T}$. Therefore, for any rational character χ on $\mathbf{T}$:

$$\chi(\alpha_i(t)) = \chi(g_i t g_i^{-1}) = \chi^d(t),$$

where $d = +1$ or -1. Hence,

$$(\star) \qquad a_i(\chi(t)) = \chi^d(t), \qquad \text{where} \quad d = +1 \quad \text{or} \quad -1.$$

Now take χ to be one of the generators of the group of rational characters on $\mathbf{T}$. Then it follows from $(\star)$ that, for all $k \in K$, either

$$a_i(k) = k \qquad \text{or} \quad a_i(k) = k^{-1}.$$

But it is obvious from the definition of a_i, that this is not the case. Hence, none of the $\alpha_i . \iota$ lie in the G-orbit of ι. This proves that $H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Ad}) \neq 0$.

Remark. — As the above proof shows, Γ is not locally rigid. However, in case K-rank $\mathbf{G} > 1$ and Γ is an irreducible uniform lattice, it is *strongly rigid* (*see* PRASAD [7], § 8).

REFERENCES

[1] DELAROCHE (C.) and KIRILLOV (A.). — Sur les relations entre l'espace dual d'un groupe et la structure de ses sous-groupes fermés, *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 20e année, 1967/1968, n° 343, 22 p.

[2] GARLAND (H.) and RAGHUNATHAN (M. S.). — Fundamental domains for lattices in **R**-rank 1 semi simple groups, *Annals of Math*, Series 2, t. 92, 1970, p. 279-326.

[3] LANG (S.). — Algebraic groups over finite fields, *Amer. J. of Math.*, t. 78, 1956, p. 555-563.

[4] MARGULIS (G. A.). — Arithmetic properties of discrete subgroups [in Russian], *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, t. 29, 1974, p. 49-98.

[5] MARGULIS (G. A.). — Discrete groups of motions of manifolds of non-positive curvature [in Russian], "*Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians* [1974, Vancouver]", Vol. 2, p. 21-34. — Vancouver, Canadian mathematical Congress, 1975.

[6] PRASAD (G.). — Triviality of certain automorphisms of semi-simple groups over local fields, *Math. Annalen*, t. 218, 1975, p. 219-227.

[7] PRASAD (G.). — Lattices in semi simple groups over local fields, *Advances in Math.* (to appear).

[8] RAGHUNATHAN (M. S.). — Cohomology of arithmetic subgroups of algebraic groups I and II, *Annals of Math.*, t. 86, 1967, p. 409-424, and t. 87, 1968, p. 279-304.

[9] WEIL (A.). — Discrete subgroups of Lie groups, I and II, *Annals of Math.*, t. 72, 1960, p. 369-384, and t. 75, 1962, p. 578-602.

[10] WEIL (A.). — Remarks on the cohomology of groups, *Annals of Math.*, t. 80, 1964, p. 149-157.

(Texte reçu le 18 février 1977)

Gopal PRASAD,
School of Mathematics,
Tata Institute of Fundamental Research
Homi Bhabha Roahd,
Bombay 400 005,
Inde.